

**ALADIN data assimilation
starters kit meeting**

22-23 March 2017

Lisbon, Portugal



report

Subject:	Report of the ALADIN data assimilation starters kit meeting in Lisbon on 22-23 March 2017.
Summary:	<p>The goal of this meeting was to gather representatives of all of the ALADIN countries that do not run an operational data assimilation (DA) and to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• identify their needs and expectations for a future DA;• to start a feasible common action on the handling of observation data. This action will be followed up later.
Action(s) required:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• a concrete kick-off action is planned for the present countries on the handling of GTS data;• we will make a doodle for the first follow-up webex;• we need to discuss about the scope and design of the common ALADIN-HIRLAM data assimilation scripting system during the CSSI/HMG meeting.

Participants

BOGATCHEV, Andrey : NIMH/Bulgaria
COSTA, Vanda : IPMA/Portugal
DALKILIC, Tayfun : MGM/Turkey
DECKMYN, Alex : RMI/Belgium
DEHMOUS, Idir : ONM/Algeria
DERKOVA, Maria : SHMU/Slovakia
FISCHER, Claude : M-F/France
KOLONKO, Marcin : IMGW/Pologne
MILE, Máté : OMSZ/Hungary
MONTEIRO, Isabel : IPMA/Portugal
MONTEIRO, Maria : IPMA/Portugal
MOREIRA, Nuno : IPMA/Portugal
MUÑOZ, Daniel : AEMET/Spain
SATOURI, Anis : INM/Tunisia
TERMONIA, Piet : RMI/Belgium
TROJAKOVA, Alena : CHMI/Czech Republic
VITERBO, Pedro : IPMA/Portugal
ZAHRA, Sahlaoui : DMN/Marroco
WHELAN, Eoin : MET.IE/Ireland

Summary of the meeting

According to a recent inquiry among the Members of the ALADIN consortium, there are still 9 ALADIN Members who do not run an operational data assimilation (DA): Al, Be, Bu, Mo, Po, Pt, Ro, Tu, Tk, for the sake of simplicity called the “DA starters” below. It turned out also that there is some spread in the status in the HIRLAM countries as well (e.g. not all HIRLAM countries have an operational 3Dvar). So it would be beneficial to plan this program together with HIRLAM.

We used 2017 ALADIN flat-rate money to invite at least one representative of each of these countries to accelerate the activities on data assimilation in their home Institutes. The meeting started with didactic introductions by a number of data assimilation experts in ALADIN and HIRLAM. The format of the meeting was kept loose in order to make room for discussions. The presentations are published on the ALADIN web site: <http://www.umr-cnrm.fr/aladin/spip.php?article318>.

Preceding to this meeting, a web meeting was organized together with the colleagues from HIRLAM to plan this action already in 1 December 2016. The notes from the hangout are available here:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1q5m5jXo6WW9r5xxW9BE9taCypzXlj_VJGQpV3BYtaKs/edit

An inquiry was made amongst the ALADIN LTMs by ACNA, see on the web: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1SrVYZzBJFYn53EhrkZKIa46To8Ewg_yDs61REUc5L80/edit#gid=287439123 or the appendix.

Below we briefly report on the conclusions and the planned action from the discussion session.

Conclusions

From the presentations of the participants it can be concluded that:

- Almost all attending countries have activities on data assimilation (Bulgaria plans to start soon, all the other acquired some expertise so far).
- All countries are now allocating at least 1 FTE to data assimilation, some countries even more (potentially up to 3-4). This could lead to a bit more than 10 FTE in total, adding to the manpower contributions in the DA activities in the ALADIN consortium.
- About half of these countries have tested OPLACE data so far.
- These countries are taking a stepwise approach, starting with a simple setup and then adding extra data types to it.
- Some countries have tested the HARMONIE scripting system, but now either some basic version of sms or some basic unix scripting is used.

LACE has been very successful in installing operational data assimilation in the services of its members. Part of the success is the use of OPLACE to commonly handled observation data. Another important aspect is a good coordination (by LACE Area Leader) to avoid unnecessary duplication of work and to provide help and/or contacts with relevant experts whenever needed. The LACE setup of 3Dvar and OI can be seen as a “canonical setup” in line with the ALADIN MoU5, although the possibilities for implementing different setups is far bigger than for the forecast model. M. Mile gave a detailed presentation on the specification of this system for the CMCs AROME and ALARO.

There may be restrictions due to data policy issues. For instance, some countries are not Members of EUMETSAT, ECMWF or EUMETNET, which may create restrictions in their access to certain types of data or databases.

General conclusions:

- Special attention is needed to the local handling of the observational data. A concrete action is planned to coordinate the activities in the 9 countries, see below.
- Close collaboration with the local OBS and IT departments is necessary, this has to be supported by the NMS directions
- Blending as part of BlendVar was seen by many of the participants as a useful zero-th order step in the implementation of a cycle. IUA (as presented by C. Fischer) is an interested alternative, but no country outside of Météo France has invested in it so far (?).
- A stepwise approach will be taken starting with a simple system (first focus on SYNOP, TEMP, AMDAR, GTS data, see below).
- LACE developed a tool for the monitoring of the use of observational data and this can be made available, see presentation of M. Mile.
- Our HIRLAM colleagues from Ireland are using a data handling system based on the SAPP software of ECMWF. ECMWF is open to provide the SAPP system “as is”, but without any support for its operational use. This may provide an interesting alternative for OPLACE.
- Exchange of experiences is needed; especially some help in providing expertise to learn from the LACE actions.

Planned action

Data handling

The DA starter countries are requested to carry out the following action/steps:

1. get data from GTS (in BUFR format) for SYNOP, TEMP and AMDAR data, as you can get it in your institute.
2. store these data in a local database (or in a directory structure on disk) and handle somehow GTS duplication and corrections messages.
3. Additionally a few reference BUFR files will be provided by Météo France (MF) and OBSOUL files from OPLACE.
4. Compile the code the have BATOR running.
5. Convert GTS data to ODB format (via BATOR). The converted reference data will serve as a verification whether you succeed.
6. Check the content of the resulting ODB (odbsql or mandalay softwares)
7. Document what you have done.
8. Organize a webex, tentatively in May as a follow up. Next steps will be planned later.

Everyone will use CY40T1, the Toulouse version. More details can be found in the appendix. The participating countries are invited to coordinate this action. The coordinator does not have to be particularly experienced in running an operational DA cycle, but should be a systematic person with good communication skills. Such coordination should be formalized later.

Note after the meeting from the WG on data assimilation in Helsinki: Eoin has the intention to organize a demonstration by webconf on SAPP. Interested countries can attend this, but this would be rather targeted to colleagues from the observation departments/IT department in their Institute.

Towards a common DA scripting system with HIRLAM

It was concluded that there is a need for an inquiry as to what the scripting system should provide as features and how it should be coded or recoded. The group of participants of this meeting was too small to arrive at any concrete conclusions. A more general enquiry might be needed. This will be put on the agenda of the CSSI/HMG meeting on 8 April 2017.

APPENDIX: outcomes of the LTM inquiries on data assimilation.

	Algeria	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Croatia	Czech R.	France	Hungary	Morocco	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Tunisia	Turkey
operational DA system?	no	yes	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	no	no
manpower	10	10	90	37	37	75	90	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
OMC [2]	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO
dx	2.5km	2.5km	1.3km	8km	8km	4km	1.3km	2.5 km	2.5 km	2 km	2.5 km	2.5 km	4.5km	4.4 km	4.5 km	4.5 km
levels	90	90	90	37	37	75	90	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
quality control	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
CYCLE	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO
elgo	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO
SURFACE analysis	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO
upper-air analysis	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO
initialisation	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO
OMC	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	AROME	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO	ALARO
dx	3 km	1.3km	1.3km	2km	2km	2km	1.3km	2.5 km	2.5 km	2 km	2.5 km	2.5 km	10 km	6.5 km	6.5 km	4.5 km
levels	60	90	90	67	67	75	90	90	90	90	60	60	60	60	60	60
what?	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA
time scale	2017-2018	2017-2018	2017-2018	2018-2019	2018-2019	2018-2019	2018-2019	2020	2017-2018	2017-2018	2017-2020	2017-2018	2017-2018	2017-2018	2017-2018	2017
foreseen problems?	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs	computational costs
comment	still unclear if operational or open loop	prep offline of 3D-Var DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA	Surface DA

APPENDIX II: Practical guidelines for the observation preprocessing action

Introduction: In order to get more familiar with observation preprocessing for data assimilation a coordinated action/exercise is proposed to test handling of surface synoptic (SYNOP) data by the ALADIN software (program called BATOR). The GTS SYNOP data which are available mostly in BUFR format are considered as the starting point, but the same processing can be used for local synoptic observation and/or other conventional data such as upper-air sounding, wind profiler and aircraft observations.

Task 1.1: Data acquisition

A local acquisition of GTS conventional data have to be set up. Eventually an overview of local non-GTS (high-resolution) observation availability should be prepared (including data format and possible means of the local data conversion to BUFR format).

Practical hints: It should be rather straightforward to get GTS SYNOP BUFR data from observation and/or IT department. Many ALADIN countries (except Bg and partially Tu) provide surface synoptic (SYNOP) observations in BUFR format to the GTS, see [ECMWF monitoring overview](#). The list of meteorological bulletins for global, inter-regional and regional exchange on the GTS can be found in [WMO Volume C](#). Address your questions/issues to <http://www.rclace.eu/forum/viewtopic.php?f=21&t=537>

Task 1.2: Data pre-processing

The GTS SYNOP data contains duplications, e.g. (GTS corrections/amendments) messages, furthermore given observation can be disseminated in several GTS messages. Data pre-processing should ensure that duplications are removed from the data sample. It can be achieved via a local database (or a directory structure on disk can be considered) from where the unique observations are selected. Data pre-processing may comprise a basic quality control (completeness, ...).

Practical hints: Data duplications should be removed and the most recent observations (latest corrections) should be selected. Software package for handling BUFR data should be installed to facilitate BUFR data inspection, e.g. ecCodes developed by ECMWF which provides an application programming interface and a set of tools for decoding and encoding messages in the following formats [ecCODES](#) or [BUFRDC software](#). More details about GTS messages can be found in the [WMO instructions](#). Address your questions/issues to <http://www.rclace.eu/forum/viewtopic.php?f=37&t=538&p=1880#p1880>

Task 1.3: Implementation and validation of BATOR

The ALADIN/ARPEGE/IFS software require observation in ODB format. A tool for data conversion is to be installed and validated (BATOR). The BATOR program is part of the ALADIN software and beside data conversion it can perform blacklisting, geographical selection, setting up of observation errors, etc.

Practical hints: A sample of data and script will be prepared on beaufix. Address your questions/issues to <http://www.rclace.eu/forum/viewtopic.php?f=37&t=117&p=1881#p1881>

How to check odb content? See <http://www.rclace.eu/forum/viewtopic.php?f=50&t=126>

Task 1.4: Observation monitoring

An observation monitoring system of any data assimilation systems is essential. The main objective

is to provide an informative selection of monitored parameters (statistics of availability and quality control (QC) status, time evolution of satellite biases, etc.). A local implementation of tools to inspect/extract ODB information (odbsql) is essential. Eventually a more advanced system/tool is desirable.

Practical hints: Address questions/issues regarding LACE observation monitoring to <http://www.rlace.eu/forum/viewforum.php?f=40>