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Code structure in OOPS

CNT4/STEPO (forecast):
- CNT4: model time loop, \( \forall \) model step (init, loop, end)
\[\Rightarrow \text{OOPS}\]
- STEPO: toolbox (calls transforms, GP computations, SP computations)
\[\Rightarrow \text{STEPO}_\text{OOPS} (GP/.../GP) \text{ versus } \text{STEPO} (SP/.../SP)\]

CVA2/SIM4D/Minimizer:
- algorithms and DA arithmetics (ex: \( X + dx \))
\[\Rightarrow \text{OOPS}\]
- actual compute routines and operators in FORTRAN
\[\Rightarrow \text{not OOPS}\]
Pointers are being passed from C++ to FORTRAN (F2003 extension)

When a set of variables and data arrays is encapsulated, then the high-level pointer to the FORTRAN structure is the one coming from C++

For specific data structures that are extensively being used in the IFS, like GMVs, GFLs, the code refactoring is long and an interim solution for handling multiple pointer assignments has been implemented (so-called Tomas’ trick)
Encapsulatation of variables in MODULEs

- Group all variables in a MODULE into one derived type
- Pass this derived type as argument in calling seq:

  \texttt{STEPO\_OOPS(FIELDS FLD)} won’t use the global variable \texttt{NPROMA}, but instead:

  \texttt{FLD\%GEOM\%YRDIM\%NPROMA}
Encapsulation of variables in MODULEs: ASSOCIATE

Use of an alias in order to keep source code readable:

```fortran
SUBROUTINE MF_PHYS (..., YRDIM, ...) !------------------------------------------------------
ASSOCIATE(NPROMA=>YRDIM%NPROMA, NPROMM=>YRDIM%NPROMM, &
 & YT0=>YRGMV%YT0, YT9=>YRGMV%YT9, YT1=>YRGMV%YT1, &
 ... & NFLEVG=>YRDIMV%NFLEVG, NFLSA=>YRDMV%NFLSA, &
 & NFLSUL=>YRDIMV%NFLSUL)
IF (LHOOK) CALL DR_HOOK('MF_PHYS',0,ZHOOK_HANDLE)
...
IF (LLDIAB.AND.(NDPSFI == 1)) THEN
  CALL CPQSOL(NPROMA,KST,KEND,PRE0,PSP_RR(1,YSP_RR%YT %MP0),PQS,ZQSATS,PQSOL)
ENDIF
...
IF (LHOOK) CALL DR_HOOK('MF_PHYS',1,ZHOOK_HANDLE)
END ASSOCIATE
END SUBROUTINE
```
Cost functions in OOPS

```cpp
double CostFunction::evaluate(const CtrlVar_ & fguess) {
    // Setup terms of cost function
    PostProcessor pp;
    pp.enrollProcessor(jterms_.initialize(fguess));

    // Run non-linear model
    CtrlVar_ xx(fguess);
    this->runNL(xx, pp);

    // Cost function value
    double zzz = jb_->evaluate(fguess, xx);
    zzz += jterms_.finalize();

    LOGS(Info, Test) << "CostFunction: Nonlinear J = " << zzz;
    return zzz;
}

void CostFct4DVar::runNL(CtrlVar_ & xx, PostProcessor & post) {
    ModelState zz(xx[0], CostFct_::getModel());
    zz.forecast(windowLength_, post);
    ASSERT(zz.validTime() == windowEnd_);
    xx[0] = zz;
}
```
Compared results between the OOPS ARPEGE 3D-VAR « maquette » and the classical IFS-ARP code
Project management since December 2014

- OOPS is now one project (among others) of the Scalability Program at ECMWF
- OOPS Board (chair: F. Rabier): MF/LAM representative (C. Fischer)
- OOPS Project Manager (PM): Y. Trémolet
- PM has insisted on difficulty to keep OOPS-IFS prototypes working from one IFS cycle to the next => code will be extracted when necessary from the main IFS cycles, re-factored and kept in a separate SCR (GIT-OOPS) => duplicated IFS and OOPS-IFS SCRs and associated risks of code divergence or coordination issues (followed by Board meetings and PMs);
- Re-factoring shall be also back-phased into main IFS cycles (ECMWF)
- ECMWF and MF have defined the obs operators as a main target for OOPS for 2nd half of 2015 (and beyond ?)
- ECMWF will also work on an adiabatic version of the IFS forecast model for early tests of 4D-VAR from OOPS. Later, the physics codes will be added.
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