

### Mean cloud cover for BBC as derived using the AVHRR Cloud Type algorithm

Accuracy, reliability and error sources

- . Background
- . Cloud Type validation results ...again
- New mean cloud cover maps for BBC

Adam Dybbroe



- The Cloud Mask and Cloud Type algorithms have been introduced at previous meetings. More details can be found at www.smhi.se/saf
- Results for CNN-I/II and BBC are summarised at www.smhi.se/cliwanet



#### The AVHRR Cloud Type:





Summary of known problems:

- Misses small cumuli and thin cirrus over land (especially wintertime)
- Sometimes low clouds at twilight go undetected especially a problem in situations with a low level temperature inversion
- Misses arctic low clouds at night in wintertime (ice contamination or big water droplets)
- Ambiguous separation between thin cirrus and small cumuli and cloud edges: Often small cumulus and edges of water clouds are classified as thin cirrus
- Thin cirrus over mid-level or low cloud may be misclassified as mid-level (opaque) cloud
- Sunglint may be classified as low or very low stratus



## But now some "hard facts"....

Cloud Type validations against Synop



Mean error and standard deviation - all data (34 months from 1998 to 2001):

(Treating all cloud contaminated pixels as 100% cloudy)





#### **Central European stations only:**

Best verification results are found in central Europe:

- Lower mean abolute error
- Lower stdv
- Less bias





#### Inland versus coastal conditions:



Cloud Type quality



Oceanic conditions: Ekofisk (N=1745)





The AVHRR dataset is unevenly distributed in time and space:



#### BBC mean cloud cover – data distribution





BBC - Aug: Upper left corner

Satellite overpass time (Hour of day) Total number of overpasses = 179

BBC - Aug: Lower left corner



BBC - Aug: Upper right corner



Total number of overpasses = 235







#### (Treating the fractional cloud class as 50% cloudy)





**Problem:** The mean fields show an artificially looking difference between the cloud cover over sea and land. The discrepancy is most evident in the ice-cloud (and thin cirrus) cover and is mainly due to a higher detection senitivity towards thin cirrus and subpixel water clouds over sea as compared to over land. In addition we know that the separation between fractional and thin cirrus is ambiguous.

Slight overdetection over sea and a slight underdetection over land

The validation results against Synop support this conclusion: Overall bias

- All stations in matchup-database = 3.6 %
- Central Europe = 1.7%
- Inland stations = 2.4%
- Coastal stations = 5.8%
- Over sea (ekofisk) = 8.3%



Thin cirrus and small (sub-pixel) clouds are detected with greater efficiency over sea:



Cloud Type

RGB: Channel 1,2,4

# A rather "extreme case" but still fairly frequent during BBC (and especially during August 2001





BBC: NOAA 15, 4 August 2001, 16:59 UTC



New mean retrieval: Treating the *fractional* and the *very thin cirrus* classes as 50% cloudy over sea only







plo

New



New mean retrieval: Treating the *fractional* and the *very thin cirrus* classes as 50% cloudy over sea only

