

# **ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE**

## **Rolling Work Plan 2019**

*approved by*  
***ALADIN General Assembly and HIRLAM Council***  
***on 20 Nov. 2018***

## **Contents**

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1. Common code design, generation and maintenance</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1.1 General description of the ALADIN work	3
1.1.2 General description of the HIRLAM work	3
1.1.3 General description of the LACE work	3
1.2 The expected evolution of the common code	4
<b>2. Strategic (core) programmes</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Dynamics and scalability	7
2.2 Basic data assimilation setup	7
<b>3. Prospective R&amp;D activities</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1 General description of work packages per area	8
3.1.1 Atmospheric data assimilation	8
3.1.2 Dynamics	9
3.1.3 Atmospheric physics parametrizations	9
3.1.4 Surface analysis and modelling	9
3.1.5 Probabilistic forecasting	9
3.1.6 Quality assessment and monitoring	9
3.1.7 Technical code and system development	10
3.1.8 Towards high-resolution modelling	10
<b>Annex 1: Time line of future cycles</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Annex 2: Common cycles and preliminary content</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Annex 3: Work packages and staff resources for 2019:</b>	<b>18</b>

# Introduction

Since 2005, the ALADIN, LACE and HIRLAM consortia have been cooperating closely on the development of a common limited area model code within the framework of the IFS/Arpege code system. The cooperation takes the form of joint scientific and technical model developments within this so-called shared ALADIN-HIRLAM System. Research and development efforts focus on three so-called canonical model configurations (CMC's) which together make up the shared A-H System: Arome-France, Alaro-Cz and Harmonie-Arome. It is these canonical model configurations which are defined and validated with specific sanity checks from cycle to cycle, and for which support within the consortia for users is guaranteed.

The activities within the ALADIN-HIRLAM-LACE cooperation are described in a yearly jointly produced rolling work plan. This document represents the joint ALADIN-HIRLAM-LACE rolling work plan (RWP) for 2019. The main aim of the RWP is to provide clarity on the expected evolution of the common code in the course of time, on the objectives underlying its scientific development and on the resources invested in that development by the various partners. To achieve this, three types of activities are distinguished in the three main parts of the plan:

- Common activities on code design and engineering, generation of new CMC code and subsequent maintenance, and general support provided to local implementations and troubleshooting (chapter 1). The practices still differ between the ALADIN and the HIRLAM consortia.
- A limited number of strategic (core) programs: commonly agreed programs of recognized strategic importance that will benefit all partners (chapter 2). At this stage, it has been proposed to define two strategic programs, starting in 2018: one on the scalability and efficiency of the dynamical core and one on providing a basic data assimilation setup for all members. At a later stage, other programs may be introduced.
- Prospective R&D and/or operational activities which are carried out by a subgroup of members willing to invest resources in them, and/or which do not lead in the short term (within, say, 2 years) to the updating of the CMC's or a major extension of the sanity check system (chapter 3). The activities are described in the form of a set of work packages for each of the main areas of development: data assimilation, dynamics, physics parametrizations, surface analysis and modeling, ensemble forecasting, very high resolution modeling, quality assurance and technical code and system development. In the detailed work package descriptions, which are given in chapter 4, it is attempted to specify the time scales on which the planned developments are expected to lead to new contributions to the common code. Certain work packages may directly lead to updates to the latest version of CMC codes, while others may represent more fundamental research, not providing short-term contributions to new code cycles.

A summary of the planned evolution of the code and of the associated staff commitments for all areas and work packages is provided in the annexes.

# **1. Common code design, generation and maintenance**

## **1.1.1 General description of the ALADIN work**

These are basically all the activities required to translate scientific developments in code suitable to enter the shared ALADIN-HIRLAM system during phasings, to validate and maintain this code, and to provide general support for implementing new code cycles operationally. These work packages contain the planned work related to the activities of the ALADIN program management, the Code Architect, the ALADIN CSSI, the ACNA and the ALADIN Support Team and the ALADIN Local Team Managers (LTMs), as there are described in the current ALADIN MoU. They are formulated in the following work packages (WP's):

- WP MGMT1: The management and ALADIN Support activities.
- WP COM1.1: The work of the ALADIN code architect to implement and monitor the definition of the CMC's, and to further develop the sanity check system where needed.
- WP COM2: The activities related to the creation of new cycles: the provision of contributions to new cycles and new CMC releases; participation in phasing activities and the related validation (sanity checks) of the CMC's, while taking care of the links with the global model configurations in the IFS/Arpege code framework.
- WP COM3.1: Support for maintenance and Partners' implementations of the ALADIN-HIRLAM System in the ALADIN countries. These activities focus mostly on the ALADIN Coordination and Networking activities.

The tables describing these work packages in more detail are found in chapter 4.

## **1.1.2 General description of the HIRLAM work**

These are basically all the activities required to translate scientific developments in code suitable to enter the shared ALADIN-HIRLAM system during phasings, to validate and maintain this code, and to provide general support for implementing new code cycles operationally. More specifically, they can be formulated in the following work packages (WP's):

- WP MGMT3: Coordination activities by the HIRLAM Management Group
- WP COM1.2: The work of the HIRLAM code analyst to implement and monitor the shared data assimilation code, and to further develop the sanity check system where needed.
- WP COM2: The activities related to the creation of new cycles: the provision of contributions to new cycles and new CMC releases; participation in pre-phasing testing, phasing activities, and forward phasing of new Harmonie code contributions to the latest T-cycle, and the related validation (sanity checks) of the CMC's, while taking care of the links with the global model configurations in the IFS/Arpege code framework; and for Harmonie-Arome, making available new h-releases to the Toulouse repository, including documentation.

The tables describing these work packages in more detail are found in chapter 4.

## **1.1.3 General description of the LACE work**

The planned LACE activities on development of the ALADIN-HIRLAM system focus on various aspects of the model dynamics, physics (including surface aspects), data assimilation (including surface data assimilation) and ensemble forecasting. The LACE working plan is integrated in the this

ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE RWP, and described in different WPs. Those contribution are mainly prepared by LACE area leaders on physics, dynamics, data assimilation and ensemble forecasting. The work of LACE programme manager, data manager and system manager are also presented in the RWP. The following Work Packages are focused on the LACE work:

- WP MGMT2: LACE Management
- WP COM2: The activities related to preparation of code contributions to the now cycles and phasing, preparing the CMC releases (in particular the ALARO CMC), preparing documentation, phasing activities, backphasing some of the developments related to ALARO CMC and forward phasing of the new developments related to ALARO.
- WP COM3.1 The activities include support in local data assimilation activities through OPLACE updates related to necessary changes in the data assimilation system due to changes in data formats, support in local implementations of the CMCs of the ALADIN System.

## **1.2 The expected evolution of the common code**

The R&D developments described in chapter 3 will eventually lead to an evolution of the CMC's in future code cycles. An overview of the expected consequences of the research and development activities in chapter 3 on the next few cycles is presented in Annex 2. Below, the major aspects of code management are described (what makes the codes change, who, how, some hints on future perspectives and difficulties, organization and staffing).

The content and timing of a new code release depend on the nature of that release. The content of LAM code versions is being discussed between the LAM partners in various meetings and communication (HMG/CSSI meeting, e-mails in preparation of T-cycles, specific Harmonie system coordination etc.). So-called T-cycles in Toulouse are ALADIN-HIRLAM joint R&D code versions that are constructed in the same trunk as the IFS/ARPEGE code versions. Therefore, their timing especially is much guided by the decisions of the IFS/ARPEGE collaboration which settles the content and timing of the NWP codes jointly between Météo-France and ECMWF (Note: the ALADIN and HIRLAM consortia are observers in these meetings). In practice, a new IFS/ARPEGE joint cycle is decided about every 9 months and these joint code versions are the base for subsequent T-cycles (eg. CY45 is the base for CY45T1). The table in annex 1 summarizes the timing of the forthcoming cycles, as agreed at the IFS/ARPEGE coordination meeting of 21 June 2018.

T-cycles receive R&D contributions from both ALADIN and HIRLAM and can be technically evaluated mostly by sanity checks (so-called “mitraillette” for the forecast model configurations) and specific experimentation (eg. data assimilation). Building a T-cycle requires about two to three months of initial efforts for several staff members, and it is a known weakness that data assimilation is being validated usually much later than forecast model configurations. Each CMC is technically evaluated for a reference provided in mitraillette.

Another type of code versions are those versions specifically prepared for promotion and installation with any ALADIN or HIRLAM member. In ALADIN, these code versions are called “export versions”. They usually derive from T-cycles plus additional fixes or small improvements provided by the LAM partners. In HIRLAM, specific H-versions (H-cycles) are being defined, which include fixes but also a fair amount of R&D developments. Thus the HIRLAM use to define several sub-versions starting from a T-cycle (close) base version. The practical details of how “export versions” or “H-cycles” are being prepared differ between the two consortia, because the final objective of evaluation is not the same. In ALADIN,

the “export version” is considered as a technically sane base version which however will require specific local work for operational implementation (like to run long series of meteorological evaluation on any national domain). HIRLAM wishes to further evaluate at consortium-level a number of national domains and applications leading eventually to the definition of a Reference Forecast System. For the coming years, and in view of the single consortium, more shared technical maintenance and extension to components of the data assimilation will be sought. On the contrary, it is not foreseen to push the common maintenance activity down to the level of common (pre-)operational evaluation. The latter evaluation is indeed left to the activity of member NMS teams or sub-groups willing to start a common activity there. In order to aide the member NMS teams in local pre-operational evaluation, the export versions (and bugfixes) are accompanied by a documented namelist, description of choices and recommendations (It is already done at least for the ALARO CMC). Recent scientific and technical developments are explained in documentation, ALADIN-HIRLAM Newsletter and at regular Consortium workshops.

The thematic work package sheets in chapter 4 provide an overview of the R&D developments and the resulting code implementations at a time scale of about 2-3 years. A detailed list of expected new code contributions, as derived from the list of tasks and T-code developments, is presented in Annex 2.

For the sake of brevity, only a few milestone developments are summarized here. For the forecast model CMC’s, several R&D tasks in the dynamics will provide new possibilities for the code of the dynamical kernel: handling of vertical velocity ( $w$ ) in the gridpoint dynamics computations, research on alternative gridpoint solvers for the SISL scheme. The two-moment microphysics scheme LIMA is now available for research in the shared system for AROME. The ALARO physics will be improved like for the microphysics/turbulence and surface/turbulence interactions, and calling SURFEX will become possible from ALARO. The Harmonie-Arome configuration will have improvements in the surface code (adaptations in sea ice, glacier, lake, and orographic parametrizations modules, validation of new Surfex8.1 soil and snow schemes), in the treatment of aerosol in microphysics and radiation, and in the turbulence, cloud, microphysics and radiation schemes.

The perhaps most prominent evolution of the atmospheric data assimilation code will be the almost finished re-factoring of the FORTRAN codes for use in OOPS. This completion, while being purely technical, is presently expected by about CY47. Once done, a thorough testing of variational assimilation using OOPS for 3D/4D-VAR and for LAM forecasts can be envisaged, as well as defining technically stable versions of EnVar and hybrid VAR/EnVar solutions. Reaching this level will open the floor for pre-operational tests of OOPS binaries as well as it will enable a fostering of the scientific innovations in algorithms. The use of new satellite observations will be continued (like IASI-NG, MTG-IRS, Aeolus, scatterometers on board of various platforms etc.) and progress on assimilating all-sky radiances is expected. Assimilation of GNSS data will be technically extended (slant delays, improved variational bias correction, etc.), as well as aircraft data (AMDAR, Mode-S and AMVs). The evaluation of OPERA European radar data will be extended, and research on exploiting dual-polarization radar reflectivity observations will go on. For surface assimilation, the CANARI configurations are likely not to evolve too much but the CANARI software will require steady maintenance over the next years (this is an area where the ALADIN-HIRLAM know-how is sparse). New surface assimilation solutions based on Extended or ensemble Kalman Filter codes will be investigated, though it hardly is possible to state whether such codes would be made available in the common codes quite soon, or perhaps more likely first within the groups of scientists who will actually work in this area. Progress on using satellite-derived information for soil properties is expected as well.

Integration of the scientific novelties requires adapting the associated codes to the most recent official common version, as well as solving code conflicts where the same piece of the system is being touched by two or more developments. Another significant source of code changes is the evolution of the IFS/ARPEGE system itself, which requires adaptation of the LAM codes (at interfaces, on data structure, on architecture of the codes). The adaptation of the LAM codes to the evolution of the IFS/ARPEGE system is mostly handled during the code phasing efforts that are regularly being organized at Météo-France (at least once per year). During this phasing work, the last code release of the IFS (so-called R-cycle) is merged (or synchronized) with the last version of the T-cycles. The result is a new IFS/ARPEGE code release which will become available in both ECMWF and Météo-France's source code repositories. Similarly, when constructing a T-cycle, the core phasing work is organized at Météo-France, with specific preparation work discussed with and organized by the LAM partners (so-called “pre-phasing” of codes, cross-check of scientific and technical issues). A T-cycle can also be a good opportunity for implementing specific code optimization features.

Météo-France devotes between 5 and 7 FTE to phasing efforts per year and this figure is likely to increase to about 8-9 FTE in the next two years because of the OOPS efforts. Staff from the consortia (ALADIN and LACE) are invited to Météo-France and provide about 1 FTE of additional manpower for this sometimes tedious code phasing. HIRLAM staff (mostly system experts) spend a comparable amount of efforts on (pre-)phasing, only mostly off-site, under the coordination of a designated cycle master. For the future, the possibility to increase the efforts of preparatory technical work, feasible in a decentralized manner (at partner NMS's), will be assessed, as well as means to increase decentralized common code maintenance. Potential tools could be mirror source code repositories where specific development or phasing branches of the common codes could be prepared. The share of a *logical* common trunk of the source codes would probably be a prerequisite here, where *logical* refers to sharing a common labeling of master and remote trunks, rather than to a physically co-located repository. Moreover, trends towards more automated testing of individual development branches, more progressive step-wise code implementations, systematic testing of components of DA, all will be explored. These investigations will involve using the new facilities provided by the OOPS framework, and specific dedicated tools like Python-scripting or GIT-tools. Another area for improvement is the progressive closer interaction between ALADIN and HIRLAM lead scientists but also System Experts. Today's teleconferences like the ACNA Webex meetings or specific Working Week remote discussions could be considered as the embryo of regular teleconferences about System evolution.

The specific tasks for code cycling and code maintenance, along with staffing and manpower for both the technical core activity and the required coordination, are listed in the WP sheet COM2.

In addition to the main NWP shared codes of the IFS-ARPEGE-LAM “galaxy”, the CMCs require specific specialized codes whose technical evolution is taking place in a dedicated community. LAM partners then are one component of this community, which has its own governance and standards. One such example of “external” code is SURFEX. This code is developed by the SURFEX community and maintained in a specific repository, which is separate from the repository of the common NWP code. New SURFEX versions are not *specifically* synchronized with the release of new T or H-cycles. For SURFEX major specific model developments which have been created by partners from the ALADIN or HIRLAM communities, it has been agreed in the past that these are phased into the SURFEX repository first, and then officially enter the NWP repository when a new version of SURFEX is introduced there. However, this has on occasion led to long delays before certain developments relevant for the NWP community became available in the common NWP repository. Alternatively, some SURFEX changes have been introduced first in the NWP repository, in which case however they needed to be, in addition,

committed separately into the SURFEX trunk (double-commit). Ways to improve this situation are being considered.

## 2. Strategic (core) programmes

### 2.1 Dynamics and scalability

The present non-hydrostatic dynamical core consists of a spectral formulation, with a semi-implicit time stepping and semi-Lagrangian advection. It combines high accuracy with computational efficiency on present machines, largely due to the feasibility of using long time steps. However, for many of the components of the dynamical core it can be questioned whether the meteorological accuracy and stability, and the computational efficiency, will still be sufficient for use at higher resolutions (over steep orography) and on future, more massively parallel computer architectures. *How should the dynamical core evolve so that also in the future the combination of high accuracy and computational efficiency can be guaranteed?* The work plan presented here represents a joint strategic perspective of the two consortia to address this question.

To answer this question, investigations will be made of various promising alternative dynamics schemes. Also, LAM code developments will be carried out in the context of ECMWF's Scalability programme, ensuring that LAM needs are taken into account in the new Atlas data structure framework. In view of possible long-term fundamental changes in the dynamics, this program will maintain a well-defined coupling between the physics and the dynamics. Given the long-term perspective of this program we do not expect any immediate impact on local implementations of the ALADIN-HIRLAM shared codes; the value of this program lies in the scientific outcomes, addressing fundamental questions related to the desirable evolution of the dynamical core and providing an enrichment of our numerical tools to make us ready for the future.

The strategic programme Dynamics and Scalability consists of the following work packages (WPs):

- The development and assessment of an alternative quasi-elastic, formulation of the model equations, believed to be more stable for steep orography conditions at high model resolution (WP CPDY1).
- Assessment of gridpoint alternatives (more stable and less demanding in global communications) to the spectral solver (WP CPDY2)
- Assessment of HEVI schemes as alternative (less demanding in communications) to semi-implicit time stepping (WP CPDY3)
- Physics-dynamics interface (WP CPDY4)
- Development of LAM components in the Atlas data structure framework (WP CPDY5)

The tables describing these work packages in more detail are given in chapter 4.

### 2.2 Basic data assimilation setup

Although most members of ALADIN and HIRLAM are active with data assimilation at some level, there are members that do not run with data assimilation operationally. To help those members that do wish to

use data assimilation operationally, to achieve at least a basic data assimilation setup in their operational suites, including the handling of a (limited) set of observations, some structural coordination across the different consortia (ALADIN, HIRLAM, LACE) is needed.

The aim of this program is

1. to develop a cross-consortia coordination to help all ALADIN and HIRLAM NMS's that wish to apply data assimilation operationally, to set up a basic 3D-Var data assimilation cycle with a (limited) set of observation data.
2. While doing so, define the required codes and build a list of ALADIN-HIRLAM common codes for the basic data assimilation configuration. This can include codes for assimilation algorithms and for observation processing, and scripts to run the assimilation cycles.

The programme currently consists of the following activities:

1. Arrange local data acquisition of a set of conventional observations (activities started in 2017)
2. Test the correct pre-processing and ingest of acquired data in the programme BATOR (started in 2017)
3. Set up a basic observation monitoring system (started in 2018)
4. Set up a basic cycling system (started in 2018)
5. Define and document the common code for the basic data assimilation configuration, as a starting point for extending the CMC concept to the data assimilation system (started in 2018)
6. implement and assess the basic data assimilation configuration locally (2019 and later).

### **3. Prospective R&D activities**

#### ***3.1 General description of work packages per area***

##### **3.1.1 Atmospheric data assimilation**

Presently, data assimilation in the operational suites of ALADIN and HIRLAM members is still based on 3D-Var. While the 3D-Var system can still be improved in various ways (WP DA1), the focus in Météo-France and the HIRLAM community is increasingly shifting towards the introduction of more advanced flow-dependent assimilation methods (WP DA2). In HIRLAM the development of a 4D-Var system is far advanced, and for ensemble forecasting purposes also a 3D-VAR/LETKF system has been developed. On the longer term, a more integrated system for ensemble forecasting (3- or 4D-EnVar) is envisaged, as this appears to offer a higher quality at significantly lower computational cost and better scalability. Météo-France and HIRLAM are pursuing somewhat different approaches for this.

A second trend is that the model is increasingly being used for nowcasting applications. It is being considered how data assimilation configurations may need to be adapted in order to optimally function in the nowcasting range (WP DA5). Aspects to be considered here are the use and limitations of rapid cycling strategies and high-frequency observations, choice of initialization methods and time windows, and the options for giving cloud and radar observations greater weight through e.g. the application of cloud initialization and field alignment techniques.

In the use of observations, the main aims are (a) to make better use of observations which have already been incorporated into the data assimilation system (WP DA3), e.g. through variational bias corrections; and (b) to introduce new observation types of interest (WP DA4).

The LAM activities in the context of the OOPS redesign of the data assimilation code are described in WP DA6. Finally, WP DA7 contains the work taking place on observation pre-processing

(e.g. contributions to the ECMWF COPE project) and the developments on observations diagnostics and monitoring tools including OPLACE.

### **3.1.2 Dynamics**

The present dynamical core of all three CMC's is spectral, with semi-Lagrangian advection and semi-implicit time stepping. The core programme on dynamics and scalability describes the research on the longer-term evolution of this dynamical core (and the possible need to replace large components of it), which may be required to ensure continued good performance (meteorologically and computationally) in the future. The dynamics activities outside the core programme deal with shorter-term studies and adaptations of components of the existing core: the lateral boundary treatment (WP DY4), the time stepping (WP DY2), the discretization (WP DY3) and the semi-Lagrangian advection (WP DY4).

### **3.1.3 Atmospheric physics parametrizations**

The key difference between the three present canonical model configurations Arome-France, Harmonie-Arome and Alaro, lies in the choices for the physics parametrizations. Hence, the work packages in this area have been organized along the line of CMC's: WP PH1 describing the research on Arome-France physics, WP PH2 on Harmonie-Arome, and WP3 on Alaro. WP PH4 concerns the development, maintenance and use (for validation purposes) of the common 1D MUSC environment for the two Arome-based model configurations. A new WP PH5 has been included, with the aim to identify model post-processing output that are relevant to add to the common code, and make plans and preparations for developing and implementing such new common post-processing.

### **3.1.4 Surface analysis and modelling**

In this area, the following types of activities can be distinguished:

- the development of more advanced surface assimilation algorithms, to replace the present OI/CANARI system and permit the assimilation of remote sensing surface data (WP SU1)
- the use and assessment of (new) surface observations (WP SU2)
- the validation of existing SURFEX model options for NWP (WP SU3)
- the further development of (new) SURFEX model components (WP SU4)
- assessment and improvement of the surface characterization (WP SU5)
- coupling with the sea surface/ocean (WP SU6)

### **3.1.5 Probabilistic forecasting**

The work packages in this area have been organized along the lines of the existing ensemble systems:

- the development of convection-permitting ensemble systems: the Arome-France EPS system PEARO (WP E1), the HarmonEPS system (WP E2.1-5), and the LACE convection-permitting ensemble systems (WP E3).
- the development, maintenance and operation of the two European-scale EPS systems LAEF (WP E4) and GLAMEPS (WP E5)
- the development (by HIRLAM) of ensemble calibration and post-processing techniques (WP E6)

### **3.1.6 Quality assessment and monitoring**

The work in this area entails the following activities:

- The development of the HARP verification system (WP QA1)

- The development of new verification methods (WP QA2)
- Quality assessment of Harmonie-Arome cycles and alleviation of model weaknesses (WP QA3)
- Verification and quality control at Meteo-France (WP QA4)

### **3.1.7 Technical code and system development**

The work in this area contains the following types of activities:

- code optimization and code cleaning (WP SY1)
- the maintenance and development of the Harmonie Reference System (restricted to those activities not aimed at the development, validation and introduction of canonical model configuration code (which is described in WP COM2)) (WP SY2)
- the revision of the Harmonie scripting system (WP SY3)

### **3.1.8 Towards high-resolution modelling**

The aims in this area are to prepare for increased operational resolution of our model and ensemble suites, and to study in which ways the models (and ensembles) should be adapted to permit them to be run at resolutions of ~200-1000m. These activities (WP HR1) are truly transversal in the sense that they require expertise across the full width of NWP model development.

## **Annex 1: Time line of future cycles**

Joint cycle	ECMWF	MF	Start of phasing	Declaration	Misc. / Oper plans
CY45			March 2017	28 June 2017	MODEL object re-factoring
		CY45T1	2nd October 2017	24 January 2018	Including Aladin and Hirlam
		CY45R1	May 31 <sup>st</sup> 2017	August 2017	Operational June 2018
		CY45R2	Mar 31 <sup>st</sup> 2018	Technical cycle for introduction of ecBuild	
CY46			Start Jan 15 <sup>th</sup> , 2018	10 April 2018	<i>OOPS aspects added as extra branch on CY45R1 for CY46</i>
		CY46T1	Oct-Dec 2018		Technical update for fixes (assimilation) plus some science
		CY46R1	31 May 2018	October 2018	OOPS updates + science
		CY46R2		Until end of 2018	Research section version only if CY46R1 is frozen for operations before Bologna
CY47			Mid-January 2019	End of March 2019	Target joint cycle for baseline OOPS in Research mode
		CY47T1	Spring or autumn 2019		Could contain OOPS fixes for Arpège and Arome
		CY47R1		2 <sup>nd</sup> half of 2020 (after move to Bologna) ??	
CY48				Q2 2020 ??	

ref.: IFS/Arpège coordination meeting of 21 June 2018 ([see the Minutes](#), with Partners only access)

## **Annex 2: Common cycles and preliminary content**

**(status of this list as of Tue 4 Sept. 2018)**

**CY46: January-March 2018. The start of build was on 16 January. This cycle contains several new stages of the FORTRAN re-factoring of the IFS for OOPS.**

List of content with a focus on re-factoring:

OOPS re-factoring in IFS FORTRAN codes:

- VarBC (work started to split into smaller pieces, to be continued after CY47)
- pruning of TSCV and LTSCV from control vector codes
- encapsulation of TOVSCVX and LTOVSCV for control vector
- code adaptation for multi-incremental (multiple resolution) – Sqrt\_B formulation added to OOPS
- adapted handling of time and time step variables for multiple MODEL instantiation - Step 1 - to be continued for CY47
- Full-POS work not already in CY45T1 (this is a continuous work in progress until end of 2018)
- pruning of many of the duplicated model routines temporarily defined for CY45\_OOPS. For the parallel maintenance of OOPS-IFS and IFS, for a few cycles, the STEPO(TL/AD) routines, as well as the TRAJ\* routines, will have to be maintained with both their OOPS-IFS and IFS versions
- removing/pruning many of the global model variables references in USE statements (duplicated with passing by arguments of CY45)
- Removal of LQSCATT switch
- Cleaning and improvements to oopsifs interface layer – especially consistent use of linked list
- Cleaning of NOBSHOR settings
- Move of GBRAD Obs operator to HOP position
- Some fixes for T to TV conversions – expect more needed here
- any other content of the CY45\_OOPS branch that might not already have done it into an interim cycle in either Reading or Toulouse

pruning of deep atmosphere code option in IFS/ARPEGE/LAM dynamics; pruning of namelist key LNHDYN replaced by LNHEE (== former LNHDYN version) and LNHQE (K. Yessad)

fixes for Quasi-Elastic NH version (KY). Note that the NH-QE code is not yet fully functioning in a scientific proof manner. In particular, the RHS of the tendency for vertical velocity  $w$  has to be redesigned. This is a target for CY47.

LAM code phasing (K. Yessad, R. El Khatib, O. Spaniel, A. Ambar)

OOPS/C++ or system aspects (scripts etc.):

- split namelist blocks enabled for multiple objects instantiation in OOPS-IFS (+ use of json to handle the namelist trees), link with new scripts for OOPS-IFS
- Jo-table enabled
- test programs for model TL/AD, obs operator TL/AD

scientific contents of CY45T1 and CY45R1+OOPS -note: there was no CY45R2 at ECMWF

CY46 has been declared on Tuesday 10 April 2018 in MF's GIT repository.

**CY46T1: this cycle ideally should include all updated fixes enabling to run Arpège and LAM data assimilation systems [phasing up from CY43T2 – would include CANARI revival] + updates for Arpège-Surfex\_v8 (adapted from CY42\_op2 via CY43T2\_bf) + any other fixes collected within [CY43-CY46].**

**Some additional new science will be added too.**

**Timing: Oct-Dec 2018. The deadline for contributions is Friday 28 September. Declaration to occur before X-mas.**

Provisional content:

System operational aspects (Météo-France o/e-suites):

- adapted fixes for Arpège+Surfex\_v8 (from testing CY43T2\_bf and comparison with CY42\_op2) [*all contributors to CY43T2\_bf.04-08*]
- adapted updates from CY42\_op3 suite (i.e. for AEARO) [Yann Michel]
- any fix needed to run Arpège 4D-VAR or LAM 3D-VAR as tested in CY43T2\_bf, and possible extra re-phasing with respect to CY45-CY46 [Florian, Camille, Thibaut, Dominique R., Etienne etc.]
- re-phased fixes and recoding necessary to revive CANARI at MF and by Aladin partners (included in previous item) [Camille, Alena]

System technical aspects:

- reminder: GRIB2 facility enabled using ecCodes software (mandatory for compiling CY46)
- fix in FASGRA/FAIGRA to avoid warning messages with GRIB2/LAM geometry (R. El Khatib, based on former change by P. Marguinaud)
- enable saving SURFEX surface fields states at each step of a model integration, in order to enable a reinitialization of SURFEX for a restart (P. Marguinaud)
- remove Fortran version of LFI routines and keep only C code version (P. Marguinaud)
- implement an LBC file re-reading mechanism (P. Marguinaud)

Full-POS software:

- several fixes or minor developments (R. El Khatib)
- new diagnostic fields (see list in the Aladin partners' bullet below)

Diagnostics and specific post-processing:

- harmonize the names of fluxes and tendencies (3D and 2D) in ARPEGE and AROME (flexible DDH, F. Voitus),
- finish the implementation of DDH terms from the dynamics (flexible DDH, F. Voitus)
- visibility; precipitation types; various flavours of snow cover height (I. Etchevers)
- for aeronautics: convective cloud top and bottom pressure, Clear Air Turbulence (CAT) (O. Jaron)

Arpège and Arome model dynamics:

- various dynamics updates and cleaning by Karim (K. Yessad & F. Voitus):
  - several fixes for the treatment of the NL Laplacian term in NH-QE (note: the NH-QE version coded in CY46 is unstable)
  - alternative, simpler version of the SI term coded for NH-EE
  - the possibility to define the vertical divergence using moist R instead of dry R (note: the default choice in the code will not be changed though)
  - the possibility to control the increase of horizontal spectral diffusion by passing a ratio by namelist (in NAMDYN), rather than the resolution-depending parameter NSREFDH

Arpège atmospheric physics:

- tunings and code adaptations needed for Arpège new resolution Tl1798C2.2L105 (??)
- evolution of Lopez microphysics (Y. Bouteloup)
- interface to the IFS deep convection scheme (Y. Bouteloup)
- interface to the ECRAD radiation scheme (Y. Bouteloup)
- computation of the TKE production term from deep convection (Y. Bouteloup)
- first rewrites of PCMT code (J.-M. Piriou, Y. Bouteloup)
- review stability functions for PBL with respect to consistency of energy cycle, potential impact of Lewis number # 1 (P. Marquet)
- TL linear physics for 4D-VAR: updates in microphysics (C. Loo)
- other Arpège physics changes ??

Arome atmospheric physics:

- interface to the ECRAD radiation scheme (Y. Bouteloup)
- other Arome physics changes ??

SURFEX based on v08 in [CY43-CY46T1] **or implementation of Surfex v8.1+**

depending on results of testing in Arome (Y. Seity):

- bf for TEB when garden not activated (wrong calculations of vertical/horizontal fractions)
- bf for 1D-ocean mixing layer model CMO (used in AROME-Overseas)
- in parallel, GMAP will re-phase all recent MF NWP changes required for Arpège and Arome-France (from CY43T2) on top of Surfex V8.1, in the context of the build process of V9 of Surfex at GMME (Y. Seity, S. Faroux). These changes include ORORAD, single precision, Arpège assimilation etc.
- linked with the previous bullet, a trial will be made for implementing V8.1 (with the help of S. Faroux)

Assimilation methods:

- improvements on EDA for AROME (reporting from CY43T2\_op1), use of EDA information in AROME-France 3D-VAR (Y. Michel, P. Brousseau, L. Berre, B. Ménétrier)
- technical developments preparing LAM EnVar, including adjoint tests (Y. Michel)
- fix for reading FA files instead of GRIB in AEARP (B. Ménétrier)

Observations:

- AMDAR humidity data: optimize QC and assimilation in ALARO or AROME 3D-VAR (P. Moll, A. Trojakova, F. Meier)
- first codes for assimilating all-sky radiances using a Bayesian inversion method (P. Chambon)
- new satellites/instruments: 1) Aeolus L2 HLOS winds, 2) MTG-IRS, 3) IASI-NG, 4) winds from various scatterometers (GMAP/OBS)
- adapt codes for assimilating European radar data from OPERA (E. Wattrelot)
- preparations for assimilating radar dual-polarisation data (E. Wattrelot)
- use of infrared emissivity atlases for the use of IASI skin temperature retrievals (V. Guidard)
- first potential code adaptation of IFS/Arpège/LAM codes in order to test COPE3 pre-processing tools (E. Wattrelot, M. Dahlbom) tbc

ALADIN:

- transfer of ALARO-1 fixes that entered CY43T2\_bf.08 (J. Masek) – note: those fixes that entered CY43T2\_bf.04 already are in CY45T1
- fix in ACNPART for convective cloud cover (J. Masek)
- fix for CAPE computation starting from most unstable level – make the computation independent of number of processor (R. Brozkova)

- improvements in ACRANEB2 (J. Masek): optimized version enabling cheap diagnostics of clear sky fluxes and reducing memory needed for LW intermittent storage. Possibly, there can be further improvements in calculation of direct solar flux.
- new fields in Fullpos (CHMI & J. Cedilnik): convective temperature, mean radiant temperature (needed for evaluating thermal comfort), global normal irradiance (for energy producers), more fields according to outcome of J. Cedilnik's stay at CHMI (August 2018)
- some CANARI fixes (A. Trojakova)
- *dynamics changes: implementation of the variables d5/W5 proposed by Fabrice Voitus (J. Vivoda, P. Smolikova)*
- *Graupel code: requires a deeper restructuring (out of range for CY46T1). In the meanwhile, (IF LGRAPRO) statements need to be commented out in four subroutines in order to compile with Intel.*

HIRLAM (in discussion between D. Santos and C. Fischer):

- *Harmonie-Arome related physics, especially microphysics changes (and interface to radiation)*
- *dynamics (one change in gnhd3.F90 originally from M. Hortal)*
- *Bator and obs pre-processing (reviewed with F. Guillaume and E. Whelan)*
- *assimilation: 4D-VAR/LAM and Jc-DFI fixes, MSGinit ???*
- *system aspects (fixes and portability)*
- *surface perturbation for EPS in pertsfc.F90*
- *fixes required for Harmonie-Arome, re-phased from CY43T2\_bf[04-08] or from CY43H2 into CY46*

OOPS re-factoring:

- "Finalize Fullpos adaptations for OOPS" (R. El Khatib)
- Adaptations of ARPEGE forecast + Fullpos in-line for OOPS (R. El Khatib & E. Arbogast)
- *adaptation of LAM MODEL components, possibly DDH code, to OOPS (A. Mary)*
- *remove the Tomas' trick for YOMPHY\* variables. Proper handling of the MODEL parameters inside calls to MF obs operators (A. Mary & OBS team ?)*
- 

## CY47: January-March 2019.

Provisional content:

OOPS re-factoring in IFS FORTRAN codes:

- VarBC tidy-up for OOPS-IFS, C-VarBC
- VarQC, observation error correlations
- adapted handling of time and time step variables for multiple MODEL instantiation - Step 2
- final work for Full-POS as PostProcessor object (MF/REK)
- any required fix in order to run OOPS-IFS in a full PrepIFS experiment (CY46R1) contents of CY46R1 and CY46T1

## CY47T1: spring 2019 or autumn 2019 ??

Provisional content:

System operational aspects (Météo-France o/e-suites):

- System technical aspects:
- Full-POS & Model output diagnostics:
  - *precipitation types; various flavours of snow cover height (I. Etchevers)*
  - *for aeronautics: pressure and flight level height of Tropopause and jet (O. Jaron)*
- Arpège and Arome model dynamics:
  - various dynamics updates and cleaning by Karim (K. Yessad & F. Voitus):
    - NH-QE treatment of  $w$  improved
    - simplification of the spectral SI operator generalized to H and NH, global and LAM
    - modified handling of bottom boundary condition for  $w$ : implement a modified  $W$  following the condition  $W_{mod} = 0$  (proposal by L. Auger)
    - more proper use of  $R_{dry}$  (versus  $R_{moist}$ ) in dynamics
  - 3D grid point solver for SI hydrostatic model (research version) (L. Auger)
- Arome physics:
  - horizontal gradients and horizontal turbulent mixing treated within the Arpège/Arome code algorithm, probably building on available spectral/grid point arrays and SL stencil computations (R. Honnert) – for tests in sub-km Arome configurations
- Surface analysis & CANARI:
  - snow analysis code (C. Birman)
- Assimilation methods:
  - first “official” codes for EnVar in ARPEGE or AROME implemented in common libraries, including interface codes to OOPS/C++ (E. Arbogast, Y. Michel, T. Montmerle)
- Observations:
  - *GNSS ZTD horizontal gradients observation operator (P.Moll) tbc*
  - ALADIN: to be discussed - see list below
  - HIRLAM: to be discussed - see list below
- OOPS re-factoring and prototypes:
  - in the FORTRAN code libraries: any potentially missing issue after CY47, or bug-fixes for running the OOPS binaries for standard configurations (4D-VAR Arpège, 3D-VAR Arome, Unit tests with Arpège or Arome data, Arpège and Arome forecast models etc.)
  - *first implementations in official SCR of OOPS/C++ towards FORTRAN/IFS interface codes, enabling the 4D-VAR and 3D-VAR prototypes to run*
  - *FORTRAN and interface codes for EnVar solutions as developed for ARPEGE and AROME*

**CY47T2: any time or good reason to do a T2 before end of 2019 ??**

**CY48: very open timing ! Could be a “quick” IFS/Arpège joint cycle before the end of 2019, or a late joint cycle after new HPCs (and move to Bologna for EC Data & Computer centre).**

**Note: there was a strong recommendation not to let more than 1 year between two joint cycles (eg. CY47 to be declared end of March 2019).**

**ALADIN-HIRLAM listed potential contributions for either CY46T1 or CY47T1, as derived from the joint Rolling Workplan for 2019:**

ALADIN:

- Dynamic definition of the iterative time schemes: call the corrector step "on demand" (J. Vivoda, tbc)
- physics-dynamics interface consistent with energy budget, thermodynamics including mixed phases and NH equations (D. Degrauwe)
- *prognostic graupel scheme "LGRAPRO" if not already in CY45T1 (B. Bochenek) tbc*
- other Alaro physics changes according to PH3 work plan progress
- *Development and implementation of new random number generator (SPG) suitable for LAM EPS environment (M. Szucs) tbc*

HIRLAM:

- LAM DA methods: back and forth nudging (O. Vignes), variational NH balance (C. Geijo)
- assimilation of all-sky radiances using the ECMWF method (R. Randriamampianina)
- GNSS slant delays (S. de Haan)
- 1D model studies, potential code changes in order to improve the representation of fractional cloud cover for Harmonie-Arome (W. de Rooy)
- other Harmonie-Arome physics changes according to PH2 work plan progress
- codes linked with flow-dependent aspects for Harmonie DA (4D-VAR, hybrid, etc.)
- adapted changes for the assimilation of existing observations, if necessary and after coordination with MF and other partners: radar (OPERA), Mode-S, GNSS ZTD, scatterometer winds, AMVs, clear-sky radiances, cloud-affected radiances, near-ground observations, radiosonde data
- Assimilate wind data from recreational hot-air balloon flights (C. de Bruijn)
- replace upper boundary spectral nudging by a relaxation of either Davies or weak constraint type (M. Kupiainen)
- fix problems with the pattern generator of SPPT (O. Vignes, A. Callado)
- introduce EPPES in common codes (tbc). EPPES is an ensemble prediction and parameter estimation system developed in Finland, which will be used to find optimal values for sensitive parameters, and their PDF's. This will in turn be used for perturbing the parameters using a spatio-temporal correlation pattern (SPP-approach). First application would be for Cellular Automata => adapt code for using SPP applied to the Cellular Automata parameterization (U. Andrae)
- continued work and potential code changes for computing random perturbations based on the LAM B-matrix structure (J. Bojarova, O. Vignes, U. Andrae)
- surface perturbations (test different scales for different parameters), test alternative SST perturbations (O. Vignes, U. Andrae ?)
- add code for perturbing roughness length for heat and moisture, over various vegetation types, assess optimal lengthscale of these perturbations (O. Vignes, U. Andrae ?)

### **Annex 3: Work packages and staff resources for 2019:**

Work package	Description	Resources (pm) ALADIN <sup>1</sup>	Resources (pm) HIRLAM	See page
MGMT1	Management and ALADIN ALADIN support activities ALADIN	54	0	20
MGMT2	Management LACE	23.5	0	21
MGMT3	Management HIRLAM	0	22.5	22
COM1.1	ALADIN Code architect activities	5	0	23
COM1.2	HIRLAM Code analyst activities	0	5	24
COM2	Code generation and maintenance	115	18.5	25
COM3.1	Support for maintenance and Partners' implementations of ALADIN system	174	0	27
COM3.2	Support for maintenance and local implementations of Harmonie-Arome	0	3	28
SPDY1	Quasi-Elastic (QE) system	6	0	29
SPDY2	Development of methods for solving the implicit equation in gridpoint space.	30.5	0	30
SPDY3	Horizontally Explicit Vertically Implicit (HEVI) methods with ALADIN-NH core	6	0	31
SPDY4	Physics-dynamics interface	2.5	0	32
SPDY5	Development of LAM components in Atlas	1	0	33
SPDA1	Core programme Basic data assimilation setup	36	0	34
DA1	Further development of 3D-Var (alg. Settings)	24	12.5	36
DA2	Development of flow-dependent algorithms	47	21.25	38
DA3	Use of existing observations	83.5	35.75	40
DA4	Use of new observations types	65	10.8	42
DA5	Development of assimilation setups suited for nowcasting	28	15	44
DA6	Participation in OOPS	25.5	1	46
DA7	Observation pre-processing and diagnostic tools	11	8.5	47
DY1	Boundary conditions and nesting	3	1	49
DY2	Time-stepping algorithm	5	0	50
DY3	Vertical discretization	1	0	51
DY4	Semi-Lagrangian advection	4	0	52
PH1	Developments of AROME-France (and ARPEGE) physics	85.75	0	53
PH2	Developments of HARMONIE-AROME physics	2	26	54
PH3	Developments of ALARO physics	51.5	0	56
PH4	Common 1D MUSC framework for parametrization validation	6.5	0.5	57
PH5	Model output diagnostics	1	0	58
SU1	Assimilation algorithms for surface assimilation	27.25	11	59
SU2	Use of observations in surface assimilation	28.5	5.5	61

<sup>1</sup> 1 Full Time Equivalent : 1 FTE/year = 11 person.month per year

SU3	SURFEX: validation of existing options for NWP	43	18	63
SU4	SURFEX: development of model components	4	7.25	65
SU5	Assess/improve quality of surface characterization	5	9.75	66
SU6	Coupling with sea surface/ocean	14	0	68
E1	Arome-France EPS (PEARO)	62	0	69
E2.1	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: HarmonEPS - Physics perturbations	1	20.5	70
E2.2	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: HarmonEPS - Initial conditions perturbations	6	7.5	71
E2.3	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: HarmonEPS - Surface perturbations	1	8.25	72
E2.4	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: HarmonEPS - Lateral boundary perturbations	0	2	73
E2.5	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: HarmonEPS - HarmonEPS system	0	4	74
E3	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: LACE	20	0	75
E4	Development, maintenance and operation of LAEF	13.25	0	76
E5	Production and maintenance of GLAMEPS	0	0.25	78
E6	Ensemble calibration	0	6	79
QA1	Development of HARP	5	0.5	80
QA2	Development of new verification methods	2	11.25	82
QA3	Quality assessment of new HARMONIE-AROME cycles and alleviation of model weaknesses	0	19.75	84
QA4	Verification and quality control at MF : development of new methods or products	4	0	86
SY1	Code optimization	8	8	87
SY2	Maintenance and development of the Harmonie Reference System	0	10.25	89
SY3	Revision of the Harmonie scripting system	1	2	90
HR1	(Sub)-km configurations and turbulence R&D activity	23.5	14	91

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : MGMT1

WP number	Name of WP
MGMT1	Management and ALADIN support activities
WP main editor	Piet Termonia and Patricia Pottier

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
PiT	Piet Termonia	RMI Belgium	11
CIFI, AlJo, FrBo, ErEs, PaPo	Claude Fischer, Alain Joly, François Bouyssel, Eric Escalière, Patricia Pottier	Météo-France	18
LTM-Dz	LTM	ONM Algeria	1
LTM-Au	LTM	ZAMG Austria	1
LTM-Be	LTM	RMI Belgium	1
LTM-Bg	LTM	NIMH Bulgaria	1
LTM-Hr	LTM	DHMZ Croatia	1
LTM-Cz	LTM	CHMI Czech	11
LTM-Hu	LTM	OMSZ Hungary	1
LTM-Mo	LTM	Maroc Meteo	1
LTM-PI	LTM	IMGW Poland	1
LTM-Pt	LTM	IPMA Portugal	1
LTM-Ro	LTM	Meteo Romania	1
LTM-Sk	LTM	SHMU Slovakia	1
LTM-Si	LTM	ARSO Slovenia	1
LTM-Tu	LTM	INM Tunisia	1
LTM-Tk	LTM	MGM Turkey	1

### WP objectives

This WP lists the main activities of the Management of the Consortium as defined in the ALADIN MoU5, including the support activities to the Program Manager.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
MGMT1.1	Execution of GA decisions	PiT	
MGMT1.2	Organisation, coordination, minutes of the GA, PAC, HMG-CSSI, meetings, ALADIN Wk, WW and joint meetings with HIRLAM	PiT, PaPo, CIFI	
MGMT1.3	Elaboration and execution of the RWP, reporting to the GA	PiT	RWP submitted to GA
MGMT1.4	Preparation and execution of the annual budget	PiT, PaPo	budget submitted to GA
MGMT1.5	Management and monitoring of the contributions of Members (incl. manpower), reporting to the GA	PiT, PaPo	manpower submitted to GA
MGMT1.6	Preparation and publication of a joint ALADIN-HIRLAM Newsletter	PiT, PaPo	2 publications/year
MGMT1.7	Maintenance of an ALADIN official web-site where all the relevant information about the project is published	PaPo	<a href="http://www.umr-cnrm.fr/aladin/">http://www.umr-cnrm.fr/aladin/</a>
MGMT1.8	Communication and coordination of operational changes of the commun system (ARPEGE-ALADIN-AROME) in MF	AlJo, FrBo, CIFI	
MGMT1.9	Coordination of the ALADIN activities of their respective national ALADIN project teams	all LTMs	
MGMT1.10	Computing support to ALADIN users of MF machines, access to MF machines, offices	ErEs	

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : MGMT2

WP number	Name of WP
MGMT2	Management LACE
WP main editor	Martina Tudor

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
MaTu	Martina Tudor	DHMZ Croatia	11
MaBe	Martin Bellus	SHMU Slovakia	2
OlSp	Oldrich Spaniel	SHMU Slovakia	2
NePr	Neva Pristov (2.2 1pm, 2.3 2pm)	ARSO Slovenia	3
JuJe	Jure Jerman (2.2)	ARSO Slovenia	1
PeSm	Petra Smolikova	CHMI Czech	2
AnBu	Antonín Bučánek	CHMI Czech	1.5
AlTr	Alena Trojáková	CHMI Czech	1

### WP objectives

This WP gives a list of LACE management activities on development of ALADIN-HIRLAM system

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
MGMT 2.1	Execution of LACE council decisions	MaTu	
MGMT 2.2	Activities on LACE related meetings, such LSC, council meeting and management	All	
MGMT 2.3	Preparation, monitoring and execution of LACE work plan	All	
MGMT 2.4	Reporting to LACE council	MaTu	
MGMT 2.5	Preparation and execution of the annual budget	MaTu	
MGMT 2.6	Maintenance of LACE official web-site	OlSp	

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : MGMT3

WP number	Name of WP
MGMT3	Management HIRLAM
WP main editor	Jeanette Onvlee

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
JeOn	Jeanette Onvlee	KNMI Netherlands	11
DaSa	Daniel Santos	AEMET Spain	3
PaSa	Patrick Samuelsson	SMHI Sweden	4
InFr	Inger-Lise Frogner	Met Norway	1.5
FrLa	Frank Lantsheer	KNMI Netherlands	3

### WP objectives

This WP gives a list of HIRLAM management activities on development of ALADIN-HIRLAM system

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
MGMT 3.1	Coordinate the work of the HIRLAM management group and of the core group members. Coordinate research, development, validation and maintenance activities with relevant ALADIN partners. Reporting on the progress in the programme to HAC and Council. Strategic discussions with HAC and Council, execute strategic decisions made by Council.	All	coordination and preparation of scientific strategy
MGMT 3.2	Prepare a rolling work plan in collaboration with ALADIN partners. Report on the progress of the RWP to HAC and Council	All	RWP submitted yearly to HAC and Council
MGMT 3.3	Prepare and execute a yearly staff and financial budget for examination by the HAC and approval by Council. Keep an account on the realization of these budgets and report on this to HAC and Council	JeOn	Staff and financial budgets and realization yearly submitted to HAC and Council
MGMT 3.4	Ensure that at any time a Harmonie Canonical Model Configuration and Reference System are defined and available for operational implementation, and supervise the evolution of this CMC and Reference System.	DaSa	Bringing out new Reference releases and associated change record and documentation.
MGMT 3.5	Coordinate the regular maintenance of scientific and technical documentation and of the HIRLAM web site.	DaSa, FrLa	<a href="https://hirlam.org/trac/wiki,hirlam.org">https://hirlam.org/trac/wiki,hirlam.org</a>
MGMT 3.6	Organize and coordinate ASM/Workshops, HMG and HMG-CSSI meetings, working weeks and joint meetings with ALADIN.	All	meetings and workshops
MGMT 3.7	Prepare and publish a joint ALADIN-HIRLAM Newsletter	FrLa, JeOn	2 newsletters/year

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : COM1.1

WP number	Name of WP
COM1.1	ALADIN Code architect coordination activities
WP main editor	Piet Termonia and Daan Degrauwe

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
DaDe	Daan Degrauwe (coordination work only, technical work is included in WPs SPDY, DA6, PH4)	RMI Belgium	5

## WP objectives

This WP describes the coordination activities of the ALADIN Code Architect (CA). According to the Memorandum of Understanding, the CA shall technically assist the ALADIN PM in supervising the definition of the ALADIN System and the implementation of the ALADIN Canonical Model Configurations (CMC's).

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
COM1.1.1	Follow the development of the Atlas library at ECMWF, and implement features that are required for LAM modeling	DaDe	Atlas-LAM branch (non-t-cycle)
COM1.1.2	Monitor evolution of ALADIN CMC's (AROME-Fr and ALARO-Cz), and their support in the mitraillette testing system.	DaDe	Cycle 45t1
COM1.1.3	Further implement and validate the use of the SURFEX surface scheme in the ALARO CMC. Develop a mitraillette test for this configuration. Backport fixes to cycles 40t1 and 43t2.	DaDe	Cycles 40t1, 43t2 and 45t1
COM1.1.4	Attend technical meetings between ECMWF, MeteoFrance, Hirlam and Aladin.	DaDe	(meetings)

## t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
COM1.1.2	DaDe	45t1	
COM1.1.3	DaDe	40t1, 43t2, 45t1	

## Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
COM1.1.1	DaDe	Code (non-t-cycle)	2018
COM1.1.4	DaDe	Meetings	~ 4 per year

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : COM1.2

WP number	Name of WP
COM1.2	HIRLAM Code analyst activities
WP main editor	Jeanette Onvlee & Roel Stappers

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
RoSt	Roel Stappers	MET Norway	5

### WP objectives

The aim is to develop and maintain the code architecture required to optimally implement upcoming scientific developments and code contributions from the work packages in chapter 3 into the common code for the data assimilation system (responsibility of the HIRLAM code analyst).

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
COM1.2.1	Attend technical meetings between ECMWF, MeteoFrance, Hirlam and Aladin.	RoSt	(meetings)
COM1.2.2	Define, and later monitor the evolution of, the common code for data assimilation and use of observations, initially for a basic data assimilation setup	RoSt	document
COM1.2.3	(Help) develop and promote the use of block unit tests for the LAM data assimilation components (see DA6.3)	RoSt	Tests, code for mitraillette
COM1.2.4	Propose technical solutions to implement LAM data assimilation algorithmic components and developments within the IFS/OOPS framework	RoSt	document
COM1.2.5	Document the design of the LAM data assimilation code and testing framework within the new IFS/OOPS code framework, and transfer knowledge on this to the ALADIN-HIRLAM community	RoSt	document, presentations

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
COM1.2.3	RoSt	CY47T?	End 2019?

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
COM1.2.2	RoSt	document	End 2019
COM1.2.4	RoSt	document	End 2019
COM1.2.5	RoSt	documents, presentations	2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : COM2

WP number	Name of WP
COM2	Code generation and maintenance
WP main editor	Claude Fischer

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
GCO, CIFi, AIMa, KaYe, REK, PaSa, MFSci	GCO team, C. Fischer, A. Mary, K. Yessad, R. El Khatib, P. Saez, S. Calmeis, Météo-France scientific code experts as requested	Météo-France	104
ACNA, CA	ACNA and Code Architect (already included in other WPs)		
ASCS	LACE ASC	SHMU Slovakia	2
PHAS	Piotr Sekula (1), Bogdan Bochenek (1)	IMGW Poland	2
PHAS	David Lancz	OMSZ Hungary	1
PHAS	other ALADIN phasers in Toulouse (Note: the total amount of ALADIN phasing staff is evaluated to about 1 FTE per year)	ALADIN (other than MF)	6
DaSa, UIAn, KaSa, ToMo, BeUl, NiSo, DASci, PhySci	Daniel Santos(2), Ulf Andrae(1), KaSa(1), Toon Moene (1,5), Bert Van Ulf (1,5), Eoin Whelan(3), Niko Sokka (2,5) DA Scientists(3), Phy Scientist(3) (The PMs for DASci and PhySci are reflected on DA2, DA5 and PHY2 WPs)	HIRLAM	18.5

### WP objectives

This WP lists the major tasks necessary for preparing, building and validating new versions of the shared Aladin-Hirlam NWP System. By essence, this work includes the efforts for building joint IFS/ARPEGE cycles (with ECMWF), since these cycles are the code bases of the so-called t-codes later. The WP also includes those efforts dedicated to technical validation (aka sanity checks or "mitraillette") and preparation of new test programs, or making the test environment evolve.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
COM2-1	Build of new IFS/ARPEGE/LAM common releases, as defined by the ECMWF/Météo-France coordination meetings. Note that the LAM mitraillette tests are being evaluated in these joint cycles, i.e. the LAM CMCs should ideally work with these releases.	GCO, CIFi, AIMa, KaYe, REK, PaSa, MFSci, PHAS, ASCS	t-code (complete)
COM2-2	Build of a T-cycle ARPEGE/LAM version, common to ALADIN and HIRLAM. These are the cycles that will contain scientific and technical changes from the LAM groups (and from MF for ARPEGE).	GCO, CIFi, AIMa, KaYe, REK, PaSa, MFSci, PHAS, ASCS, SAL, SET, DACA	t-code (complete)
COM2-3	Cross-coordination aspects for planning timing and content of T-cycles (exchange of information, tele-meetings, preparatory documents)	CIFi, ACNA, SAL	docs
COM2-4	Maintenance, further development and handover (to specific developers) of the code sanity check tool	KaYe, PaSa, AIMa, CIFi, CA	non-t-code
COM2-5	Generation of Harmonie-Arome CMC code version from the latest MF T version available. Technical testing (running testbed daily at ECMWF), and upward phasing of new code to the latest available cycle. Communication with (not only) NMHS about the progress of local installations of this code, encountered problems and their solution and reporting this to other HIRLAM/ALADIN members.	DaSa, UIAn	non-t-code
COM2-6	Communication with Meteo-France about the content and the schedule of new T version. Collection and documentation of available fixes; reporting on the progress whenever relevant. Close collaboration with ALADIN and RC LACE ASC and MF contact point is an essential part of the activity.	SAL	non-t-code (report)
COM2-7	Maintenance and specific tidying-up of the codes that are being used for computing the PGD/climatological files	StCa, AIMa, CIFi	t-code
COM 2-8	Implementation, monitoring, pre-release validation and testing, release and maintenance Harmonie-Arome CMC; support of the Harmonie-Arome CMC at one or more operational platforms.	DaSa, UIAn	Non-t-code
COM 2-9	Prepare a move to GIT as the major version control system in HIRLAM. Coordination on repository design and implementation to facilitate cross consortium code evolution collaboration.	DaSa, KaSa	Non-t-code
COM 2-10	Forward phasing of HIRLAM codes to the latest joint cycle. Coordination and enhance HIRLAM scientists collaboration on porting the codes from Harmonie-Arome CMC to the latest cycle available.	DaSa, UIAn, EoWh, WiRo, JaBa	t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
COM2-1	CIFi	refer to timing of cycles	CY47 to be built over Jan-March 2019
COM2-2	CIFi	refer to timing of cycles	build CY47T1 (autumn 2019 tbc)

COM2-7	CIFI	refer to timing of cycles	CY47T1 (2019)
--------	------	---------------------------	---------------

#### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
COM2-3	CIFI, ACNA, SAL	documentation, communication	2/year @LTM meeting & @IFS-Arpège coordination meetings
COM2-4	KaYe, CIFI	scripts, data	
COM2-5	DaSa	h-code	2019
COM2-6	DaSa	documentation, communication	2019
COM2-8	DaSa	h-code	2019
COM2-9	DaSa	documentation, scripts	2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : COM3.1

WP number	Name of WP
COM3.1	Maintenance and Partners' implementations of ALADIN system
WP main editor	Maria Derkova

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
MaDe	Maria Derkova	SHMU Slovakia	4
CIFI, AlJo, FrBo	Claude Fischer, Alain Joly, François Bouyssel	Météo-France	2
all	all ALADIN Partners	ALADIN	168

## WP objectives

The aim of the WP is to support and coordinate the activities leading to implementation of new code version at the ALADIN Members' NMS; distribute relevant information among ALADIN Partners, collect the reported problems and their solutions and assist in preparation of code bugfixes; follow the contributions to new code releases. In parallel a coordination of operational changes between MF and ALADIN Partners is needed. Reporting to relevant bodies. Collaboration with MF, HIRLAM and RC LACE relevant persons.

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
COM3.1.1	Supervision and coordination of local installation of new export version of the ALADIN code by ALADIN members. The work comprises communication with Meteo-France about the content and the schedule of new export version package of the ALADIN system; communication with (not only) LTMs about the progress of local installations of this code, encountered problems and their solution and reporting this to other Partners; collection and documentation of available fixes; reporting on the progress whenever relevant. Close collaboration with HIRLAM PL for SYSTEM and RC LACE ASC and MF contact point is an essential part of the activity.	MaDe	non-t-code (report)
COM3.1.2	Collection of reported problems from COM3.1.1 and their solutions and contribution to the preparation of the bugfix for the export code	MaDe	t-code
COM3.1.3	Preparation and chairmanship of the LTMs meetings	MaDe	non-t-code (meeting)
COM3.1.4	Coordination of operational changes with ALADIN Partners	CIFI, AlJo, FrBo	
COM3.1.5	Operational implementations at ALADIN NMSs	all	
COM3.1.6	Quality assessment of operational suites	all	

## t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
COM3.1.2	MaDe (+ HIRLAM PL for system + RC LACE ASC)	CY43T2bf10_export (once exported)	2019

## Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
COM3.1.1	MaDe	report	2/year @LTM meeting
COM3.1.3	MaDe	meeting	2/year @LTM meeting

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : COM3.2

WP number	Name of WP
COM3.2	Support for maintenance and implementation of Harmonie system on local machines
WP main editor	Daniel Santos

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
SysTeam	System Team (Daniel Santos, Niko Soka, Ulf Andrae, Eoin Whelan, Bert Van Ulf, Toon Moene, Ole Vignes, Trygve Aspelinen, Martynas Kazlauskas, Rymvidas Jasinskas )	HIRLAM	3

## WP objectives

The aim of the WP is to support and coordinate the activities leading to implementation of new code version of Harmonie-Arome at the HIRLAM Members; distribute relevant information among HIRLAM Members, collect the reported problems and their solutions and assist in preparation of code bugfixes; follow the contributions to new code releases. In parallel a coordination of operational changes between MF and HIRLAM Members is needed. Reporting to relevant bodies. Collaboration with MF, ALADIN and RC LACE relevant persons.

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
COM 3.2.1	Support on porting Harmonie-Arome CMC configuration to different platforms and ensuring platform equivalence	SysTeam	non t-code
COM 3.2.3	Maintenance and troubleshooting support for Harmonie-Arome by system group (e.g. through forum)	SysTeam	non-t-code
COM 3.2.4	Work on backup and trouble-shooting guidelines to ensure smooth operational running	SysTeam	Non-t-code
Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

## Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
COM 3.2.1	DaSa	h-code	2019
COM 3.2.2	DaSa	report	2019
COM 3.2.3	EoWh	report, bug fixes	2019
COM 3.2.3	UIAn	bug fixes, scripts and optimizations	2019

# ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SPDY1

WP number	Name of WP
SPDY1	Quasi-Elastic (QE) system
WP main editor	Ludovic Auger, Sander Tijm

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
FaVo	Fabrice Voitus	Météo-France	4
KaYe	Karim Yessad	Météo-France	2

## WP objectives

The purpose of that workpackage is to modernize the current dynamical core of ALADIN. One current concern is its stability, in particular related to steep orography that represents conditions for which the ALADIN-NH kernel seems to be less stable compared to its hydrostatic counterpart. Among the possible path to circumvent this drawback, the use of a class of alternative equations systems is currently explored at ECMWF and Météo-France. This class of equations was recently proposed (Arakawa and Konor, 2009.) and may be viewed as the minimal modification to the Euler equations (EE) system which allows a filtering of elastic waves. These systems have one less prognostic variable than the EE system, and therefore the pressure field is obtained through a diagnostic relationship. However, in opposition to anelastic systems, the approximation is not made around a stationary and horizontally homogeneous reference-state, but around a more general state close to the hydrostatic state. Theoretical work has been performed at Météo-France to derive a version of the quasi-elastic system adapted to our system of coordinates. That system appears to be more stable than the NH kernel. The current objective is to test that formulation inside our common code.

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SPDY1.1	Implementation of a quasi-elastic NH version of the ALADIN dynamics in the common source code. The objective of that task is to implement in the ARPIFS-ALADIN code a preliminary quasi-elastic NH code containing at least vertical finite differences discretisation. This code will enter CY45T1 of ARPIFS-ALADIN, expected for the last term of 2017. Preliminary testings will be done, for example with AROME-500m resolution, in particular in cases where the fully elastic formulation exhibits some spurious oscillations or some instabilities above sharp slopes (Northern Alps).	FaVo, KaYe	t-code

## t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
SPDY1.1	KaYe	CY45T1	

## Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SPDY1.1	FaVo	Documentation on the QE code	end of 2017

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SPDY2

WP number	Name of WP
SPDY2	Development of methods for solving the implicit equation in gridpoint space.
WP main editor	Ludovic Auger, Sander Tijm

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
LuAu, PiBe	Ludovic Auger, Pierre Bénard	Météo-France	13
ThBu	Thomas Burgot (ext)	Météo-France	11
DaDe	Daan Degrauwe	RMI Belgium	3.5
StCa, ThVe	Steven Caluwaerts, Thomas Vergauwen	RMI Belgium	2
JoVi	Jozef Vivoda	SHMU Slovakia	1

### WP objectives

The current semi-implicit semi-lagrangian dynamical core of ALADIN is organized around its spectral nature, enabling some part of the computations like the solving of the implicit equation very efficiently. In order to lessen the impact of global communications inherent to 2D spectral transforms on the next generations of supercomputers, the task of this WP will be to test gridpoint alternatives to the spectral solver used today for the implicit equation. Another asset of a gridpoint solver technique is to be able to use a more complex basis state for the implicit system that could enable a better stability as regards steep slopes. This WP will adapt existing iterative solvers such as Krylov space solvers and make the necessary developments around aforementioned methods to replace the spectral solver of the implicit equation. The idea is to stick to the 2 time level, semi-implicit, semi-lagrangian algorithm on the A-grid.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SPDY2.1	Feasibility of grid-point solver assessment. Implement different types of solvers into a 2D vertical plane model. The 2 subtypes of krylov solvers that might be the most appropriate for the implicit problem are GMRES (Generalized Minimal Residual Method) and CONGRAD (CONjugate GRAdient method). The testing should be made with classical test cases. The use of different pre-conditioning strategies, different settings should be tested.	LuAu, StCa, ThVe	
SPDY2.2	Implementation of gridpoint solvers in the 3D code (scalability) We limit this WP to the hydrostatic equations and an explicit treatment of the orography to avoid a solver for 2 Helmholtz Eqs. (for d and D). (discussion : the implementation could be done nevertheless in NH, what workforce on that task ? )	LuAu, StCa, ThVe, JoVi, DaDe	
SPDY2.3	Develop a solver for an implicit orography treatment for the fully compressible system. The objective is to obtain a more stable system as regards steep slopes. This involves the solving of the implicit equation as a whole, without projection onto vertical modes. The use of a preconditioner will be mandatory to obtain efficiency.	LuAu, DaDe	
SPDY2.4	Further developments of gridpoint discretizations on the sphere. The spherical coordinate system presents a singularity at the poles that results in some issues when performing computations (such as derivatives) on a regular grid. Using spectral space is a way to solve the problem. In gridpoint space careful computations must be performed. This task will continue the current investigations on proper gridpoint computations, by theoretical studies and by carrying on the development of a shallow water model to test the stability of the appropriate discretization for derivatives.	PiBe	

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
SPDY2.2			2018 or 2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SPDY2.1	PiBe	Scientific publication	
SPDY2.3	PiBe	Scientific publication	
SPDY2.4	PiBe	Scientific publication	

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SPDY3

WP number	Name of WP
SPDY3	Horizontally Explicit Vertically Implicit (HEVI) methods with ALADIN-NH core
WP main editor	Ludovic Auger, Sander Tijm

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
FaVo	Fabrice Voitus	Météo-France	1
ChCo	Charles Colavolpe (ext)	Météo-France	5

### WP objectives

The objective is to further study and assess the performance of HEVI strategies with the ALADIN-NH core. The current ALADIN dynamical core is deeply constrained by its spectral nature. The gridpoint to spectral transforms performed at each time step allow to compute accurately the horizontal derivatives, and provide a very fast solving of the implicit equation. Consequently we are allowed to use long time steps. However, spectral transforms might become too expensive on the next generations of supercomputers architecture that should comprise hundreds of thousands of computational cores. The horizontally explicit vertically implicit (HEVI) schemes are an alternate successful time discretization strategy that treats implicitly only the terms involving vertical derivatives. Since the domain decomposition among computers nodes is performed only on the horizontal (grid cells belonging to the same vertical column are treated on the same node), HEVI schemes will require the minimum horizontal communications. Among the HEVI schemes the Runge-kutta implicit-explicit (IMEX) methods seem to present the most advantages. The Phd work of Ch. Colavolpe has investigated different formulations of HEVI schemes, a modified formulation of a RK-IMEX scheme improving its stability was successfully tested. These investigations have to be seen as a backup strategy if implicit techniques definitely fail, it is also a way to improve our knowledge on explicit techniques.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SPDY3.1	Comparison of efficiency between a 3-TL SI-FD scheme and a 2-TL HEVI-FD scheme in a massively parallelized environment. That work involves the modification of the current HEVI test model that uses a 2D vertical plane geometry into a full 3D geometry. To be able to compare the scalability of the HEVI scheme, a full MPI/open-MP configuration must be set-up with an efficient computation of the different components in order for the computations not to artificially improve the scalability of the model. The comparison will be made to the AROME full operational code, running in an adiabatic configuration as close as possible to the HEVI model.	FaVo, ChCo	
SPDY3.2	Improving the stability of HEVI scheme with a implicit treatment of some metric terms coming from the orography. The current HEVI configuration under test seems to present the same instabilities than the SI-SL ALADIN core as regards forecast in a steep slopes environment. That task first requires theoretical work in order to understand the link between the bottom boundary conditions and the instabilities.	FaVo, ChCo	

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SPDY3.1	FaVo	Scientific paper with a toy model	
SPDY3.2	ChCo	Scientific paper with a toy model	September 2017

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SPDY4

WP number	Name of WP
SPDY4	Physics-dynamics interface
WP main editor	Daan Degrauwe, Sander Tijm

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
PaMa, FaVo	Pascal Marquet, Fabrice Voitus	Météo-France	2
DaDe	Daan Degrauwe	RMI Belgium	0.5

### WP objectives

The physics-dynamics interface of an NWP model determines how contributions from physical parameterizations affect the prognostic variables of the model. As such, it plays a crucial role in the conservation properties of the model, as well as in the consistency of the framework of thermodynamic simplifications and assumptions. The goal of this work package is to further explore the possibility to derive the energy equation of our models (IFS/ARPEGE/ALADIN/MESO-NH) without relying on the entropy budget, so relying only on the first principle of thermodynamics. This could reinforce the physical foundation of the set of equations. Another possible outcome is the identification of thermodynamic inconsistencies between the dynamics equations and the physics-dynamics interface.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SPDY4.1	Explore possibility to derive the energy equation without relying on the entropy budget.	PaMa	Documentation
SPDY4.2	Investigate the consistency between thermodynamic simplifications in dynamics and physics-dynamics interface. Examples are: the treatment of humidity in the dynamic equations, and the filtering of the dynamic equations (anelastic/quasi-elastic/compressible).	PaMa, FaVo	Documentation
SPDY4.3	Implement and test outcomes of DY4.1 and DY4.2 in ALADIN.	DaDe	Code in t-cycle

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
SPDY4.3	DaDe		Autumn 2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SPDY4.1	PaMa	Documentation	Spring 2019
SPDY4.2	PaMa	Documentation	Spring 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SPDY5

WP number	Name of WP
SPDY5	Development of LAM components in Atlas
WP main editor	Daan Degrauwe, Sander Tijm

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
DaDe	Daan Degrauwe	RMI Belgium	1

### WP objectives

Atlas is a framework being developed at ECMWF for the handling of data structures in parallel, distributed or heterogeneous hardware environments. Given the link between the code of ECMWF's IFS model, and the codes in the ALADIN-HIRLAM universe, it is necessary that the Atlas framework also supports limited-area models. Even though the introduction of Atlas in the IFS is not foreseen for the immediate future, it is best to anticipate this situation and introduce LAM-awareness in Atlas already during the early design stage.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SPDY5.1	Follow ECMWF's Atlas developments and keep existing LAM features alive.	DaDe	Code on ECMWF git-repository
SPDY5.2	Impact of projection (map factors and compass) on numerical operators like finite-volume derivatives.	DaDe	Code on ECMWF git-repository
SPDY5.3	Atlas (C++) interface to the LAM spectral transforms ("etrans").	DaDe	Code on ECMWF git-repository
SPDY5.4	Run ESCAPE dwarfs (e.g. sparse solver GCR) in LAM configuration.	DaDe	Code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SPDY5.1	DaDe	Put code in git-repository of the ESCAPE project. Atlas will become publicly available at the end of this project.	(continuous)
SPDY5.2	DaDe	Put code in the ECMWF git-repository.	End of 2019
SPDY5.3	DaDe	Put code in the ECMWF git-repository.	End of 2019
SPDY5.4	DaDe	Put code in the ECMWF git-repository.	End of 2019

# ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SPDA1

WP number	Name of WP
SPDA1	Basic data assimilation setup
WP main editor	Piet Termonia, Maria Monteiro, Roger Randriamampianina

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
MSG, MaKo	Malgorzata Szczech-Gajewska (2), Marcin Kolonko (2)	IMGW Poland	4
HaBe, WaKh	Haythem Belgrissi (4), Wafa Khalfaoui (2)	INM Tunisia	6
MaMo	Maria Monteiro	IPMA Portugal	4
ZaSa, FaHd	Zahra Sahlaoui, Fatima Hdidou	Maroc Meteo	4
TaDa, DuAk, MeSe, AlGu	Tayfun DALKILIC(2), Meral SEZER(2), Duygu AKTAS(1), Alper GUSER(1)	MGM Turkey	6
AnBo, BoTs	Andrey Bogatchev, Boryana Tsenova	NIMH Bulgaria	6
IdDe	Idri Dehmous	ONM Algeria	2
AlDe	Alex Deckmyn	RMI Belgium	4

## WP objectives

The objectives of this program are

1. to develop a cross-consortia coordination to help all ALADIN and HIRLAM NMS's that wish to apply data assimilation operationally, to set up a basic 3D-Var data assimilation cycle with a (limited) set of observation data.
2. While doing so, define the required codes and build a list of ALADIN-HIRLAM common codes for the basic data assimilation configuration. This can include codes for the assimilation algorithms and for observation processing, and scripts to run the data assimilation cycles.

The programme is still under construction.

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SPDA1.1	<b>Data acquisition:</b> As a starting point, arrangements have to be made for local acquisition of GTS conventional data. An overview should be prepared of additional local non-GTS synoptic observations and/or other conventional data such as upper air soundings, wind profilers and aircraft observations available for routine assimilation (including data format and the possible need for local data conversion to BUFR format).	All	
SPDA1.2	<b>Data pre-processing:</b> GTS SYNOP data contain duplications (corrections/amendments messages), and given observations can be disseminated in several GTS messages. Data pre-processing should ensure that duplications are removed from the data sample, and may comprise a basic quality control (completeness, ...).	All	
SPDA1.3	<b>Implementation and validation of BATOR:</b> The data assimilation system software requires observations in ODB format. A tool for data conversion is to be installed and validated (BATOR). Besides data conversion, BATOR performs blacklisting, geographical selection, setting up of observation errors, etc. When BATOR is functioning, the ingest of the acquired and pre-processed observations in BATOR can be tested.	All	
SPDA1.4	<b>Setup of observation monitoring:</b> An observation monitoring system is an essential part of any data assimilation system. The main objective is to provide an informative selection of monitored parameters (statistics of availability and quality control (QC) status, time evolution of satellite biases, etc.). A local implementation of tools to inspect/extract ODB information (odbsql) is essential. Eventually a more advanced system/tool is desirable.	All	
SPDA1.5	<b>Setup of a cycling system:</b> The cycling in assimilation is generally arranged in a script system. For this, the Harmonie scripting or a part of it may be used, but also simpler cycling scripts used with LACE.	Be, Pt, Tn, Tk	

SPDA1.6	<p><b>Definition of the basic data assimilation configuration:</b>            The aim is to define and document the common code required for the basic data assimilation configuration, as a starting point for extending the CMC concept to the data assimilation system. This will be done by the HIRLAM code analyst for data assimilation. At a later point, (a limited number of) more advanced data assimilation configurations can be defined additionally, involving e.g. flow-dependent assimilation algorithms and a wider range of (non-conventional) observations.            A list will be drawn up of all the codes and scripts for observation pre-processing, monitoring, cycling and data assimilation used in this basic data assimilation configuration. The monitoring of the evolution of this list, as well as the development of sanity tests for different parts of the data assimilation system, in order to check the validity of the basic data assimilation configuration from cycle to cycle, will be done in the context of WP COM1 in the future.</p>	All	
---------	---	-----	--

#### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

#### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SPDA1.1	MM	code and technical note	End 2017?
SPDA1.2			End 2017?
SPDA1.3			End 2018?
SPDA1.4			End 2019?
SPDA1.5			End 2019?
SPDA1.6			End 2018?

# ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : DA1

WP number	Name of WP
DA1	Further development of 3D-Var (alg. Settings)
WP main editor	Roger Randriamampianina, Antonin Bucanek, Claude Fischer

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
JaSa, PaEs	Jana Sanchez, Carlos Geijo	AEMET Spain	4
AlTr (2), AnBu (5)	Alena Trojakova, Antonin Bucanek	CHMI Czech	7
AnSt, KrHo, EnKe	Antonio Stanesic (2), Kristian Horvath (1), Endi Keresturi (1)	DHMZ Croatia	4
XiYa, MaDah	Xiaohua Yang(CARRA), Mats Dahlbom (2)	DMI Denmark	2
WaKh	Wafa Khalfaoui	INM Tunisia	2
RoAz, OIVi, RoRa, MaMi	Roohollah Azad (RadPrO, Alertness), Roger Randriamampianina (RadPrO,CARRA, Alertness, 1), Mate Mile (Alertness)	MET Norway	1
MiPi	Mirela Pietrisi	Meteo Romania	1
PiBr, CIFi, PhCh	Pierre Brousseau, Claude Fischer, Philippe Chambon	Météo-France	10
MaLi, MaRi,NiGu, SuHa	Magnus Lindskog(2,CARRA, MetCoOp), Martin Ridal (2.5, PRECISE), Nils Gustafsson, Susanna Hagelin (1)	SMHI Sweden	5.5

## WP objectives

Refine and optimize the 3D-Var system in several ways:

- improve the realism of structure functions and the sampling of uncertainty; assess alternative ways of generating structure functions and the validity of the assumed balances.
- seek ways to reduce the fast evolution of small-scale noise which is often seen in analysis increments. Compare different background error statistics formulations (estimated using downscaling, EDA, Brand, with and without large scale mixing) with respect to the balance between control variables and the increments evolution in the first 2h of model integration. Explore the impact of initialization by applying the incremental analysis update (IAU) scheme, the back and forth nudging scheme (Auroux et al. 2005, 2011) (note: this task has been started in 2017 with very promising results of the concept with single observation test), and also by considering the variational technique encoded in a non-hydrostatic model operator in building the balance between control variables in data assimilation.
- study the most effective way to use large scale information from the host model.
- study optimal ways to account for scales of observations and the need of super-obbing/thinning in observation space or averaging in model space.
- Tune the overall assimilation system in terms of bias corrections, thinning strategy, observation and background error statistics, assimilation frequency and analysis resolution.

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
DA1.1	High-resolution observations:optimize structure functions generation for assimilation of high-resolution data (sampling on appropriate scales, spectral spin-up, impact of imbalances and numerical noise); evaluate scales of variability in mesoscale phenomena; investigate the effective model resolution, optimal scales for super-obbing and meaningful scales for analysis updates; develop methodology to account for correlated observation errors and to allow re-linearization, spatial averaging and integration "along a path".	MaLi (2), MaRi (2.5), RoRa& RoAz(RadPrO), JaSa (3), WaKh, SuHa (1)	Code and scientific note
DA1.2	Background error statistics: Evaluate the impact of different formulations of the background error statistics (e.g. downscaled, EDA, Brand, large scale mixing or not) on the balance between control variables and on spinup.	RoRa, AnSt, KrHo, MaMi	Scientific note
DA1.3	Initialization techniques: compare the available tools (DFI, IDFI, SSDFI and IAU), and implement the variational constraint technique implied by the semi-implicit system.	CaGe (1), JaSa, MiPi	Code and scientific note
DA1.4	Large scale information: Compare various mechanisms for taking the large scales into account (Jk, LSMIX, via preconditioning, ...). Consider increased lateral boundary condition coupling frequency.	MaDah (2), XiYa, EnKe	Scientific note
DA1.5.1-2	Observing system simulation experiment: 1)Adapt the Harmonie data assimilation system for OSSE experiments. 2)Adapt the environment of Observing System Simulation Experiments with the AROME 3D-Var to a more recent code cycle.	RoRa (1), NiGu	Scientific note
DA1.6	Maintenance and evolution of Arome-France 3D-Var: follow-on changes of e-suites at MF, exchange about scientific results with other Aladin and Hirlam partners.	PiBr, CIFi, PhCh	Scientific note
DA1.7	3h cycling appropriate for BlendVAR	AlTr	Scientific note
DA1.8	B-matrix appropriate for BlendVAR	AnBu	Scientific note

## t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

---

### Non-t-code deliverables

<b>Task</b>	<b>Responsible</b>	<b>Type of deliverable</b>	<b>Time</b>
DA1.1	MaRi	Scientific note	end 2019
DA1.2	CaGe: New and/or updated codes for variational non-hydrostatic balance	codes changes earliest CY46T1	end 2018
DA1.2	MaMi	Scientific note	end 2019
DA1.3	JaSa	Scientific note	mid-2019
DA1.4	MaDah, XiYa	Scientific note	End of 2019
DA1.5	RoRa	Scientific note	End of 2019
DA1.6	PiBr, CiFi	Technical report	End of 2019
DA1.7	AlTr	Technical report	End of 2019
DA1.8	PaEs	Scientific note	End of 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : DA2

WP number	Name of WP
DA2	Development of flow-dependent algorithms
WP main editor	Roger Randriamampianina and Claude Fischer

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
PaEs,CaGe	Pau Escriba (6), Carlos Geijo (2)	AEMET Spain	8
XiYa	Xiaohua Yang (0.75)	DMI Denmark	0.75
WaKh	Wafa Khalfaoui	INM Tunisia	2
JaBa	Jan Barkmeijer (1.5)	KNMI Netherlands	1.5
RoSt, RoRa, RoAz	Roel Stappers (ERA6), Roger Randriamampianina (2, RadPrO, Alertness), Roohollah Azad (1, RadPrO)	MET Norway	3
LoBe, BeMe, YaMi, ThMo, PiBr, EtAr, CeLo	Loïk Berre, Benjamin Ménétrier, Yann Michel, Thibaut Montmerle, Pierre Brousseau, Etienne Arbogast, Cécile Loo	Météo-France	45
JeBo, NiGu, MaLi	Jelena Bojarova (2), Nils Gustafsson(4), Magnus Lindskog (2)	SMHI Sweden	8

### WP objectives

Various approaches are being pursued to introduce flow-dependency into the data assimilation: 4D-Var, 3D-Var/LETKF, and hybrid EnVar algorithms as described in Desroziers et al. (2014). HIRLAM will further assess the potential of 4D-Var, examining e.g. the limitations due to the difficulties in representing non-linear processes and optimal settings of the assimilation window. Planned developments include the impact assessment of more high-density data sources, the evaluation of weak constraint DFI, and the application of multiple outer loops. The 3D-Var/LETKF scheme will be developed further. The use of more observations with different localization settings will be studied with the aim to optimize the sampling methodology to most effectively extract local information from the ensemble of perturbations. A 3/4DEnVar approach should be able to handle complex non-linear processes more realistically than 4D-Var, while having lower computational costs and better scalability. HIRLAM and Meteo-France are working on somewhat different approaches for this. MF is developing a 3D/4DEnVar system from scratch in the framework of the OOPS system. The scientific formulation is based on the various versions described in the theoretical approach of Desroziers et al. (QJ, 2014). These formulations are derived in a parallel manner for the global and LAM contexts. Upcoming work concerns the improvement of localization and advection schemes in EnVar, tests using as feasible most of the operational-like observation types, assessment of the levels of scalability and optimization within the algorithms. Hybrid 3D/4D-Var and EnVar solutions (as feasible within the OOPS layer) will be addressed. The HIRLAM approach is based on the work on 3- and 4D-EnVar which has been done earlier within the HIRLAM model (e.g. Gustafsson and Bojarova 2014). Tuning of the balance constraint in the minimization will be addressed, as well as the design of the hybrid gain environment. MF will further develop and improve their Ensemble data assimilation configurations (EDA): AEARP for the global model and AEARO for the Arome-France CMC. The EDA states are injected among the initial condition perturbations of the global and LAM EPS systems (PEARP, PEARO). The additional benefit of using EDA-derived statistics of-the-day within 4D-Var (Arpège) and 3D-Var (Arome-France) will be addressed. It is important to carefully coordinate and time these envisaged developments with respect to the code overhaul in the context of OOPS, in collaboration between global and LAM partners.
---

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
DA2.1	Towards operational implementation of 4D-Var: investigate error propagation and predictability limits (linear regime of development, impact of moist physics, energy growth saturation); re-address initialisation; optimize 4D-Var configuration (length of assimilation and observation windows, increment resolution, physics in high and low resolution runs and trajectory truncations); address the convergence issue in the variational scheme; investigate ways to improve 4D-Var computational performance and scalability (see also SY1); Exploit the benefit of tendency increments; compare the performance and accuracy of 4D-Var with that of 3D-Var in both short-range (0-48h) and nowcasting applications.	CeLo, NiGu(2), JaBa (1.5), MaLi(2), RoSt, RoRa (2), XiYa (0.75), RoAz (1)	Code and scientific note
DA2.2	Evaluate performance of HybridEnVar algorithm with regards to the different ensemble generation strategies (EDA, BRAND, LETKF ) and tune the algorithm on its optimal performance. Options to consider: scale decomposition in space-scale dependent localisation, time lagging strategy for ensemble, 4DVAR framework, initialisation.	JeBo (2), RoRa, PaEs (4), NiGu (2)	Code and scientific note
DA2.3	EnVar in OOPS: improve scientific options (localization, advection), test cases, update as feasible for using operational-like observations and with respect to refactored IFS Cycles, assess scalability and optimization; assess the performance of the statistical balance constraint in the minimisation, Design the hybrid gain environment.	LoBe, BeMe, EtAr, YaMi, ThMo, PiBr	code and scientific notes
DA2.4	EDA: AEARP and AEARO: scientific improvements in both EDA systems, increase of horizontal resolutions in AEARP, AEARO oper at MF since 4 July 2018.	LoBe, BeMe, YaMi, ThMo, PiBr, WaKh	code and scientific notes
DA2.5	Use of Ensemble DA information in an AROME-based variational system.	YaMi, PiBr	code and scientific notes
DA2.6	Start to enhance HybridEnVar formulations with a particle filter like functionalities to allow more efficient use of observations in presence of non-Gaussian and non-linear uncertainties.	JeBo	code and scientific notes

DA2.7	Extend LETKF to assimilate all kind of available observations, either operationally and in research mode. Compare LETKF performance with operational 3DVAR paying attention to proper span up tests. Exploring possible developments of LETKF: more control variables (find the possibility of having more control variables in AROME-based DA), estimation of observation errors or estimation of bias corrections of observations.	CaGe (2), PaEs (2)	Scientific note
-------	--	--------------------	-----------------

**t-code deliverables**

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
DA2.1	JeBo, RoSt	CY47 or later	end 2019, some of the tasks in 2020
DA2.2	JeBo, PaEs	CY47 or later	end 2020
DA2.3	MF involved staff	CY47 or later	2018-2020
DA2.4	LoBe, YaMi	CY45T1 through CY46T1	end 2018
DA2.5	YaMi, PiBr	CY46T1 or CY47T1	2018-2019

**Non-t-code deliverables**

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
DA2.1	MaLi	update of 4D-Var script and namelists	end 2019, some of the tasks to be continued in 2020
DA2.2	JeBo	update of Harmonie script and namelists	end 2019
DA2.3	MF scientific staff	scientific papers about progress with OOPS/EnVar	end 2019
DA2.4	MF scientific staff	1)scientific papers, namelists for the MF suites; 2)script update for Harmonie	end 2019
DA2.5	MF scientific staff	scientific papers or notes, OLIVE scripting adaptations	end 2019
DA2.6	JeBo	Scientific paper	end 2020
DA2.7	PaEs, CaGe	Scientific paper	end 2020

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : DA3

WP number	Name of WP
DA3	Use of existing observations
WP main editor	Roger Randriamampianina, Jean-François Mahfouf

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
JoCa, MaDi, Jasa, AnHe	Joan Campins(2), Maria Diez(1), Jana Sanchez(4), Angeles Hernandez (2)	AEMET Spain	9
BeSt, PeSm	Benedikt Strajnar, Peter Smerkol	ARSO Slovenia	7.5
AnBu, AITr	Antonin Bucanek (2.5), Alena Trojakova (3)	CHMI Czech	5.5
AnSt	Antonio Stanesic	DHMZ Croatia	2
MaDah, HeVe	Mats Dahlbom(2), Henrik Vedel(0.5)	DMI Denmark	2.5
ErGr	Erik Gregow ((2)	FMI Finland	2
SiTh	Sigurdur Thorsteinsson (3)	IMO Iceland	3
HaBe, WaKh	Haythem Belgrissi (2), Wafa Khalfaoui (2)	INM Tunisia	4
IsMo, MaMo	Isabel Monteiro, Maria Monteiro	IPMA Portugal	2
SdH, WiVe, GJM, JaBa	Siebren de Haan(2), Wim Verkleij(3), Gert-Jan Marseille(3), Jan Barkmeijer(1)	KNMI Netherlands	9
FaHd, ZaSa	Fatima Hdidou (1), Zahra Sahlaoui (1)	Maroc Meteo	2
EoWh	Eoin Whelan(0.75)	MET Eireann	0.75
RoAz, MaMi, ChrEl, LiSe, RoRa	Roohollah Azad (EuM ET), Máté Mile (Alertness), Christoffer Elo (4), Lisa Graf Seland (MetCoOp), Roger Randriamampianina	MET Norway	4
AIDu	Alina Dumitru	Meteo Romania	1
FrGu, NaFo, ViGu, PaMo, ViPo, ErWa, MaMa, JFMa	Frank Guillaume, Nadia Fourré, Vincent Guidard, Patrick Moll, Vivien Pourret, Maud Martet, J.-F. Mahfouf	Météo-France	30
DuUs, YeCe	Duygu Ustuner (1)	MGM Turkey	1
MaMe, ZsKo	Mate Mester (4), Zsofia Kocsis (2)	OMSZ Hungary	6
IdDe	Idir Dehmous	ONM Algeria	3
MaDe, MiNe, Malm, KaCa	Maria Derkova (2), Michal Nestiak (6), Martin Imrisek (4), Katarina Catossova (2)	SHMU Slovakia	14
MaLi, MaRi, GuHa	Magnus Lindskog(1), Martin Ridal(3.5), Günther Haase(1)	SMHI Sweden	5.5
FIMe, PhSc, FIWe	Florian Meier (1.5), Philip Scheffknecht (1), Florian Weidle (1)	ZAMG Austria	3.5
LeDC	Lesley De Cruz	RMI Belgium	2

### WP objectives

In the past years various types of high-resolution observations have been made available in the assimilation system and found to positively impact forecast quality, such as radar reflectivities, GNSS ZTD, Mode-S, ASCAT winds, AMVs, and satellite radiances. It is a high priority task to ensure that these observations become available operationally to as many members as possible. For observation types already available in the assimilation system, ways are being investigated to optimize their use with regard to quality control, thinning/super-obbing, the size of their footprint with respect to the modelled values, and bias correction. For radar data, quality control investigations will remain a point of attention.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
DA3.1	Assist local implementation of radar data assimilation: optimize radar assimilation, prepare for operational introduction; continue to harmonize and improve quality control procedures and pre-processing (intelligent thinning / super-obbing); test alternative velocity de-aliasing algorithms and provide feedback to OPERA; generalize radar assimilation to 4D-Var and later to hybrid systems; impact studies to assess value of radar data in different weather regimes. Adaptation of BATOR to radar Doppler winds and reflectivities from OPERA. Perform monitoring and assimilation of various European radars.	AnBu, AnSt, FIMe, MiNe, AIDu, MaMe, MaDah (1), MaRi (2.5), WiVe (3), RoAz, GuHa (1), AITr, FrGu, MaMa, JFMa, ZaSa (1), JaBa (1), JaSa (2), IdDe, BeSt(3), PeSm(2), ChrEl (4)	T-codes and scientific note
DA3.2	Aircraft-derived data (ADD): assist implement Mode-S (EHS and MRAR) pre-processing; refine quality control, thinning/super-obbing; evaluate VarBC for ADD; impact assessment.	BeSt(0.5), JK, SdH (2), EoWh (0.25), RoRa, BeSt, FIMe, FrGu, ViPo, PaMo, MaRi (1), MaLi, MaDe, KaCa, LeDC (1), PhSc, RoAz, LiSe	T-codes and scientific note
DA3.3	Ground-based GNSS ZTD: further elaborate the assimilation of ZTD data without or with less anchoring observations; refine white- or blacklisting of GNSS stations and use of VarBC; conduct impact study; apply with 4D-Var.	JaSa (2), SiTh (1.5), MaLi (0.5), HeVe (0.5), PaMo, Malm, FaHd, BeSt (1), PeSm (2), Milm, LeDC (1), FIWe, FIMe	T-codes and scientific note

DA3.4	Scatterometer winds: optimize settings for update frequency, thinning/accounting for footprint size in first-guess departure (supermodding), correlated observation errors, and assess impact in different weather regimes;	MaMi, GJM (3), IsMo	T-codes and scientific note
DA3.5	AMV: Assist the implementation of both locally (NWCSAF HRW software) and EUMETSAT generated AMV's; elaborate the blacklisting procedure.	FM, MMi, EoWh (0.25), ErGr (2), TL, AnHe (1), ZsKo	T-codes and scientific note
DA3.6.1-3	Clear-sky radiances: 1) Seviri, 2) IASI and CrIS, and 3) ATOVS and ATMS: improve the estimation of surface emissivity and skin temperature to allow their assimilation over sea ice and land, including radiances from low-peaking channels. Very promising results with ATOVS was found in 2018.	MaMo, MaDah(1), SiTh (1.5), MaDi (1), JoCa (2), WaKh, AnHe (1)	T-codes and scientific note
DA3.7	Cloud-affected radiances: IASI and CrIS radiances: allow assimilation of cloud-affected radiances (e.g. CO2 slicing).	ViGu, NaFo	T-codes and scientific note
DA3.8	Near-surface observations in the upper air assimilation: refine vertical interpolation, quality control, VarBC; perform impact assessment; promote data exchange between NMS's.	MaLi (0.5), MaRi, MaDi	T-codes and scientific note
DA3.9	Assist local implementation of high-resolution radiosondes: optimize local pre-processing, extend observation operator.	EoWh (0.25), HaBe, MaMo, DuUs	T-codes and scientific note.

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
DA3.1	MaDa	CY46T1 or later	end 2020
DA3.2	EoWh, RoRa, PM	CY46T1 or later	end 2019
DA3.3	MaLi, HaBe, PaMo	CY46T1 or later	end 2019
DA3.4	GJM	CY46T1 or later	end 2020
DA3.5	EoWh, RoRa	CY46T1 or later	end 2020
DA3.6.1	MaDi	CY46T1 or later	end 2019
DA3.6.2	SiTh, RoRa	CY46T1 or later	end 2019
DA3.6.3	MaDa	CY46T1 or later	end 2019
DA3.9	EoWh	CY46T1 or later	end 2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
DA3.1	MaMo	Scientific note	end 2020
DA3.4	IsMo	Scientific note	end 2019
DA3.7			
DA3.8	MaLi	Report and scripts and namelist update	end 2020

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : DA4

WP number	Name of WP
DA4	Use of new observations types
WP main editor	Jean-François Mahfouf and Roger Randriamampianina

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
KaHi	Kasper Hintz (4)	DMI Denmark	4
CdB, SdH, JaBa	Cisco de Bruijn (0.8), Siebren de Haan (1)	KNMI Netherlands	1.8
FaHd	Fatima Hidou	Maroc Meteo	3
MaDi, CaGe, AnHe	Maria Diez (2), Carlos Geijo(1), Angeles Hernandez(1)	AEMET Spain	4
IsMo	Isabel Monteiro	IPMA Portugal	1
RoRa, RoAz, RoSt	Roger Randriamampianina(1, Alertness), Roohollah Azad (Alertness, PRODEX)	MET Norway	1
PaMo, ErWa, FrGu, ViGu, NaFo, PhCh, FaDu, ViPo, ChPa, JFM, FrVi, ZiSa, GuTh	Patrick Moll, Eric Wattrelot, Frank Guillaume, Vincent Guidard, Nadia Fourrié, Philippe Chambon, Fabrice Duruisseau, Vivien Pourret, Christophe Payan, Jean-François Mahfouf, Francesca Vittorioso, Zied Sassi, Guillaume Thomas	Météo-France	55
MOAM	Mohand Ouali Ait Meziane	ONM Algeria	1
FIMe, PhSc, FIWe	Florian Meier (0.5), Philip Scheffknecht (0.5), Florian Weidle (3)	ZAMG Austria	4
YeCe	Yelis CENGİZ	MGM Turkey	1

### WP objectives

The general goal is to prepare the use of new (not yet routinely available in the LAM DA system) observations in the various LAM variational data assimilation systems (for current 3D/4D-Vars and future 3D/4D-En-Vars). The quality of mesoscale analyses rely on an efficient extraction of small-scale information contained in data available at high spatial and temporal scales. The priority should be on observations that can help to constrain the model evolution in terms of water vapour, clouds and precipitation. In order to make an optimal usage of the various data types, significant activities should be devoted to the specification of quality controls (e.g. cloud detection for satellite radiances), error specifications, bias corrections and data sampling/averaging.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
DA4.1	All-sky radiances: 1) Implement the use of all-sky radiances starting with ATOVS and SSMI/S (ECMWF method). 2) Finalise the design of the assimilation of "all-sky" microwave radiances using a Bayesian inversion in the AROME 3D-Var (MF method). 3) Use the RTTOV-SCATT radiative transfer model for the quality control of microwave radiances before assimilation in the AROME 3D-Var.	RoRa (1), RoAz, FaDu, PhCh, JFM	Codes and scientific note
DA4.2	GNSS slant delay: assist the implementation the assimilation of GNSS slant delay, conduct impact study with 3D/4D-Var.	SdH (1), FIWe, FIMe	Codes and scientific note
DA4.3	GNSS ZTD horizontal gradients: Finalise the coding of the observation operator, conduct impact study with data provided by IGN.	PaMo, FaHd, FrGu	Codes and scientific note
DA4.4	High-resolution surface pressure observations: further explore potential of volunteered observations from crowd and smartphones.	KaHi (4), CdB (0.4)	Codes and scientific note
DA4.5.1-4	Future satellite instruments: Preparations for assimilation of, respectively, 1) Aeolus L2 HLOS winds, 2) MTG-IRS, 3) IASI-NG, 4) winds from various scatterometers. Significant work was done in 2018 with Aeolus L2 HLOS winds.	RoAz, ViGu, FrGu, ViPo, ChPa, FrVi, IsMo, AnHe (1)	Codes and scientific note
DA4.6	Use of AMDAR humidity observations: Continue the to monitor, optimize the QC and perform impact study of AMDAR humidity in the ALARO/AROME 3D-Var.	FIMe, MaDi (2), PaMo, MOAM, YeCe, PhSc	Code and scientific note
DA4.7	Assimilate L2 profiles of temperature and humidity derived from IASI and ATOVS radiances (produced by EUMETSAT) in the AROME 3D-Var.	ViGu	Scientific note
DA4.8	Document the assimilation of IASI reconstructed radiances from PC scores in the AROME 3D-Var (preparatory studies for the assimilation of data from IRS/MTG).	NaFo, ViGu	Scientific note
DA4.9	Assimilate wind data from recreational hot-air balloon flights in HARMONIE-AROME	CdB (0.4)	Code and Scientific note
DA4.10	Start to explore the different products from SAF/NWC in DA processes (short-range and nowcasting applications)	CaGe (1)	Scientific note

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
DA4.1	1) RoRa, 2-3) PhCh	CY46T1 or later	end of 2020
DA4.2	SdH	CY46T1 or later	end of 2020
DA4.3			
DA4.4	KaHi	CY47T1	End of 2020

DA4.5.1-4	1-RoAz, FrGu	CY47T1	End of 2019
DA4.6	MaDi, PaMo	CY47T1	End of 2019
DA4.7	ViGu	CY47T1	End of 2019
DA4.8	NaFo	CY47T1	End of 2019
DA4.9	CbB	CY46T1	End of 2020
DA4.10	CaGe	CY46T1	End of 2020

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
DA4.1	RoRa	CY43	end of 2019
DA4.7	ViGu	Technical report	End of 2019
DA4.8	AlTr, FIMe	Technical report	End of 2019
DA4.9	CdB	Technical report	end of 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : DA5

WP number	Name of WP
DA5	Development of assimilation setups suited for nowcasting
WP main editor	Xiaohua Yang, Pierre Brousseau, Florian Meier

### Table of participants

Participant Abbrev	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
CaGe	Carlo Geijo (5)	AEMET Spain	5
BeSt	Benedikt Strajnar	ARSO Slovenia	1
PaBe, AITr	Patrick Benacek (2), Alena Trojakova (1)	CHMI Czech	3
XiYa, CIPe, HeVe	Xiaohua Yang (1), Claus Pedersen(1), Henrik Vedel(0.5)	DMI Denmark	2.5
ErGr	Erik Gregow (2)	FMI Finland	2
MaMo	Maria Monteiro	IPMA Portugal	1
SdH, JaBa	Siebren de Haan (1), Jan Barkmeijer (1.5)	KNMI Netherlands	2.5
FaHd, ZaSa	Fatima Hididou (0.5), Zahra Sahlaoui (1.5)	Maroc Meteo	2
RoAz, RoRa	Roohollah Azad (Flyvær, RadPrO), Roger Randriamampianina (1, RadPrO)	MET Norway	1
AnVa	Aniko Varkonyi	OMSZ Hungary	6
PiBr	Pierre Brousseau	Météo-France	1
MiNe, MaDia	Michal Nestiak(1), Martin Dian (1)	SHMU Slovakia	2
MaLi, ToLa, NiGu, JeBo	Magnus Lindskog (2), Tomas Landelius (SEA), Nils Gustafsson, Jelena Bojarova	SMHI Sweden	2
FIMe, PhSc	Florian Meier (4), Phillip Scheffknecht (3)	ZAMG Austria	7
LeDC	Lesley De Cruz	RMI Belgium	5

### WP objectives

Nowcasting and very short range forecasting (~2-6h) require rapid and frequent updating of the model initial state with the most recent (and frequent) observations. 3D-Var nowcasting setups with hourly or even sub-hourly cycling are being experimented with. Because of their high time frequencies, observations from radars, GNSS, geostationary satellites, aircraft, polar orbiting satellites for high latitude domains, and surface networks provide relevant observational input data. The problem of how to account for spatially and temporally correlated observation errors in the analysis of these data needs to be tackled. Ways to reduce model spinup and optimizing cycling and initialization strategies in the nowcasting range will be considered. Several methods are being developed with the aim of giving greater weight to observations, in particular radar data and cloud satellite imagery. Nudging techniques are being considered within LACE. In HIRLAM, the cloud initialization technique (using satellite imagery to initialize model humidity fields) will be applied to a wider range of cloud products from the SAF/NWC. At high resolutions, it becomes increasingly important for the analysis system to correct for displacement errors in fine-scale atmospheric features. The field alignment and image warping techniques, developed to identify and correct for displacement errors with respect to e.g. radar data or satellite imagery, will be integrated into the variational assimilation system. Nested (sub-kilometric) models with or without data assimilation will be, as well, tested. For the method to have optimal effect, alternative formulations of balance may be required; this is being investigated in WP DA1.2.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
DA5.1	Observation networks suitable for RUC/RR setup (e.g.: Mode-S, GNSS ZTD, GNSS STD, Radar, Seviri, surface, ...): monitor observations usage; evaluate quality, promote data exchange from local observation networks.	SdH (1), HeVe (0.5), ErGr (0.5), FIMe, BeSt, MiNe, MaMo, ZaSa, MaDia, AnVa	Codes and scientific note
DA5.2	Assimilation cycling strategy: evaluate aspects of assimilation setup updating frequency, rapid refresh (RR) vs RUC. Test of rapid refresh with use of moving assimilation window and assimilation cycling with overlapping windows.	AnVe, ZaSa, FaHd, LeDC (2), FIMe	Codes and scientific note
DA5.3	Treatment of non-additive errors: further develop the integration of field alignment (FA) into variational data assimilation and nowcasting. Consider the alternative of "assimilating" the FA output using the variational constraint developed under DA1.3.	CaGe (5), RoRa (1)	Codes and scientific note
DA5.4	Towards cloud initialisation: initialize humidity fields from CPP products and evaluate their impact on the cloud initialization; study pre-conditioning of the first guess using radar data. Study weather regime dependent balances between hydrometeor model variables and control state variables, possibly using ensemble techniques.	ErGr (1), MaLi (2), ToLa, FIMe, MiNe	Codes and scientific note
DA5.5	Optimize setup for nowcasting range: optimize design and implementation of a data assimilation system suitable for the very short range (0-6h). Test of combination of upper air with 4DVAR or 3DVAR for coarse resolution domain with internally nested high resolution downscaling using radar data nudging).	RoAz, XiYa (1), ErGr (0.5), CIPE (1), FIMe, PhSc, MiNe, MaDia, MaLi, JaBa (1.5), NiGu, CaGe, LeDC (3)	Codes and scientific note
DA5.6	Implement HybridEnVar scheme based on tracking of structures for a very short forecast ranges (0-9h) base on the EPS and alpha control variables.	JeBo	Codes and scientific note

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
------	-------------	-------	------

DA5.4	ErGr	CY46	end 2019
-------	------	------	----------

**Non-t-code deliverables**

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
DA5.1	SdH	script and code	end of 2019
DA5.2	RoRo, XiYa, PiBr	script and code	end of 2019
DA5.3	CaGe, RoRa	script and code	end of 2019
DA5.5	RoAz, XiYa	script and code	end of 2019
DA5.6	JeBo	script and code	end of 2020

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : DA6

WP number	Name of WP
DA6	Participation in OOPS
WP main editor	Claude Fischer, Roel Stappers, Daan Degrauwe

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
RoSt, RoRa	Roel Stappers (COM1.2, ERA6), Roger Randriamampianina	MET Norway	1
CIFI, EtAr, AlMa, KaYe, REK, FISu, FaVo	Claude Fischer, Etienne Arbogast, Alexandre Mary, Karim Yessad, Ryad El Khatib, Florian Suzat, Fabrice Voitus	Météo-France	25
DaDe	Daan Degrauwe	RMI Belgium	0.5
JeBo	Jelena Bojarova (probably starting in 2020)	SMHI Sweden	

### WP objectives

The general goal is to enable an object-oriented C++ layer for control of the IFS/ARPEGE/LAM data assimilation (and forecast model) applications. The computational code remains in FORTRAN, based on the IFS/Arpège/LAM shared codes, but has to be adapted (re-factored) towards an OO coding.

The ultimate target is to be ready to switch any NWP system to OOPS binaries in a (reasonably not too long) delay of time after ECMWF did so for IFS. The present plan at EC is to switch OOPS to operations by mid-2019.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
DA6.1	FORTRAN code re-factoring, within IFS/ARPEGE cycles, including ARPEGE and LAM phasing to re-factoring aspects. The aim of this task is to rearrange the IFS/ARPEGE/LAM codes in order to enable the 4D-VAR and 3D-VAR configurations to work within the OOPS framework including VarBC and VarQC.	KaYe, AlMa, REK, CIFI, RoSt, EtAr, FISu	t-codes
DA6.2	Participation in C++ layer (managed at ECMWF) and support to scientists (for getting hand-on the OOPS system)	EtAr, RoSt	t-codes, OOPS interface codes
DA6.3	Develop prototypes, including tests of OOPS objects	EtAr, AlMa, RoSt	non t-codes
DA6.4	Full-POS for OOPS (import (e)927 facility inside OOPS executables)	REK	t-codes
DA6.5	Specific ARPEGE/LAM issues for re-factoring (DDH, LBC)	AlMa, KaYe, FaVo	t-codes
DA6.6	Digital filter initialization in OOPS	DaDe	t-codes
DA6.7	Develop large scale error constraint, allow centred FGAT. Hybrid-envar, LAM 4DVAR. Implement alpha-control variables for LAM and LETKF scheme. Find flexible technical solutions for consistent ensemble variational DA/EPS schemes.	RoSt, JeBo	t-codes
DA6.8	Participation to technical coordination meetings (incl with EC), OOPS Board (CF only), workshops	CIFI, EtAr, KaYe, AlMa, RoSt, RoRa	minutes of meetings

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
DA6.1	ECMWF/MF coordination (coordinators)	CY47, likely CY47T1	end 2019 ?
DA6.2	EtAr & RoSt	?	?
DA6.4	REK	CY47	April 2019
DA6.5	AlMa	CY47	April 2019
DA6.6	?	?	?
DA6.7	RoSt	after CY47T1	2020

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
DA6.3	EtAr for MF prototypes	updated prototype codes (outside IFS cycles)	?
DA6.8	CIFI, RoSt, RoRa	minutes of meetings, technical notes, presentations for workshops	as relevant

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : DA7

WP number	Name of WP
DA7	Observation pre-processing and diagnostic tools
WP main editor	Eoin Whelan, Alena Trojaková, Roger Randriamampianina

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
JaSa	Jana Sanchez (1)	AEMET Spain	1
AlTr	Alena Trojakova	CHMI Czech	2
BjAm, MaDah	Bjarne Amstrup (1.5), Mats Dahlbom(2)	DMI Denmark	3.5
ViHo	Viktoria Hommonnai	OMSZ Hungary	1
IsMo	Isabel Monteiro	IPMA Portugal	1
EoWh	Eoin Whelan (3)	MET Eireann	3
RoRa	Roger Randriamampianina (1)	MET Norway	1
HeBe, FrGu, DoPu, DoRa	Hervé Benichou, Frank Guillaume, Dominique Puech, Dominique Raspaud	Météo-France	7
MaLi, MaRi, PaMa	Magnus Lindskog(CARRA), Martin Ridal (PRECISE), Paulo Madeiros (CARRA, PRECISE)	SMHI Sweden	

### WP objectives

Objectives are:

- To contribute to the overhaul and streamlining of the observation pre-processing which is being realized in the COPE project. A main area of attention there will be the handling of radar observations in the COPE framework.
- For new observation types, such as e.g. MTG/IRS, all-sky radiances, develop software for the pre-processing and quality control of these data, and assess the need to apply variational bias correction.
- Where needed, extend observation usage monitoring and diagnostics tools with more diagnostics. Currently, we have the Obsmon for observation usage monitoring, the ObsTool for checking the effective observation error and thinning distance, the DFS (degrees of freedom for signals) to evaluate the impact of observations in the analysis system, and the MTEN (moist total energy norm) for evaluation of the sensitivity of the forecast model to the observations.
- Study the feasibility of implementation of the FSOI (forecast sensitivity to observation impact) in limited area model (LAM).
- Explore alternative for observation pre-processing. Recently, SAPP (scalable acquisition and pre-processing) under development at ECMWF was promoted for local implementation and application.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
DA7.1	Participate in the ECMWF COPE-3 project: replace QC filters from the pre-processing software; implement local data formats (radar, Mode-S, BUFR, ASCII) and functionalities (HDF reader, Lambert projection, report destruction); development of common blacklisting software; evaluate functionality a new prototype pre-processing system.	EoWh (1), MaDah (1), BjAm (1.5)	T-Codes and non-T-codes
DA7.2	Diagnostic tools: Continue the implementation and extension of diagnostics tools. 1) ObsTool to evaluate the effective observation error and thinning distance. At the current stage, this tool is developed to be use with local environment only; 2) DFS to evaluate the impact of observations on the analyses. A common (play-file) solution is needed to allow the existing solution for wider use; 3) ObsMon to monitor the use and contribution of observations in DA. Single (up to date) development stream requested; 4) MTEN to evaluate the impact of observations on the forecast model, assist the exploration and maintenance of the existing solution under the Harmonie branch; 5) Feasibility study of FSOI in LAM. Need of common solution.	JaSa (1), MaDah (1), MaLi, MaRi, RoRa (0.5), HeBe, DoPu, DoRa, PaMa	non-T-codes report
DA7.3	Maintenance and development of ODB software, basic extraction tools from the raw observations to ODB (bator, b2o). Update Bator to handle new types of observations, like for example, All-Sky radiances or ADM Aeolus.	EoWh (1), FrGu, RoRa (0.5)	non-T-codes
DA7.4	Assist the local implementation of SAPP for local observations pre-processing with special focus on observations not yet handled by the package.	EoWh(1), IsMo (1)	non-T-codes
DA7.5	OPLACE: Maintenance and development of observation preprocessing software (before the conversion to ODB - task DA7.3), new observation types data handling , data acquisition and observation format conversion tools, simple QC, TAC2BUFR migration.	AlTr, ViHo	non-T-codes, report

### T-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
DA7.1	EW	CY46T1	end 2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

DA7.1	EoWh	CY46	end 2019
DA7.2	DoRa	Technical report	end 2019
DA7.2.1		script and code	end 2019
DA7.2.2	RoRa	script and play-file	end 2019
DA7.2.3	PaMa	script and code (CI)	end 2019
DA7.2.4	RoRa	CI	end 2019
DA7.3	EoWh	CY46	end 2019
DA7.4	IsMo, EoWh	Technical note	end 2020
DA7.5	AITr	Technical note	end 2020

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : DY1

WP number	Name of WP
DY1	Boundary conditions and nesting
WP main editor	Sander Tijm

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
CoCl	Colm Clancy	MET Eireann	1
REK, GhFa	Ryad El Khatib, Ghislain Faure	Météo-France	3

### WP objectives

All limited area models use Davies-Källberg relaxation towards the host model in the lateral boundary coupling zone. Aspects deserving further study are the influence of domain size on the influence of the host model through the boundary conditions, the influence of the width of the relaxation zone, the choice of model top and upper boundary treatment and the number of horizontal interpolation steps and the vertical interpolation used in the boundary generation.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
DY1.1	Study the vertical interpolation used in the boundary file generation in HARMONIE-AROME	CoCl	t-code?, configuration
DY1.2	Simplify the procedure for getting coupling files from IFS: development and optimisation of configuration 903, operational implementation and testing, documentation, testing of different options and quality control. The options include: quadratic or cubic grid output, horizontal and vertical resolution, the role of clim files, treatment of prognostic variables (condensates), surface, and possibly other.	MaTu, REK, GhFa, CoCl	t-code, configuration, documentation

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
DY1.1	CoCl		Q2 2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
DY1.1	CoCl	t-Code?, configuration	Q2 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : DY2

WP number	Name of WP
DY2	Time-stepping algorithm
WP main editor	Petra Smolíková

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
PeSm	Petra Smolíková	CHMI Czech	4
CoCl	Colm Clancy	MET Eireann	
JoVi	Jozef Vivoda	SHMU Slovakia	1

### WP objectives

To maintain and develop time-stepping procedure in the non-hydrostatic dynamical core of the ALADIN-HIRLAM System based on the given constraints. The basic algorithmic choices remain here unchanged: semi-implicit time scheme and spectral horizontal representation of prognostic variables. Tests in higher horizontal resolutions than those used currently in operational applications (being close or less than 1km) reveal that in most of the cases the SETTLS time scheme is enough to deliver stable solution while there appear some cases when at least one iteration of the iterative centred implicit scheme is needed. Several new definitions of the vertical motion variable were proposed (w5,w6) with consequences on the prognostic equations system and on the time-space discretization of this system. The implementation of these definitions has to be finalized and tested.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
DY2.1	Dynamic definition of the iterative time schemes: the corrector step "on demand" according to a diagnostic of scheme stability or according to a prescribed pattern (i.e. every Nth step in a given set of vertical levels) for non-linear residual calculation.	JoVi, PeSm	t-code
DY2.2	To reformulate the nonhydrostatic nonlinear model using new definitions for the vertical motion variables to obtain simple bottom boundary condition with the goal to increase the overall stability of the scheme.	JoVi, PeSm	t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
DY2.1	JoVi	CY47T1	end 2019
DY2.2	JoVi	CY47T1	end 2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
DY2.1	JoVi	Report, ideal cases study	end 2019

# ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : DY3

WP number	Name of WP
DY3	Vertical discretization
WP main editor	Petra Smolíková

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
JoVi	Jozef Vivoda	SHMU Slovakia	1

## WP objectives

To maintain, develop and possibly externalize the vertical discretization of both, hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic dynamical core of the ALADIN-HIRLAM System based on the given constraints. To study the compatibility of direct inversion in the Helmholtz solver done after elimination of all variables but horizontal divergence (solution proposed by Voitus) with finite element vertical discretization and possibly address remaining problems. To externalize the whole vertical discretization from other model parts.

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
DY 3.1	VFE in NH model with direct inversion of the Helmholtz solver	JoVi	report
DY 3.2	The externalization of the vertical discretization.	JoVi	t-code

## t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
DY3.2	JoVi		longer term task

## Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
DY3.1		Report	end 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : DY4

WP number	Name of WP
DY4	Semi-Lagrangian advection
WP main editor	Petra Smolíková

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
PeSm	Petra Smolíková	CHMI Czech	3
AICr	Alexandra Craciun	Meteo Romania	1

### WP objectives

To test the semi-Lagrangian advection algorithm in high horizontal resolutions and draw conclusions on its design. As we increase the model horizontal resolution, the local divergence can increase significantly and the Lipschitz criteria may be broken locally. Then the trajectory search may become divergent. Then the increase in the number of iterations in the process to search for a SL trajectory may lead to even less accurate solutions. Similar problems have been identified at ECMWF in IFS and fixed by local change of the computation of the half level wind. These considerations should be confirmed in more detailed study.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
DY4.1	Check the convergence of the iterative algorithm for trajectory search in kilometric resolutions, draw conclusions.	AICr, PeSm	non-t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
DY4.1	AICr	Report	end 2019

# ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : PH1

WP number	Name of WP
PH1	Developments of AROME-France (and ARPEGE) physics
WP main editor	Claude Fischer and Yves Bouteloup

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
HaDh	Hajer Dhououi	INM Tunisia	8
KEL, NaMa	Kamal El Karouni (1), Najla Marass (0.75)	Maroc Meteo	1.75
YvBo, ErBa, YaSe, RaHo, PaMa, JMP, CeLo, InEt, OlJa, AnHu	Yves Bouteloup, Eric Bazile, Yann Seity, Rachel Honnert, Pascal Marquet, Jean-Marcel Piriou, Cécile Loo, Ingrid Etchevers, Olivier Jaron, Antoine Hubans : CNRM/GMAP	Météo-France	44
ChLa, SeRi, BeVi, QuLi	Christine Lac, Sébastien Riette, Benoit Vié, Quentin Libois : CNRM/GMME	Météo-France	23
ViHo	Viktoria Homonnai	OMSZ Hungary	2
MoMo, AbAm	Mohamed Mokhtari (2), Abdennour Ambar (4)	ONM Algeria	6
ChWi	Christoph Wittmann	ZAMG Austria	1

## WP objectives

Improve the physics parameterizations and diagnostics of the MF NWP configurations, which encompass AROME-France CMC, the other AROME configurations (Overseas, Assistance etc.) and ARPEGE. This activity includes addressing model weaknesses seen in the operational MF suites, developing R&D for improving or extending existing parameterizations as well as developing new parameterizations. Additional efforts relate to developing new model research diagnostics, new model output products (using mostly output from the physics), addressing the use of physics as a component of multi-physics in the EPS, linearized physics for global 4D-VAR.

Note: work on sub-km versions of AROME is reported in the corresponding work package sheet (very high resolution)

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
PH1.1	AROME core physics efforts: assess performance of dynamical adaptation versus DA versions, seen from the forecast model point of view, improve wind gust modelling, further improve ICE3/ICE4 especially with respect to forecast of hail, assess the dependence of AROME microphysics to model time step, tests of LIMA with a view on numerical cost versus meteorological performance,	YaSe, ErBa, RaHo, SeRi, HaDh (6), KEL, ViHo	doc, t-code
PH1.2	LIMA microphysics scheme development	BeVi, ChLa, HaDh (2)	doc (Méso-NH results at first place)
PH1.3	Reassess some basics about thermodynamics and turbulence in our models: Lewis number # 1, review stability functions for PBL, consistent moist energy definition and energy transformation cycle	PaMa	doc, papers, t-code
PH1.4	Assess a first (early) version of dust aerosol forecast facility in AROME	FrBs, YaSe, YvBo, AbAm, MoMo	doc
PH1.5	Processes and parameterization codes for radiation: get an overall knowledge of existing radiation codes, their underlying processes, the input data (optical properties, input climatologies, etc.). Assess their performances within MF's NWP systems. Note: this work includes the new code ECRAD from ECMWF.	QuLi, YvBo	doc
PH1.6	Model output diagnostics: evaluation of new visibility diagnostic, discrimination of precipitation type, test 4-points interpolation in Full-POS, further improve DDH, application-oriented model outputs (for aviation end-users)	YvBo, InEt, OlJa, JMP, HaDh, ChWi, NaMa	notes, t-code
PH1.7	ARPEGE-specific aspects: reassess the scientific choices and the code of the convection scheme PCMT (collaboration with climate group), intensive tests of the IFS deep convection scheme, intercomparison effort of parameterization schemes between ARPEGE and IFS (PhD of AnHu), linearized physics (microphysics aspects) in 4D-VAR,	JMP, YvBo, PaMa, ErBa, CeLo, AnHu	t-code, namelists

## t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

## Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : PH2

WP number	Name of WP
PH2	Developments of HARMONIE-AROME physics
WP main editor	Sander Tijm

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
JaCa, GeMo, DaMa, SaVi	Javier Calvo (1.5), Daniel Martin (8)	AEMET Spain	9.5
KPN	Kristian Pagh Nielsen	DMI Denmark	2
LaRo	Laura Rontu	FMI Finland	3
JPP	Joni-Pekka Pietikainen (ext)	FMI Finland	
WdR, SaTi, PeBa	Wim de Rooij (3.5), Sander Tijm (2), Peter Baas (ext)	KNMI Netherlands	5.5
EmGI	Emily Gleeson	MET Eireann	4
BJE, TeVa	Bjorg Jenny Engdahl (ext), Teresa Valkonen (ext)	Met Norway	
AbBa	Abdelhak Bahoului	ONM Algeria	2
KII	Karl-Ivar Ivarsson	SMHI Sweden	2
DaBe	Danijel Belusic (ext)	SMHI Sweden	
HaSo	Harald Sodemann (ext)	University of Bergen	

### WP objectives

Verify and where possible improve the general representation of clouds and microphysics (tasks PH2.1 - PH2.4). Weaknesses like the too weakly precipitating cold outbreak convection and the impact of surface data assimilation are studied. Further, the impact of more realistic descriptions for aerosols/ condensation nuclei on the development of clouds and precipitation are studied and where possible, improved. The behaviour of the LIMA scheme will be assessed and compared to the present ICE3 scheme.
Work to improve the realism of the radiation schemes and the interaction between radiation and clouds and/or aerosol (tasks PH2.5 – PH2.7). Currently very simple assumptions are made for aerosols that have a significant impact on the clouds and radiation. The aim is to achieve a more realistic description of aerosol and thereby achieve a more accurate model representation of clouds and radiation). Also, the impact of the intermittent calling of the full radiation scheme and possible improvements are investigated.
Study the model weaknesses under stable boundary layer conditions and test potential improvements (tasks PH2.8 – PH2.10). Especially the generally too low nighttime temperatures, the failure to represent observed very low temperature minima in very cold conditions and too thick, persistent and widespread fog will be targeted.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
PH2.1	1D Cloud studies: Evaluation of physical parameterizations in "common MUSC", see also PH4.	WdR	publication
PH2.2	Convection: Study the impact of physics and dynamics choices on the initiation and strength of deep convection. Areas that have been studied so far are the surface (soil moisture, significant impact in some cases), vertical diffusion (clouds remain parameterized, no transition to resolved showers) and microphysics (no formation of cloud ice/snow/graupele for showers warmer than -15°C). These last two items have to be studied further.	JaCa, SaTi, KII, WdR	configuration
PH2.3	3D Cloud studies and CRIME-project: In-depth comparison of Harmonie-Arome 3D cloud fields with SAF satellite cloud products and Cloudnet and methods such as SAL and FSS. Within CRIME also the cloud representation will be studies with LES. This is strongly linked to QA2	GeMo, WdR, PeBa	t-code, Report
PH2.4	Microphysics: Improve statistical representation of sub-grid microphysics, implement in ICE3 microphysics a 3D daily updated Nc, test if cloud cover calculation and ice nucleus concentration parametrization can be improved with PBL height information. Explore the behaviour of LIMA. PhD work on the implementation of the Thompson microphysics scheme.	KII, BJE, DaMa, DaBe	t-code, proposal for namelist changes
PH2.5	Ensure consistency between the current cloud microphysics and radiation schemes. Import effective size (radius) of cloud ice, cloud liquid, graupel, snow and rain particles from microphysics to the radiation schemes. Externalise effective radius calculations from inside IFS, ACRANE2 and HLRADIA; develop, recode, test within MUSC cycle cy43 (45). Explore the possibility to derive the cloud cover from the subgrid fraction and the optical depth of each water species.	KII, EmGI	t-code, namelist
PH2.6	Radiation: Continue the comparison of the IFS, hlradia and Acraneb-2 short-wave and long-wave radiation schemes, consider as multi-physics options in HarmonEPS, installation and testing of ECRAD in HARMONIE-AROME, based on preliminary work ongoing within MesoNH framework and in AROME-France	LaRo, EmGI, KPN	t-code, namelist
PH2.7	Improve the usage of (fixed) climatological aerosol concentration and optical properties for any radiation scheme based on CAMS climatology from ECMWF. Further testing of near real-time CAMS aerosol both for the direct radiative effect of aerosols and the cloud-aerosol interactions.	LaRo, KPN, JPP, DaMa	t-code, namelist

PH2.8	SBL studies: Assess known weaknesses in the Stable Boundary Layer (SBL) regime, evaluation of HARATU vs CBR in the SBL, study the influence of vertical resolution on decoupling in SBL, study cloud microphysics and radiation interactions. Study the impact of a droplet deposition parameterization to reduce the cloud water in fog. For persistent fog when no fog is observed and vice versa, conditional (no other clouds should be present) cloud initialization can be considered. Proposal MetNo on Arctic stable boundary layer.	TVa, WdR, PeBa, EmGI, HaSo	t-code, namelist
PH2.9	Surface influence on SBL (see also SU3,4): Study in CY43 the influence of snow, ice, vegetation and impact of the multiple energy balance scheme on the model boundary layer under stable conditions; investigate the use of higher resolution surface information (e.g. variance within grid cell) coupled to the atmospheric model. Also study the impact of the translation from model level/surface to observed levels.	AbB	t-code, namelist
PH2.10	Study the relative role and interaction of parametrizations and data assimilation on the SBL.		

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
PH2.3	WdR		Q4 2019
PH2.4	KII		
PH2.5	KPN		
PH2.6	LaRo		
PH2.7	LaRo/JPP		
PH2.8	TeVa, WdR		

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
PH2.1	WdR	Publication	
PH2.2	JaCa	Proposed namelist changes	
PH2.3	GeMo, WdR	Report	Q4 2019 (WdR)
PH2.4	KII	Namelist	
PH2.5	KPN	Namelist	
PH2.6	LaRo	Namelist	
PH2.7	LaRo/JPP	Namelist	
PH2.8	TeVa, WdR	Namelist	

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : PH3

WP number	Name of WP
PH3	Developments of ALARO physics
WP main editor	Neva Pristov

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
PeSm, NePr, JuCe	Peter Smerkol, Neva Pristov, Jure Cedilnik	ARSO Slovenia	6
RaBr, JaMa	Radmila Brožkova(10), Jan Mašek(9), Alois Sokol (6)	CHMI Czech	25
MaHr	Mario Hrastinski	DHMZ Croatia	3
BoBo	Bogdan Bochenek	IMGW Poland	2
DuAk	Duygu Aktas	MGM Turkey	1
LuGe	Luc Gerard	RMI Belgium	11
MaDi	Martin Dian	SHMU Slovakia	3
ChWi	Christoph Wittmann	ZAMG Austria	0.5

### WP objectives

One of the ALADIN CMC is ALARO which is used in many operational applications, LAM EPS systems and climatological simulations. The aim is to improve or extend the existing parameterizations and continue developing new one (CSD). Next well tuned version could have non-saturated downdraught and few additional novelties (prognostic graupel, revision of mixing length and TOMs in TOUCANS). Validation of ALARO coupled with SURFEX will take place. Additionally, some effort will be put to new model output products (see PH5).

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
PH3.1	Radiation scheme – minor improvements	JaMa, AnSt, SuPa	doc, t-code
PH3.2.1	TOUCANS scheme – code re-organization, cleaning, debugging	RaBr, JaMa, PeSm(2)	doc, t-code
PH3.2.2	TOUCANS scheme – mixing length computation	MaHr, RaBr, JaMa	doc, t-code
PH3.3	Cloud scheme	JaMa, RaBr, LuGe	doc, t-code
PH3.4	Non-saturated downdraught	LuGe	doc, t-code
PH3.5	Complementary Subgrid Drafts (CSD)	LuGe	doc, t-code
PH3.6	Microphysics – prognostic graupl	BoBo, RaBr	doc, t-code
PH3.7	Coupling ALARO-1 and SURFEX	NePr(2), DuAk, DaDe, MaDi, JaMa, RaBr	doc, t-code
PH3.8	ALARO-1 validation and maintenance	JaMa, RaBr, AISo	t-code
PH3.9	Improvement of DDH tool for ALARO		t-code
PH3.10	Diagnostic fields	AISo, NePr(1), JuCe(1), ChWi	t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
PH3.*	JaMa, RaBr	cy4?	regularly

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : PH4

WP number	Name of WP
PH4	Common 1D MUSC framework for parametrization validation
WP main editor	Sander Tijm, Wim de Rooij and Eric Bazile

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
WdR	Wim de Rooij	KNMI Netherlands	0.5
BJE	Bjorg Jenny Engdahl	Met Norway	
ErBa, YvBo, RaHo, JMP	Eric Bazile, Yves Bouteloup, Rachel Honnert, Jean-Marcel Piriou	Météo-France	5
BaSz	Balazs Szintai	OMSZ Hungary	1
DaDe	Daan Degrauwe	RMI Belgium	0.5
MaTu	Martina Tudor	DHMZ Croatia	

### WP objectives

Maintain and regularly upgrade a "common MUSC" 1D testing environment for Arome-France and Harmonie-Arome, for the evaluation of physics parametrizations against Cloudnet and LES data and idealized experiments.

Add relevant 1D test cases to the "common MUSC" system, which presently contains e.g. GABLS-1, GABLS4, ARM-Cu, ASTEX and a Cabauw fog case. Desired new cases include e.g. a case with light precipitation (RICO), dry convection, and an idealized case for mixed-phase clouds.

The actual evaluation of Arome-France and Harmonie-Arome physics schemes in the 1D common MUSC against the available test cases is described in WP PH1 and PH2 (tasks PH2.1 and PH2.5). LACE would also like to use the MUSC environment for validation and development purposes. Therefore a training and working days will be organized by LACE in cooperation with other ALADIN and HIRLAM users.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
PH4.1	Maintain and upgrade "common MUSC" system	DaDe, RaHo, JMP	
PH4.2	Create and add (idealized) test cases	BJE, WdR, JMP, BaSz	
PH4.3	OMUSC training and working days	MaTu	

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
PH4.2	WdR, ErBa, BJE	New (idealized) test cases	

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : PH5

WP number	Name of WP
PH5	Model Output Postprocessing Parameters
WP main editor	Maria Derkova

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
NePr	Neva Pristov	ARSO Slovenia	0.5
BeSa	Bent Hansen Sass	DMI Denmark	0.25
SaTi	Sander Tijm	KNMI Netherlands	0.5
CiFi	Claude Fischer	Météo-France	0.25
FrBo	Francois Bouyssel	Météo-France	0.25
MaDe	Maria Derkova	SHMU Slovakia	0.5

### WP objectives

An increasing need for new postprocessing parameters out of NWP systems for many applications such aeronautics, green energy sector, automatic forecasting and for various end-users is reflected in an ongoing work at every NMS. To avoid possible work duplication it is suggested to - at least partially - coordinate activities on the postprocessing developments. The aim of the WP is to make a summary of available postprocessing in ALADIN and HIRLAM NMS, either in fullpos, gl or in the external tools (limited to the deterministic fields only). The outcome table shall be analysed and a working plan prepared on the implementation of the selected parameters into the common code.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
PH5.1	completion of the table with available diagnostics at local NMSs	all	table
PH5.2	table analysis, preparation of a workplan for implementation of the selected diagnostics into the code	all	work plan

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
PH5.1		table	early spring 2019
PH5.2		work plan	summer 2019

# ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SU1

WP number	Name of WP
SU1	Algorithms for surface assimilation
WP main editor	Rafiq Hamdi

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
EkKo	Ekaterina Kourzeneva	FMI Finland	2.5
SiTh	Sigurður Þorsteinsson	IMO Iceland	0.5
FaHd, ZaSa	Fatima Hdidou (1.5), Zahra Sahlaoui (1.5)	Maroc Meteo	3
ÅsBa, MaHo, TrAs, YuBa	Åsmund Bakketun, Mariken Homleid, Trygve Aspelien, Yurii Batrak (1 ÅsBa, 1.5 MaHo, 2 TrAs, external* YuBa)	MET Norway	4.5
CaBi, ErBa	Camille Birman, Eric Bazile	Météo-France	14
AIde, RaHa	Alex Deckmyn (1), Rafiq Hamdi (0.75)	RMI Belgium	1.75
ViTa	Viktor Tarjani	SHMU Slovakia	4
MaMo	Maria Monteiro	IPMA Portugal	0.5
HaBe	Haythem Belgrissi	INM Tunisia	2
StSc, JaVu	Stefan Schneider, Jasmin Vural	ZAMG Austria	2
PaSa, JeBo, MaLi, ToLa	Patrick Samuelsson, Jelena Bojarova, Magnus Lindskog, Tomas Landelius (0.5 PaSa, 1 JeBo, 1 MaLi, 1 ToLa)	SMHI Sweden	3.5

## WP objectives

Introduce and assess more advanced data assimilation algorithms in SODA framework Within the ALADIN/LACE/SURFEX community, new algorithms for the various surface components will be developed and introduced, starting with soil and snow. These algorithms will be based principally on various flavours of the Kalman Filter (Extended Kalman Filter (EKF), Short Time Augmented Extended Kalman Filter (STAEKF), Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF), ...). To get familiar with them, assimilation experiments will start using SYNOP data. Then new satellite (retrieval) products will be considered, to be followed by satellite radiances and the development of observation operators. The Kalman Filters implementations in SODA should be compatible with the various choices of surface physics present in SURFEX (see WP SU3): the force-restore method or the diffusion soil scheme, the different snow schemes and the Multi Energy Budget explicit canopy vegetation scheme, and combinations thereof.
A number of adaptations of the horizontal spatialization tool CANARI (OI scheme) will also be considered.
Information on precipitation and downward radiation fluxes provided by surface networks and satellite remote sensing will be used in the algorithms in order to get improved surface analyses.
HIRLAM specific plans: Short term goals (until end of 2019): In cy43h use SEKF with diffusion soil scheme and explicit snow scheme. First use conventional observations for assimilation (i.e. T2m and Rh2m) and then also satellite products. Later on cy43 will be updated with assimilation of sea-ice surface temperature in SICE but first this work will be done in the cy40 framework (required by external project) Medium to long term goals (2019-2021): Includes investigation of evolving B, checking of time scales and length of assim window + potential assimilation enhancements. Include assimilation of FLake variables. Work towards EnKF system coupled with the atmosphere including assimilation of raw radiances for surface control variables.

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SU1.1	Develop/assess SEKF for soil, snow and vegetation using SYNOP data in combination with the diffusion soil and the Explicit Snow (ES) schemes in SURFEXv8.1		see subtasks
SU1.1.1	Activate, develop and evaluate SEKF for diffusion soil scheme as implemented in SURFEX/SODA.	ÅsBa, EkKo, MaLi, JeBo, PaSa, MaHo, TrAs, SiTh, StSc, JaVu	t-code
SU1.1.2	Activate, develop and evaluate SEKF for explicit snow scheme as implemented in SURFEX/SODA.	EkKo, MaLi, JeBo, PaSa, MaHo, TrAs, SiTh, ÅsBa	t-code
SU1.1.3	Combine the development in SU1.1.1-1.1.2 and set up a pre-operational system based on (S)EKF for soil, snow and vegetation.	EkKo, MaLi, JeBo, PaSa, MaHo, TrAs, SiTh, ÅsBa	report
SU1.1.4	Validation of EKF surface assimilation with SYNOP observations using force-restore method	ViTa	report
SU1.2	For CANARI in HARMONIE-AROME, (i) solve inconsistencies in land/sea mask between SURFEX and climate files (ii) implement new weighted T2m, Rh2m, and snow for first guess (based e.g. on patch info) (iii) exclude need of climatological snow density.  Activate the MESCAN part of CANARI, and investigate sensitivity of anisotropic horizontal/vertical structure functions.	CaBi, EkKo, MaHo, ErBa, MaMo, AIde	t-code, configuration

SU1.3	Further develop snow analysis and assimilation of snow extent in CANARI/MESCAN/SODA. Developments on snow analysis in CANARI for AROME-France	EkKo, MaHo CaBi	t-code report
SU1.4	Develop/assess EKF for sea ice, using satellite products in combination with the SICE scheme.	YuBa, EkKo	t-code, code
SU1.5	Investigating the use of Land-SAF product when building the Jacobian matrix for EKF/STAEKF	RaHa	t-code, configuration report
SU1.6	Surface analysis strategy for AROME-MAROC	ZaSa, FaHd	configuration report
SU1.7	Test and further develop the surface analysis tool based on gripp and TITAN in combination with SODA. This is an alternative to CANARI.	TrAs, EkKo, ÅsBa	t-code, code, report
SU1.8	Continue earlier externally financed work on EnKF and assimilation of raw radiances (e.g. soil moisture, temperature and snow (smos)). Also investigate/develop needed forward models like CMEM/HUT work with SSMIS, AMSR2 and MWRI and Sentinel 1 SAR data. Investigate/design methodology for a consistent generation of upper air and surface perturbations. Address problem of sampling of a long term memory error. Enhance EnKF methodology to be suitable for a multi-patches approach. In the long term this will lead towards consistent surface and upper-air surface perturbations.	ToLa, JeBo, EkKo	t-code, code, report

**t-code deliverables**

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
SU1.1.1	ÅsBa	SURFEX code contribution	Mid 2019
SU1.1.2	PaSa	SURFEX code contributions	Mid 2019
SU1.2	EkKo, MaMo, AlDe	SURFEX code contributions, cy46+	Mid 2019
SU1.3	EkKo	SURFEX code contributions, cy46+	Mid 2019
SU1.4	YuBa	SURFEX code contributions, cy46+	Summer 2019
SU1.5	RaHa	SURFEX code contributions	End 2019
SU1.7	TrAs	cy4x contribution, SURFEX code contributions	End 2019
SU1.8	ToLa	SURFEX code contribution	End 2019

**Non-t-code deliverables**

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SU1.1.3	PaSa	Evaluation report	End 2019
SU1.1.4	ViTa	Evaluation report	End 2018
SU1.2	MaHo	Namelist changes	Summer 2019
SU1.3	CaBi	Evaluation report	End 2019
SU1.4	YuBa	HARMONIE script system	Summer 2019
SU1.5	RaHa	Evaluation report	End 2019
SU1.6	ZaSa	Evaluation report	End 2019
SU1.7	TrAs	Harmonie script system, Evaluation report	Mid 2019
SU1.8	ToLa	HARMONIE script system report	End 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SU2

WP number	Name of WP
SU2	Use of observations in surface assimilation
WP main editor	Stefan Schneider

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
EkKo	Ekaterina Kourzeneva	FMI Finland	1
BiCh	Bin Cheng	FMI Finland	
BoPa	Bolli Palmason	IMO Iceland	0.5
JoDV	John de Vries	KNMI Netherlands	1
MaHo	Mariken Homleid	MET Norway	MetCoOp*
YuBa	Yuri Batrak	MET Norway	external*
TrAs	Trygve Aspelien	MET Norway	1
CaBi	Camille Birman	Météo-France	3
ZiSa	Zied Sassi	Météo-France	11
RaHa, JoVB	Rafiq Hamdi (0.5), Joris Van den Bergh (1)	RMI Belgium	1.5
MaLi	Magnus Lindskog (2 pm, IMPREX*)	SMHI Sweden	2
ToLa	Tomas Landelius	SMHI Sweden	IMPREX*
SuHa	Susanna Hagelin	SMHI Sweden	IMPREX*
BaSz	Balázs Szintai	OMSZ Hungary	3
JaVu	Jasmin Vural	ZAMG Austria	6
StSc	Stefan Schneider	ZAMG Austria	4

### WP objectives

New observations will be introduced from satellite products/radiances representing surface temperature (land/sea-ice/lake), surface soil moisture, snow cover, snow water equivalent, snow albedo (land, sea-ice), sea-ice cover, and vegetation properties. First, retrieved products (e.g. top soil moisture) will be applied or calculated. As a next step, it will be attempted to utilize radiances more directly via suitable observation operators. Priority should be given to operationally available satellite products (temporary research products should in principle be avoided). Unconventional surface observations that will be considered include sea-ice mass balance (SIMBA) buoys.

This WP also includes the topic of data pre-processing. This involves e.g. if (and if so, how) satellite observation data shall be spatialized; how data can enter ODB, as a preparation for having the data available for assimilation in SU1

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SU2.1	Examine/compare available satellite snow-extent (and possibly Snow-Water Equivalent products) to identify their pros and cons. Some might become available through ODB.	EkKo, MaHo, MaLi, SuHa, BoPa	report
SU2.2	Examine available satellite soil moisture products for use in surface data assimilation. The description of the sub-tasks contains the following information: [soil moisture product] - [assimilation method] - [SURFEX version].		
SU2.2.1	[ASCAT, AMSR-2, ...] - [EnKF] - [8.1]	ToLa, JoDV	report, code
SU2.2.2	[ASCAT, AMSR-2, SAR-C (Sentinel-1), ...] - [ EKF ] - [ 8.1 ]	MaLi, ToLa	report, code
SU2.2.3	[SCATSAR-SWI (combined Sentinel-1 + ASCAT product)] - [sEKF] - [8.1]	StSc, JaVu	publication
SU2.3	Examine available satellite sea-ice extent products and make them available in ODB. E.g. OSI SAF	YuBa, BiCh	report, code
SU2.4	Explore the possibility to use SIMBA buoys for assimilation of sea-ice conditions.	BiCh, YuBa	report
SU2.5	Examine available radiation/temperature products for use in surface data assimilation. The description of the sub-tasks contains the following information: [satellite product] - [assimilation method] - [SURFEX version].		
SU2.5.1	[LSA-SAF radiation] - [ tbd ] - [ tbd ]	RaHa	report
SU2.5.2	[surface temperature products (MSG, MODIS, Sentinel-3)] - [(s)EKF] -[8.1]	StSc	report
SU2.5.3	[LSA-SAF albedo] - [ tbd ] - [ AROME ]	CaBi, ZiSa	report
SU2.5.4	[satellite derived skin temperature] - [tbd] - [AROME]	ZiSa	report
SU2.6	Examine the use of amateur weather obbservations (like Netatmo) in surface assimilation, using gridpp (instead of CANARI)	TrAs, JoVB	report
SU2.7	Examine available snow products for use in surface data assimilation. The description of the sub-tasks contains the following information: [soil moisture product] - [assimilation method] - [SURFEX version].		
SU2.7.1	[Cryoland] - [sEKF] - [first 7.2, later on 8.1]	MaLi	
SU2.7.2	[H-SAF] - [sEKF] - [8.1]	EkKo, MaHo	
SU2.7.3	[SYNOP snow reports] - [CANARI] - [tbd]	CaBi	report

SU2.8	Examine available vegetation products for use in surface data assimilation. The description of the sub-tasks contains the following information: [satellite product] - [assimilation method] - [SURFEX version].		
SU2.8.1	[Proba-V LAI] - [sEKF] - [7.2]	BaSz	report

**t-code deliverables**

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
------	-------------	-------	------

**Non-t-code deliverables**

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SU2.1	EKKo	report, script changes	Mid 2019
SU2.2.1	JoDV	report, script changes	tbd
SU2.2.2	ToLa	report, script changes	Mid 2019
SU2.2.3	StSc	publication	End of 2018
SU2.3	YuBa	report, script changes	End of 2018 or later
SU2.4	BiCh	report	Mid 2018
SU2.5.1	RaHa	tbd	2019/2020
SU2.5.2	StSc	report	Mid 2019
SU2.5.3	CaBi	report	End 2019
SU2.5.4	ZiSa		
SU2.6	TrAs	report	beginning 2019
SU2.7.1	MaLi		
SU2.7.2			
SU2.7.3			
SU2.8.1	BaSz	report	End 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SU3

WP number	Name of WP
SU3	SURFEX: validation of existing options for NWP
WP main editor	Patrick Samuelsson and Samuel Viana

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
SaVi	Samuel Viana	AEMET Spain	6
SuPa	Suzana Panežić	DHMZ Croatia	3
EkKo	Ekaterina Kourzeneva	FMI Finland	0.5
BoPa	Bolli Palmason	IMO Iceland	1.5
JodVr	John de Vries	KNMI Netherlands	2
MaHo, TrAs, AsBa	Mariken Homleid, Trygve Aspelien, Ásmund Bakketun (1 MaHo, 1 TrAs, 1 AsBa)	MET Norway	3
EmGl	Emily Gleeson	MET Eireann	4
RaPo	Raluca Pomaga	Meteo Romania	1
PaLM, AaBo	Patrick Le Moigne, Aaron Boone : CNRM/GMME	Météo-France	8
YaSe, GhFa, CaBi, AdNa	Yann Seity, Ghislain Faure, Camille Birman, Adrien Napoly : CNRM/GMAP	Météo-France	16
BaSz	Balázs Szintai	OMSZ Hungary	2
OuDo	Oussama Douba	ONM Algeria	3
RaHa, FrDu, StCa, SaTo	Rafiq Hamdi (3), François Duchene (3), Sara Top (2), Steven Caluwaerts (1)	RMI Belgium	9
PaSa, JeBo, KlIv	Patrick Samuelsson, Jelena Bojarova, Karl-Ivar Ivarsson (MetCoOp* PaSa, 0.5 JeBo, 0.5 KlIv)	SMHI Sweden	1
StSc	Stefan Schneider	ZAMG Austria	1

### WP objectives

Explore and validate available SURFEX physics components:

With respect to the nature tile, more advanced assimilation methods (SU1) and more types of observations (SU2) will also make it possible to utilize more physically based surface components, which are not really accessible in combination with OI. These components, as available from SURFEXv8/cy43, include e.g. diffusion soil scheme (DIF), multi-layer explicit snow scheme (ES) and Multi-Energy Budget (MEB). Similar versions of these components are operational in the latest release of the HIRLAM model and have provided increased skill over certain areas. For NWP we have started to explore some of the new physics components in ISBA of SURFEXv8, mainly ES and DIF. The DIF scheme also offers a number of hydrological options. Assessing the potential of the new options should be done in tight connection to the corresponding assimilation methods (SU1). When one or more of these options are activated and tested, routine validation against in-situ data should be complemented with e.g. non-conventional near-surface observations, flux tower data, and satellite products. All parameterizations include parameters with some level of uncertainty. Thus, given a new release of a ALADIN-HIRLAM cycle there are a number of parameters in SURFEX (currently with focus on ISBA) which, if they are tuned, may give yet a bit better performance of a certain setup (domain). Methods for such optimization are being developed.

For the ocean part e.g. new ECUME flux formulations are available in SURFEXv8 which should be tested. The 1D ocean mixing layer model CMO has been tested and implemented in some AROME configurations at Météo-France (Overseas). The intention is to further improve this coupling for tropical cyclone prediction. The 1D sea ice model GELATO will be tested in Arpege and also in experimental arctic AROME.

The nature and sea tiles represent the dominating fraction of the surface which means that they are the most important tiles to model well from an atmospheric point of view. On the other hand, the inland water and town tiles are relatively small and therefore it is not as crucial to apply surface data assimilation for these tiles. Thus, new processes can be explored which are not necessarily connected to an assimilation method. For example, the lake model FLake is currently operational in a HARMONIE-AROME setup without data assimilation. The situation is similar for towns where the Town-Energy Balance (TEB) model is running.

Observations needed for the validation are partly provided by QA3, via tools like Monitor and HARP. However, some observations are not general enough to be provided by QA3. For example, local soil temperature profiles can be very valuable but such data are not wide enough in time and in space to be part of a general validation tool.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SU3.1	Test and validate the behaviour of individual components, as well as the full combination, of DIF, ES, MEB in cy43/SURFEXv8.1. Utilize a combination of offline SURFEX, MUSC, and the full 3D model depending on the type of study. Also, utilize climate-mode simulations (without data assimilation) to identify and reduce biases.	SaVi, PaSa, MaHo, EkKo, NiNa, BoPa, EmGl, JodVr, TrAs, PaLM, AaBo, YaSe, SuPa, OuDo, CaBi, RaHa, FrDu, SaTo, StCa	see subtasks
SU3.1.1	In climate mode, over different domains, examine biases in cy43 when the full combination of DIF, ES, MEB are activated in combination with recommended namelist settings.	SaVi, PaSa, MaHo, EkKo, NiNa, EmGl, JodVr, TrAs, YaSe, CaBi	report

SU3.1.2	By namelist modifications, parameter tuning and/or code modifications try to reduce any biases identified in SU3.1.1	SaVi, PaSa, MaHo, EkKo, NiNa, EmGl, JodVr, TrAs, PaLM, AaBo	configuration, t-code
SU3.1.3	Based on the outcome of SU3.1.2, repeat the simulations for different domains as done in SU3.1.1 and evaluate the performance. This version of HARMONIE-AROME will be used for coupling to surface data assimilation in SU1.	SaVi, PaSa, MaHo, EkKo, NiNa, BoPa, EmGl, JodVr, TrAs	report
SU3.2	Develop methods for parameter optimization in SURFEX (ISBA) and apply the method on an operational cycle to reach better performance.	JodVr	t-code, code, configuration
SU3.3	Examine the potential use of, until now, non-utilized options in TEB.	CaFo?	report, configuration
SU3.4	Test DIF in the framework of (S)EKF assimilation of SWI (Soil Water Index) in SURFEX 8.1, combined with AROME CY40T1. Validation with SYNOP stations.	StSc	report
SU3.5	Further improve AROME/CMO coupling for tropical cyclone prediction	GhFa	report
SU3.6	Test of FLake in the Hungarian AROME-SURFEX system	BaSz	report
SU3.7	Test new ECUME formulations for the sea tile in cy43h	SaVi, Kliv, BoPa	report, configuation

#### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
SU3.1.2	SaVi, PaSa	SURFEX code contributions, namelist changes	Autumn 2019
SU3.2	JodVr	SURFEX code contributions	Summer 2019

#### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SU3.1.1	SaVi	report	Spring 2019
SU3.1.3	PaSa	report	End 2019
SU3.2	JodVr	script changes, namelist changes	Summer 2019
SU3.3	EkKo	report, namelist changes	End 2019
SU3.4	StSc	report	End 2019
SU3.5	GhFa	report	
SU3.6	BaSz	report	Mid 2019
SU3.7	SaVi	report, namelist changes	End 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SU4

WP number	Name of WP
SU4	SURFEX: development of model components
WP main editor	Patrick Samuelsson

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
KPNi	Kristian Pagh Nielsen	DMI Denmark	1
LaRo, EkKo, BiCh	Laura Rontu, Ekaterina Kourzeneva, Bin Cheng (2.75 LaRo, 0.5 EkKo, external* BiCh)	FMI Finland	3.25
BoPa, SiTh	Bolli Palmason, Sigurður Þorsteinsson (2.0 BoPa, 0.5 SiTh)	IMO Iceland	2.5
YuBa	Yuriii Batrak	MET Norway	external*
AaBo	Aaron Boone : CNRM/GMME	Météo-France	4
PaSa	Patrick Samuelsson	SMHI Sweden	0.5

### WP objectives

Further develop SURFEX model components:

In SURFEX there is continuous development ongoing of existing, under-developed, or still missing, processes and diagnostics methods. During this RWP period development by NWP team members is planned to include:  
an increase in sophistication for the Simple Ice scheme (SICE), a glacier model for permanent snow/glacier areas, the lake model FLake, orography related radiation (ORORAD) and turbulence/drag (OROTUR) aspects, the Multi-Energy Budget (MEB) scheme, additional parametrization of fractional snow and improvement of winter aspects in the urban model TEB. Any new development should be contributed via the SURFEX repository to ensure that contributions become part of new SURFEX releases and that they enter new NWP cycles in a consistent way.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SU4.1	Develop a physically based glacier model for SURFEX based on the Explicit Snow Scheme. Includes glacier albedo aspects.	BoPa, KPNi	t-code
SU4.2	Further development of SICE (effect of melt pond, snow-ice formation, improvement of albedo scheme)	YuBa, BiCh, EkKo	t-code
SU4.3	Evaluate the orographic radiation (ORORAD) implementation in cy43 and apply further modifications and developments.	LaRo	t-code
SU4.4	Implement, evaluate and further modify/develop a new orographic roughness parametrization (OROTUR).	LaRo	t-code
SU4.5	Further development of MEB which can include separate soil column under snow/non-snow, snow albedo in forest, effect of intercepted snow on albedo.	PaSa, AaBo	t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
SU4.1	BoPa	SURFEX code contributions	End 2019
SU4.2	YuBa	SURFEX code contributions	End of 2019
SU4.3	LaRo	SURFEX code contributions	End of 2019
SU4.4	LaRo	SURFEX code contributions	End of 2019
SU4.5	PaSa	SURFEX code contributions	End of 2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time

# ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SU5

WP number	Name of WP
SU5	Assess/improve quality of surface characterization
WP main editor	Ekaterina Kourzeneva

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
SaVi	Samuel Viana	AEMET Spain	2
SuPa	Suzana Panežić	DHMZ Croatia	1
EkKo	Ekaterina Kourzeneva	FMI Finland	1.5
BoPa, SiTh	Bolli Palmason, Sigurður Þorsteinsson (2.0 BoPa, 0.5 SiTh)	IMO Iceland	2.5
JodVr	John de Vries	KNMI Netherlands	2
MaHo	Mariken Homleid	MET Norway	MetCoOp*
PaSa	Patrick Samuelsson	SMHI Sweden	MetCoOp*
LaRo	Laura Rontu	FMI Finland	0.25
RaHa, SaTo, StCa	Rafiq Hamdi (1), Sara Top (2), Steven Caluwaerts (1)	RMi Belgium	4
KPNi	Kristian Pagh Nielsen	DMI Denmark	1.5

## WP objectives

<p>Assess and improve quality of surface characterization:          The surface physiography data currently used are:          1) different versions of ECOCLIMAP (v2.2 - v2.5), some of them with corrected physiography for lakes,          2) the FAO, HWSD and Soilgrids sand and clay databases,          3) national datasets on tree height for Sweden, Finland and Norway,          4) the GMTED2010 orography,          5) the Global Lake DataBase (GLDB) v3.          We will continue to critically examine these databases and correct if possible, fixing errors, using national data, etc. We will develop parts of the code (PGD, scripts) to use these maps in different versions of the system. We will study their impact and monitor the verification scores. Eventual modifications done on regional/domain level will be gathered to consortia wide versions of these databases. In collaboration with the SURFEX team at Météo-France such modifications may also lead to official updates of these databases, as published via the SURFEX web site by Météo-France. In specific projects, the ECOCLIMAP-SC (Second Generation) physiography, as currently developed by Météo-France, will be examined.</p>
--

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SU5.1	ECOCLIMAP activities. ECOCLIMAP cover map, corrections and studying the impact. Corrections mainly for Iceland, Greenland, Svalbard. Examining for Croatia Studying of urban areas.	BoPa, EkKo, PaSa, MaHo, SuPa, SaVi, TeVa, KPNi + SaTi (in frames of QA), RaHa, SaTo, StCa	database, reports, documentation, code
SU5.2	Soil maps activities. HWSD and Soilgrids corrections and studying impact. Corrections will be done mainly for Denmark, Iceland, Greenland, Svalbard, Scandinavia. Examining for Iberia.	BoPa, KPNi, EkKo, PaSa, MaHo, TeVa, SaVi, ??? + SaTi (in frames of QA)	database, reports, documentation, code
SU5.3	Tree height data activities. Tree height data upgrades and studying the impact. The work is planned for 2020, after upgrades of the database for Norway.	MaHo, TeVa, PaSa, ????	report, code
SU5.4	Lake database (GLDB) Participate in GLDB developments and studying the impact.	EkKo, BoPa, LaRo, PaSa	database, code, reports
SU5.5	ECOCLIMAP SG activities. Examining and participate in developments if possible.	TeVa, SaVi, JodVr, EkKo	report

## t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

## Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SU5.1	BoPa, PaSa	updated databases, related h-code, reports	Mid 2019, end 2018, depending on country
SU5.2	BoPa	updated databases, related h-code, reports	Databases mid 2019, reports end 2019
SU5.3	MaHo	report	End 2019
SU5.4	EkKo	report, updated databases, related h-code if necessary	End 2019
SU5.4	LaRo	report	End 2019

SU5.5	Teva	report	End 2019
-------	------	--------	----------

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SU6

WP number	Name of WP
SU6	Coupling with sea surface/ocean
WP main editor	Neva Pristov, Patrick Samuelsson

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
MaLi, PeSm, AnFe, BeSt, JuCe	Matjaž Ličer, Peter Smerkol, Anja Fettich, Benedikt Strajnar, Jure Cedilnik	ARSO Slovenia	12
MDS, MaTu	Mathieu Dutour Sikirić (external)	DHMZ Croatia	2
MaMu	Malte Muller (local + MetCoOp* + project)	MET Norway	

### WP objectives

Assess and improve quality of surface characterization:

- Currently the sea surface in our operational models is treated as a boundary condition represented by a rough surface (surface roughness but without waves) whose temperature is prescribed from other models and/or analysis. Our aim is to explore the benefits of a more realistic sea-atmosphere coupling where the state of the sea surface is allowed to evolve with time during the forecast (e.g. temperature and waves) through coupling of the atmosphere with an ocean or sea surface model.

The aim is to establish a three-way ocean-atmosphere-wave coupling system where the interaction between sea surface and ocean is used. So far this has been achieved using ALARO, Princeton Ocean Model (POM) and WAM with OASIS coupler. The coupling is performed on the level of fluxes every time step and all three binaries are running together in parallel. On this system, extensive validation has been already performed for 2-way ocean-atmosphere coupling (ALARO CMC, POM) from both ocean and meteorological points of view.

A good starting point is to test ocean-atmosphere and atmosphere-wave coupled system separately.

As ocean model POM was replaced with NEMO and ALARO is going to use SURFEX, the coupling should be redone via SURFEX-OASIS.

During 2018 AROME/SURFEX has been coupled to the wave model WW3 via OASIS in cy43 of the HARMONIE-AROME configuration. This setup has been tested e.g. for polar low development over the sea north-west of Norway. The implementation and validation has been done by Lichuan Wu (SMHI). However, Lichuan has left SMHI now for another position. A report on the work is on its way.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SU6.1	Code and Technical documentation of coupling process		
SU6.1.1	Adaptations on atmospheric part ALARO	PeSm	t-code
SU6.1.2	Adaptations on atmospheric part HARMONIE-AROME		t-code
SU6.1.3	Technical documentation	PeSm	documentation
SU6.2	Construct cycling with OASIS coupler in cy38	PeSm, JuCe	code (local)
SU6.3	2-way coupling ALARO and POM	BeSt, JuCe, MaLi	report
SU6.4	Ocean model coupled via OASIS		
SU6.4.1	Ocean model NEMO off-line coupling with ALARO	MaLi(6), AnFe(6)	report
SU6.4.2	Ocean model NEMO coupled with ALARO using SURFEX-OASIS	MaLi, PeSm	code/script
SU6.4.3	Evaluation of coupled system ALARO/NEMO	MaLi, BeSt, JuCe	report/paper
SU6.5	Implementation of ocean wave model		
SU6.5.1	Wave model WAM coupled via OASIS in ALARO	PeSm, JuCe	code (local)
SU6.5.2	Wave model WAM using Surfex-OASIS- technical implementation and validation	MaMu	code (HARMONIE-Wave branch)
SU6.5.3	Coupling and implementation of wave model WWM in ALARO	MDS, MaTu	report
SU6.6	Set-up of coupled system ALADIN/NEMO/WAM	MaLi, BeSt, JuCe	

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
SU6.4.1		cy4?	
SU6.5.1		cy4?	

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SU6.1	PeSm	Documentation, code	2017
SU6.2	PeSm	Algorithm, code	2016
SU6.3	MaLi	Paper, scripts	2018
SU6.4.1	MaLi	operational	end of 2018
SU6.4.2	MaLi	implementation, test	end of 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : E1

WP number	Name of WP
E1	Arome-France EPS (PEARO)
WP main editor	Claude Fischer

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
LaRa, LaDe, LuRo, PhAr, YaMi, PiCe, CaLa	Laure Raynaud, Laurent Descamps, Lucie Rottner, Philippe Arbogast, Yann Michel, Pierrick Cébron, Carole Labadie : CNRM/GMAP	Météo-France	20
FrBt, HuMa, SaRa	François Bottier, Hugo Marchal, Sabine Radanovics (ext) : CNRM/GMME	Météo-France	33
OIMe, MiZa, MaTa, HaPe	Olivier Mestre, Michael Zamo, Maxime Taillardat, Harold Petithomme : DirOP/COMPAS	Météo-France	9

### WP objectives

Operational maintenance and improvement of the MF convection-permitting EPS system PEARO. Development of post-processing products. Scientific evaluation and investigation of novel ideas.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
E1.1	Maintenance and evolution of the PEARO system: evaluate 4 runs per day, follow adaptations for e-suites	LaRa	non-t-code
E1.2	Probabilistic post-processing (including probabilistic objects), calibration and verification	LaRa, LuRo, PhAr, OIMe, MiZa, MaTa, HaPe, FrBt, HuMa, SaRa	non-t-code
E1.3	Link with AEARO: use Arome EDA perturbations in PEARO initial conditions	LaRa, YaMi, FrBt	non-t-code
E1.4	Model perturbations for PEARO: assess SLHD, SPPT, SPP etc.	LaRa, LaDe, FrBt	t-code
E1.5	Improvements of the global EPS (PEARP), as the coupling system of PEARO	PiCe, CaLa	t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
E1.4	LaRa		
E1.5	PiCe		

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
E[1-5]	MF scientific staff	scientific notes and papers, namelists	

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : E2.1

WP number	Name of WP
E2.1	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: HarmonEPS - Physics perturbations
WP main editor	Inger-Lise Frogner

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
AlCa	Alfons Callado	AEMET Spain	1
JaKa, KaHa	Janne Kauhanen, Karoliina Hamalainen (3,3)	FMI Finland	6
SvdV, JaBa	Sibbo van der Veen, Jan Barkmeijer (6, 0.5)	KNMI Netherlands	6.5
AlHa	Alan Hally	MET Eireann	2.5
ILF	Inger-Lise Frogner	MET Norway	3.5
GeSm	Geert Smet	RMI Belgium	1
UlAn	Ulf Andrae	SMHI Sweden	1

### WP objectives

Study ways to represent uncertainty in the atmospheric model and how to best incorporate this into HarmonEPS. Several methods for this will be further developed and assessed in 2019. The EPPES work will not continue due to lack of personnel.

- The SPPT scheme will be tested more extensively, and various options for improvement will be explored. It is believed that SPPT can be ready for operational use in 2019.
- However, the long term goal is to assess the uncertainties at the process level: The SPP approach (Stochastically Perturbed Parametrization scheme) will be continued, where sensitive parameters are perturbed using a spatio-temporal pattern.
- Multi-physics, in which each member of the ensemble has its unique set of predefined parameterization schemes and/or parameters will be further investigated. Multi-model with Arome and Alaro will be further teste in RMI-EPS.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
E2.1.1	SPPT: Testing in HarmonEPS. Investigate and correct the current problem with the pattern generator. Continue testing of SPG pattern generator. Look into new ways of constructing SPPT, by eg independent perturbations of partial tendencies. Compare and combine with other perturbation techniques. Developed in the context of 4DVAR, there is the possibility to determine favourable tendency perturbations. For example, to induce vertical wind. In the context of triggering convection this may be beneficial.	AlHa, JaKa, AlCa, JaBa	t-code
E2.1.2	Further comparison of ALARO and AROME members in RMI-EPS will be done. Investigation of more extensive multiphysics in ALARO members to be investigated.	GeSm	Non-t-code
E2.1.3	SPP (Stochastically perturbed parameterizations) will be further developed and tested, by adding more parameters to the scheme and testing of the correlation lengths scales. SPG is also relevant for SPP and will be tested. Tendency diagnostics will be developed as it offers a very detailed insight into the differences between different perturbations methods.	UlAn, ILF, SvdV, JaBa, KaHa	t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
E2.1.1	AlCa	CY43h2	2019
E2.1.3	UlAn	CY43h2	2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
E2.1.2	XiYa, GeSm	HarmonEPS configuration test (namelist changes)	End 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : E2.2

WP number	Name of WP
E2.2	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: HarmonEPS - Initial conditions perturbations
WP main editor	Inger-Lise Frogner

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
PaEs	Pau Escriba	AEMET Spain	3
JaBa	Jan Barkmeijer	KNMI Netherlands	0.5
ILF, MaMi, RoRa	Inger-Lise Frogner (ext), Mate Mile (ext), Roger Randriamampianina (ext)	MET Norway	MetCoOp, Alertness
MiVa	Michiel Vanginderachter	RMI Belgium	6
JeBo, AkJo	Jelena Bojarova, Åke Johansson (2,2)	SMHI Sweden	4

### WP objectives

EDA will be further tuned in 2019. LETKF, EDA and perturbations to the whole control vector (Brand) will be tested and compared. New cycling strategies will be tested and spin-up behavior will be studied. The challenge of creating equally likely ensemble members will continue in 2019.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
E2.2.1	Investigate optimal number of members in EDA. Test the combination of surface EDA with the surface perturbation code. Test EDA in MetCoOp.	ILF, RoRa, MaMi	Non-t-code
E2.2.2	Compare ensemble performance with different types of initial conditions perturbations within variational or hybrid ensemble variational data assimilation framework : EDA, LETKF, forcing perturbations and BRAND perturbations.	JeBo, PaEs	Non-t-code
E2.2.3	Study the error propagation mechanism on meso-scales and how to generate perturbations which represent the error growth, by use of eg. singular vectors and looking in their applicability at the meso scale.	JaBa, MiVa, JeBo	t-code
E2.2.4	HARMONIE-AROME 4D-VAR minimizing in two inner loops is done on a much coarser resolution compared to the analysis which is done on the models native grid in the last outer loop. The current practice to bridge the gap in resolution between inner and outer loops is to set all small scale waves – which accounts for around 90% of all spectral components – to zero. By using a modified form of the routine LSMIX it is possible to replace the current practice by instead inserting the values these small scale waves have in the first guess. An updated (cycled) ensemble can be constructed by using different first guess (background state) values from the different first guess ensemble members. An advantage over current practice – in addition to creating equally likely ensemble members - is that the smaller scales at the initial time will have realistic spectra and possess meteorologically consistent characteristics.	AkJo, MiVa	t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
E2.2.3	JaBa	?	?
E2.2.4	AkJo	?	?

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
E2.2.1	ILF and RoRa	HarmonEPS configuration test	End 2019
E2.2.2	JeBo	HarmonEPS configuration test	End 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : E2.3

WP number	Name of WP
E2.3	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: HarmonEPS - Surface perturbations
WP main editor	Inger-Lise Frogner

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
HeFe	Henrik Feddersen	DMI Denmark	0.75
JdV	John de Vries	KNMI Netherlands	2
AnSi	Andrew Singleton	MET Norway	5
JeBo, JeSo	Jelena Bojarova, Jennie Persson Soderman (ext)	SMHI Sweden	0.5
GeSm	Geert Smet	RMI Belgium	1

### WP objectives

Incorporate and assess surface perturbations in HarmonEPS:- Continue to study the surface field perturbation code from Meteo-France and possible ways to improve this- Perform offline studies with perturbations in the parametrizations for surface momentum, heat and moisture fluxes.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
E2.3.1	Surface perturbations: continue to work on the surface perturbation code from MF. Try more sophisticated SST perturbations, to introduce perturbations where the uncertainty is believed to be largest (eg in sharp gradients of SST and sea ice). Study sensitivity of perturbations in extreme cases. Include and assess perturbations to snow albedo. Study how to, and effect, of aligning the perturbations with the atmospheric flow. Study sensitivity of perturbations to surface type.	AnSi, HeFe, GeSm, JeSo	t-code
E2.3.2	Surface physics: Continue study of perturbations in momentum, heat and moisture flux parameterizations in the context of SURFEX8.1. Run SURFEX 1D experiments with different formulations for the roughness length for heat and moisture over different vegetation types. Use results of these experiments to determine perturbation magnitudes for the roughness length for heat and moisture in HarmonEPS experiments.	AnSi, JdV?	t-code
E2.3.3	Towards consistent surface and upper-air surface perturbations, in connection with development of surface EnKF scheme (see SU1)	JeBo	t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
E2.3.1	AnSi	CY45h	2019
E2.3.2	AnSi	CT45h	2019
E2.3.3	JeBo	?	2020

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : E2.4

WP number	Name of WP
E2.4	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: HarmonEPS - Lateral boundary perturbations
WP main editor	Inger-Lise Frogner

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
HeFe	Henrik Feddersen	DMI Denmark	2

### WP objectives

Improve way of using IFS ENS as LBCs. Clustering was investigated in the last years, but will not be pursued in 2019.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
E2.4.1	SLAF and random field perturbations have shown good performance as LBCs and initial perturbations at approximately the same level as IFS ENS. Study if this is due to non-optimal use of IFS ENS perturbations. Test possibility to improve ensemble spread by a transform of the IFS ENS boundaries (orthogonalize initial perturbations) and/or by inflation.	HeFe	t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
E2.4.1	HeFe	Algorithm	End 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : E2.5

WP number	Name of WP
E2.5	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: HarmonEPS - HarmonEPS system
WP main editor	Inger-Lise Frogner

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
OIVi, ILF	Ole Vignes, Inger-Lise Frogner (1,1)	MET Norway	2
UIAn	Ulf Andrae	SMHI Sweden	2

### WP objectives

Provide continuous support for the implementation of new HarmonEPS developments.  
The SPP approach pertrubing uncertain parameters, will be an important task in 2019.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
E2.5.1	Develop SPP code further	UIAn, ILF	t-code
E2.5.2	Develop tendencies as a diagnostic tool for development of SPPT and SPP.	OIVi, ILF	non-t-code
E2.5.3	Where needed, introduce system changes to support required HarmonEPS developments	OIVi, UIAn	non-t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
E2.5.1	UIAn	CY43h2	2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
E2.5.2	OIVi	Diagnostics	2019
E2.5.3	OIVi, UIAn	Support	Cont.

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : E3

WP number	Name of WP
E3	Development of convection-permitting ensembles: LACE
WP main editor	Martin Belluš

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
MiSz	Mihály Szűcs	OMSZ Hungary	3
ReSu	Reka Suga	OMSZ Hungary	5
KJR	Katalin Javorne Radnoci	OMSZ Hungary	2
ChWi	Christoph Wittman	ZAMG Austria	1
CIWa	Clemens Wastl	ZAMG Austria	4
FlWe	Florian Weidle	ZAMG Austria	1
AiAt	Aitor Atencia	ZAMG Austria	1
EnKe	Endi Keresturi	DHMZ Croatia	3

### WP objectives

Development of convection-permitting ensemble system based on non-hydrostatic AROME model. The aim would be to probabilistically forecast high-impact weather on local spatial scales and with short life-cycle.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
E3.1	Development and implementation of new random number generator (SPG) suitable for LAM EPS environment. Implementation of its 3D version for simulation of the vertical structure of random patterns. Consider also a non-Gaussian noise distribution option.	MiSz	t-code
E3.2	Investigate the model stability while stochastic perturbations are applied in combination with other uncertainty sources, especially when smoothing of the perturbations to the model top and surface is switched off and when 3D version of stochastic pattern generator is used.	MiSz, ReSu	non-t-code
E3.3	Combine different methods to simulate the uncertainty of the ICs and model uncertainty in a convection-permitting system (i.e. stochastic perturbation of partial model tendencies, parameter perturbation, Jk 3DVar blending, etc.).	CIWa, ReSu	t-code
E3.4	Perform the "cheap" parallel experiments with lagged convection-permitting ensemble system formed by several deterministic AROME runs (RUC).	CIWa, ReSu, AiAt	non-t-code
E3.5	Implementation, tuning and testing of pre-operational/operational AROME based EPS system C-LAEF at ECMWF HPCF.	FlWe, ChWi, CIWa, EnKe	non-t-code
E3.6	Pre-operational and operational implementation and testing of a convection-permitting ensemble system on new HPC at OMSZ.	MiSz, ReSu, KJR	non-t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
E3.1	MiSz, ChWi	CY40T1	2019
E3.3	CIWa	?	2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
E3.2	MiSz	feasibility study	2019
E3.4	ChWi	scripts, verification results	2019
E3.5	FlWe, ChWi, CIWa	scripts, validation, C-LAEF operation at ECMWF HPCF	2019
E3.6	MiSz	scripts, validation, AROME-EPS operation at OMSZ HPC	2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : E4

WP number	Name of WP
E4	Development, maintenance and operation of LAEF
WP main editor	Martin Belluš

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
IOP	Iris Odak Plenković	DHMZ Croatia	3
SiTa	Simona Tasca	Meteo Romania	1
MaBe	Martin Belluš	SHMU Slovakia	6
Malm	Martin Imrišek	SHMU Slovakia	2
MiSz	Mihály Szűcs	OMSZ Hungary	1
FlWe	Florian Weidle	ZAMG Austria	0.25

### WP objectives

ALADIN-LAEF research and development. Achieved results, new tested implementations and gained expertise are going to be used for the further improvement of our regional ensemble forecasting system. The second objective of this task is to maintain and monitor the operational suite of ALADIN-LAEF running at ECMWF HPCF. Stable operational suite of ALADIN-LAEF system is guaranteed and the delivery of probabilistic forecast products to the LACE partners is ensured. The R&D achievements are being presented at the workshops and published in the scientific journals.
--

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
E4.1	Supplement reduced multi-physics with the stochastically perturbed physics tendencies (using newly developed and implemented random pattern generator) for the upper-air and surface prognostic variables.	MaBe, MiSz	t-code
E4.2	Perform detailed scientific validation and tuning of the 3DVar within ALADIN-LAEF framework in order to be used in ENS BlendVar.	MaBe, Malm	non-t-code
E4.3	Investigate different approaches for the creation of background model statistics (B-matrix) in the EPS framework, e.g. flow-dependent B-matrix can be recomputed regularly every couple of weeks (or even on daily basis) with very little costs. Test its impact on ALADIN-LAEF performance.	MaBe, Malm	non-t-code
E4.4	Operational implementation of ALADIN-LAEF 5 km and its components (IC: ESDA+blending; model: SPPT+ALARO-1 MP) - phase I. as TC2 app under ecFlow at ECMWF HPCF	MaBe	non-t-code
E4.5	Operational implementation of ALADIN-LAEF 5 km and its components (IC: ESDA+ENS BlendVar; model: SPPT+ALARO-1 MP) - phase II. as TC2 app under ecFlow at ECMWF HPCF	MaBe	non-t-code
E4.6	Test the benefits of ensemble calibration and post processing of high resolution ALADIN-LAEF wind forecast.	IOP	non-t-code
E4.7	Investigate ways for an ensemble forecasting with AROME-MAROC	SiSb	non-t-code
E4.8	Creation of new ALADIN-LAEF probabilistic products to meet the different users requirements.	SiTa	non-t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
E4.1	MiSz, MaBe	CY40T1	2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
E4.2	MaBe, Malm	reports, namelists, evaluation study	Q4/2018 and 2019
E4.3	MaBe, Malm	scripts, reports, evaluation study	2019
E4.4	MaBe	Python and Perl scripts, functional ALADIN-LAEF operation at ECMWF	Q4/2018 - Q1/2019
E4.5	MaBe	Python and Perl scripts, functional ALADIN-LAEF operation at ECMWF	end of 2019
E4.6	IOP	report, scientific study	2019
E4.7	SiSb	report, scientific study	2019
E4.8		scripts, different probabilistic products	2019



## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : E5

WP number	Name of WP
E5	Production and maintenance of GLAMEPS
WP main editor	Inger-Lise Frogner

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
ToMo	Toon Moene	KNMI Netherlands	0.25

### WP objectives

GLAMEPS will continue in operations until June 2019. No updates to the system will be done, only necessary maintenance to keep it in operations.
--

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
E5.1	GLAMEPS maintenance	ToMo	Non-t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
E5.1	ToMo	System maintenance	June 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : E6

WP number	Name of WP
E6	Ensemble calibration
WP main editor	Inger-Lise Frogner

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
KiWh, MaSc	Kirien Whan, Maurice Schmeits (1,1)	KNMI Netherlands	2
JBB, ThNi	John Bjørnar Bremnes, Thomas Nipen (3,1)	MET Norway	4

### WP objectives

Statistical calibration of LAM EPS data is a way of reducing model-specific systematic errors in areas with adequate observation coverage. For establishing statistical significance for the forecasting of severe (rare) events, ideally one should use ensemble re-forecasting over a climatologically relevant period (~30 years). However, this is prohibitively costly in terms of computer resources. We have therefore adopted simpler forms of calibration, which may be less capable of accounting for weather extremes, or perform less well in spatially heterogeneous terrain. In its present implementation in both GLAMEPS and HarmonEPS, calibration is done for screen-level temperature and wind and precipitation. Spatially variable corrections are applied over the entire grid, not only in observation points, as it is seen as important to have calibrated forecasts everywhere and not only at observation sites. In spatially highly heterogeneous conditions, e.g. in mountain areas or at land-sea transitions, calibration is still problematic. Attention will be paid to the introduction of more advanced methods which are better capable of handling areas of such strong spatial inhomogeneity, as well as to the extension of the calibration to a wider range of parameters, such as visibility and gusts.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
E6.1	Adapt the calibration methods so that local variations in heterogeneous landscapes are better represented in HarmonEPS. For this, both more detailed orographic information is needed and more advanced methods need to be developed.	JBB, KiWh, MaSc, ThNi	Non-t-code
E6.2	Extend calibration to more parameters (clouds, visibility and/or wind gusts). At KNMI a new 3-year Harmonie CY40 reforecasting dataset will be used, because the KEPS archive is too short yet for calibrating forecasts of rare events.	JBB, KiWh	Non-t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
E6.1	JBB	Calibration code	2019
E6.2	JBB	Calibration code	2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : QA1

WP number	Name of WP
QA1	Development of HARP
WP main editor	Christoph Zingerle

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
BHS	Bent Hansen Sass	DMI Denmark	0.25
AnSi	Andrew Singleton	MET Norway	0.25
AIDe	Alex Deckmyn	RMI Belgium	4
ChZi	Christoph Zingerle	ZAMG Austria	1

### WP objectives

Work on HARP (Hirlam-Aladin R-package) has been initiated to intensify the common development of verification tools in the Hirlam and Aladin consortia. In the first steps, a toolbox for EPS (HARP-v1, 2015) and spatial verification (HARP-v2, 2017, including an update of EPS-verification) was established based on existing standard R-packages, R-packages developed in consortium institutes (e.g. for handling Grib and other specific spatial data formats, re-gridding, ...) and a number of specific R-routines. Currently, work is focusing on HARP-v3, planned to be released by the End of 2018. It will not be based on R-scripts anymore, but will come as a number of installable R-packages for in/output, point (incl. EPS) and spatial verification and visualization.

Continuous assessment, improvement and (where needed) extension of the EPS, point and spatial verification methods and tools will take place according to user demand also in 2019 and beyond. With the advent and successive extension of deterministic point-verification functionalities in HARP-v3, the aim is to eventually replace the existing deterministic verification packages used within ALADIN and HIRLAM in the coming years. A frequent demand of users for more documentation will be followed with a User-Guide, a number of How-to's and examples using real data. In addition it is planned to prepare tutorials and hands-on exercises. Furthermore, a complete update or re-shape of the installation process will be necessary in the coming years, as there will be fundamental changes in the HARP specific R-packages. It is planned to update the scripts and configuration so it can be run using R only or as a standalone container on operational computing environment. It is also aimed to merge the currently different setups of the EPS and spatial parts of HARP and converge them into one single system in the next few years.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
QA1.1	Documentation of HARP will be extended to more hands-on examples and tutorials, available online and in workshops. It is necessary to explain HARP to the users starting from installation, followed by the use of verification measures and finally explaining visualization tools.	AIDe, AnSi, ChZi, BHS	documentation, code
QA1.2	Continuing work on HARP v3 will focus to the successive extension of deterministic point verification (incl. EPS) and spatial tools and the use of spatial observational data sources. Furthermore there will be efforts taken to make use of ECMWF analysis and the treatment of combined probabilities in EPS verification.	AIDe, AnSi, ChZi, BHS	code
QA1.3	Implementation in HARP v4 of the developments in WP QA2, task 2.3 (development of new verification methods/metrics – spatial verification of EPS's) and 2.4 (spatial structures relative to ECMWF)	AIDe, AnSi, ChZi, BHS	code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
QA1.1	AIDe, AnSi, ChZi	Extended documentation, examples and tutorials will be available and updated continuously.	2019
QA1.2	AIDe, AnSi, ChZi	Code update for HARP-v3, tools for deterministic, EPS and spatial verification are available. They are successively integrated in the existing code v3.	Spring 2019
QA1.3	AnSi, AIDe	Standalone containers with working versions of HARP for installation on operational environments.	End 2019

QA1.4	AIDe, AnSi, ChZi	Code for spatial tools for EPS will be available in the same manner as for the spatial and EPS parts.	2020
-------	------------------	---	------

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : QA2

WP number	Name of WP
QA2	Development of new verification methods
WP main editor	Bent Hansen Sass, Christoph Zingerle

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
BeSa, HeFe	Bent Hansen Sass, Henrik Feddersen (1.75, 3)	DMI Denmark	4.75
GeGe	Gertie Geertsema	KNMI Netherlands	3
AnSi, MoKo	Andrew Singleton, Morten Køltzow (1, 0.5)	MET Norway	1.5
AlDe	Alex Deckmyn	RMI Belgium	1
AkJo	Ake Johansson	SMHI Sweden	2
ChZi	Christoph Zingerle	ZAMG Austria	1
AnHe	Angeles Hernandezc	AEMET, Spain	3.5

### WP objectives

Development of convection permitting EPS's has reached a stage, where such systems are already running operationally or are going to be implemented at different national institutes in the near future. Existing EPS-point verification methods are not sufficient to grasp forecast quality of these systems in detail, especially when it comes to the problem of verifying different processes in clouds, convection and precipitation formation. In addition, density of standard meteorological observation networks, ground based or based on radiosondes, is far too low to represent the scale of convection permitting models or EPS's.

High resolution analysis and remote sensing observations (radar and satellite data) can provide important information on the 3D-structure of the atmosphere. However, each of these data sources has its limitations and their use in verification of convection-permitting models or ensembles is limited to specific features of the atmosphere. A focal point in the development of new verification techniques will be the availability of information about clouds, precipitation and convection from satellite and radar data (task QA2.1). In succession existing spatial verification methods developed for deterministic models will be extended or adopted to high-resolution EPS systems in a number of steps (tasks QA2.2, 2.3 and 2.5). One simple approach to gain verification information in data sparse areas would be to verify EPS against analyses of deterministic models (e.g. ECMWF) (QA2.4). Score cards for deterministic models have been developed in the past years to provide a quick overview of forecast quality. They will be extended with new scores, e.g. considering spatial and ensemble verification (QA2.6). Theoretical studies to understand the limitations of currently used (skill assessment of) ensemble prediction systems will be continued (QA2.7). In QA2.8 verification methods for probabilistic forecasts of high-impact, rare events are developed. Results will be used to develop an associated guidance to duty forecasters. Finally, the development of a tool to generate MSG simulated SEVIRI images is described in QA2.9

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
QA2.1	A wide range of information on the atmospheric state is already operationally available from meteorological satellites (SAF's) and weather radars, while other information is not yet routinely produced. As a first step, the available data and their potential usefulness for spatial-probabilistic verification will be screened and documented.	ChZi, AnHe	documentation
QA2.2	A number of spatial verification methods has been developed, mainly dealing with precipitation verification. Code is available and will be reviewed for its potential for further development into methods for spatial-probabilistic verification. There will be a focus on the possible usage of information from radar and satellite data other than what is used in spatial precipitation analysis.	ChZi, AnHe	documentation
QA2.3	As an outcome of QA2.1 and QA2.2, a good knowledge of available data and methods suited for development of spatial-probabilistic verification is documented. This will be the basis for the development of (a) new verification method(s), aiming to providing deeper insight into the ability of the model/EPS system to represent the 3-D state of the atmosphere and the processes determining cloud, convection and precipitation formation.	ChZi, AlDe	code
QA2.4	Include new metrics to characterize spatial structure of forecasts relative to ECMWF or HARMONIE analysis (HeFe, BeSa, AlDe). Continue the development of a spatial verification scheme (BeSa) investigating the analyzed and forecasted spatial structure of local extremes. After successful implementation and test prepare transfer of these developments to HARP (HeFe, Besa, AlDe)	HeFe, BeSa, AlDe	Develop and test code, document results (common code for HIRLAM and ALADIN )
QA2.5	Extend appropriate spatial verification techniques towards use in EPS. Use spatial verification (FSS) to determine upscaling/ neighbourhood radius. Locally varying neighbourhood sizes needs to be considered, e.g. following Dey et al (QJRMS, 2016), to improve probability forecasts for small ensembles. Test for Norway using prototype 1h precipitation analyses that blend radar and gauges. Compare upscaling and neighbourhood methods. (New results from QA2.2 and QA2.3 will be used when relevant)	AnSi, HeFe, BeSa	Develop and test code, document results (common code)
QA2.6	Extend score cards to new verification parameters, e.g. mixing height, and/or measures for spatial verification and probabilistic verification.	MoKo, GeGe	Scripts/code (common code for HIRLAM and ALADIN) and associated results of new developments

QA2.7	Theoretical studies, e.g. to understand limitations of the currently used ensemble prediction systems, e.g. further development of the U/UI spread-skill relationship: (i) the Desroziers et al. corrections, (ii) observation inhomogeneity in space and time, (iii) reconciling differences when verifying against observations vs analysis.	AnSi, AkJo	Code and reports documenting properties and limitations of current systems
QA2.8	Verification methods for probabilistic forecasts of high-impact, rare events and use of verification results to guide forecasters, e.g. above which forecast probability (possibly upscaled) should a forecaster issue a warning in order to expect a desired balance between hits, misses and false alarms. ? Other options include use of quantiles.	HeFe	Methodology, code and results guiding forecasters' probabilistic treatment of high-impact weather.
QA2.9	Design, implementation and validation of tool to generate MSG SEVIRI simulated radiance data	AnHe	Code, user documentation, validation study

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
------	-------------	-------	------

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
QA2.1	ChZi	Documentation containing a list of satellite and radar data available and describing their potential information content to be used in spatial-probabilistic verification.	Spring 2018
QA2.2	ChZi	Documentation of recently developed methods for spatial verification. Focus is on their potential to be adapted or improved to be used in spatial-probabilistic verification.	Summer-Autumn 2019
QA2.3	ChZi, AlDe	Prototype code to be implemented in HARP for spatial-EPS verification (Q1.6)	End 2019
QA2.4	HeFe, BeSa, AlDe	Develop and test code, document results (common code for HIRLAM and ALADIN)	End 2019
QA2.5	AnSi, HeFe	Develop and test code, document results (common code for HIRLAM-and ALADIN)	End 2019
QA2.6	MoKo, GeGe	scripts/code (common for HIRLAM-ALADIN) and results	End 2019
QA2.7	AkJo, AnSi	Code and reports documenting properties and limitations of current systems	End 2019
QA2.8	HeFe	Methodology, code and results guiding forecasters' probabilistic treatment of high-impact weather.	End 2019
QA2.9	AnHe	Code and Validation	End 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : QA3

WP number	Name of WP
QA3	Quality assessment of new HARMONIE-AROME cycles and alleviation of model weaknesses
WP main editor	Bent Hansen Sass

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
GeMo	Gema Morales	AEMET Spain	4
BeSa,BjAm,HeFe, KrNi,XiYa	Bent Hansen Sass, Bjarne Amstrup,Kristian Pagh Nielsen, Xiaohua Yang (3.5,0.5,0.5,0.25 )	DMI Denmark	4.75
MaKa	Markku Kangas	FMI Finland	2
SaTi, WiRo, MaSc, KiWh	Sander Tijm, Wim de Rooy , Maurice Schmeits, Kirien Whan (1.5, 1.0, 1.0, 2.0)	KNMI Netherlands	5.5
EmGI	Emily Gleeson	MET Eireann	1
RoRa	Roger Randriamampianina	MET Norway	0.25
Kalv,PaSa	Karl-Ivar Ivarsson, Patrick Samuelsson (2.0, 0.25)	SMHI Sweden	2.25
SiTh	Sigurdur Thorsteinsson	IMO, Iceland	0.5

### WP objectives

In this work package we address the meteorological performance of HARMONIE-AROME. Weaknesses of the model system are investigated on the basis of detailed observational studies, analysis of output from verification systems and from interaction with users of HARMONIE-AROME, mainly in the Met-Institutes. The goal of the first task (QA3.1) is to diagnose model weaknesses, partly based on objective verification of operational systems, e.g. from the RCR centres. Additional information on model weaknesses and limitations will be obtained through communication with users of HARMONIE-AROME. A list of TOP 10 forecasting issues will be maintained. The overall goal is to make sure that the model Reference system remains an ambitious state-of-the-art NWP system. - Several model improvements have been in process over the last few years. In 2019 it is a high priority to demonstrate that progress is made on key issues identified in a top 10 list of forecasting issues. In order to make realistic upgrades to the model system it is important to diagnose model deficiencies of different origin, e.g. if model limitations are linked mainly to a process description in the free atmosphere or to surface processes or to limitations in the data-assimilation. In this context challenges arise since we know that a mutual interaction occurs between all these branches of the model system.

For the atmosphere the prediction of humidity and water species is considered particularly important since these parameters are vital in the context of cloud, radiation and precipitation. The related processes affecting humidity and hydrometeors, e.g. turbulence, convection, microphysics and dynamics are critically important. These processes are closely interrelated. The development and tests to upgrade the physical parameterizations are contained in the physics work packages, e.g. PH2 and PH4. The developed upgrades of these packages will be verified in the present work package, e.g. from parallel runs in operational environments. Relevant verification tools will be used, e.g. new verification schemes developed in QA1 (HARP) and QA2 (new verification methods). The complex issue of verifying 4D-clouds (verification in space and time) will be partly done in PH2.4 (verification of atmospheric cloud fields in connection with CRIME project). However developments will also be done in the context of HARP beyond 2019. In order to create a common framework for tests on different part of the physics a data base with particularly relevant 3D test cases for atmospheric physics will be established, primarily convection and fog (QA3.2). The work and tests planned for atmospheric processes is summarized in task QA3.

3 -

For the surface the work is defined in QA3.4. A complication occurs that the surface variables are affected by processes in the atmosphere e.g. in the form of fluxes of precipitation, radiation, sensible plus latent heat and momentum. Hence deficiencies, e.g. biases in 2m temperature and humidity are not only dictated by the surface scheme including physiographic data. In order to get an improved framework for work on the surface deficiencies the present plan includes work to verify surface fluxes arising from the atmosphere by comparisons with observations. Efforts are strengthened to compare solar- and infrared radiation with surface observations and satellite derived products. Data from meteorological masts will also be used for this. The impact of model upgrades will be studied, e.g. cycle 43 containing the multilevel surface scheme. Also it is suggested to investigate whether the meteorological formulas used for deducing near surface parameters e.g. 2m temperature can be improved for the stable boundary layer. Previous studies based on ISBA and HIRLAM model indicate that this might be a way to reduce a rather persistent negative 2m temperature bias in European winter conditions. For the data-assimilation the issue of model spinup has been an important one in the past. The properties of new data-assimilation schemes that have become available should be assessed, e.g. with regard to model spinup. New methods include nudging for humidity and clouds as well as 4D-VAR ( see QA3.5) - Finally, in task QA3.6 a summary report related with model improvements is produced. The impacts of current year's initiatives to alleviate the TOP 10 modelling issues mentioned in task QA3.1 should be mentioned. The impact of the recent CY43 should also be documented.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
QA3.1	a) Analyze results of routine verification from HARMONIE-AROME, e.g. from RCR centres ( BeSa,GeMo). Maintain multiyear time series to monitor trends in quality of operational performance (BeSa). Maintain infrastructure to do so, excluding HARP (XiYa;GeMo). - b) Communication with users on identified model deficiencies, to be documented in <i>hirlam.org</i> . A list of key forecasting issues identified with users will be maintained and a list of top scientific priorities will be adjusted in the work plan to be consistent with the list of identified key forecasting issues (BeSa).	BeSa, GeMo,XiYa, MaSc,KiWh	Reports, e.g. on <i>hirlam.org</i> , ( code updates if needed)
QA3.2	Establish a database e.g. in ECMWF, specifying 3D test cases precisely enough for experiments with new parameterizations to be repeated and verified. In 2019 this will be none for convection- and fog cases ( SaTi, Kalv)	SaTi, Kalv	Data-base, gribfiles for initial conditions and boundaries

QA3.3	ATMOSPHERE: Verify impact of developed updates in PH2 package e.g. from parallel test runs in operational environments. If feasible test further updates to alleviate diagnosed model weaknesses a) Turbulence and convection: ( SaTi , WiRo) - b ) Cloud parameterization: Improved cloud parameterization ( WiRo, Kalv). - c ) Microphysics: ( SaTi, KaIv ). - d ) Precipitation skill: Carry out study to evaluate precipitation skill in HARMONIE-AROME, i.e. accuracy in space and time,e.g.using spatial verification schemes and tool(s) to investigate systematic errors in time. The performance of CY43 will be compared with earlier versions and ECMWF forecasts. Precipitation analyses will be needed for this. (GeMo, BjAm, BeSa) - e ) Alleviation of Fog prediction problems: Document work done (SaTi,Kalv).	SaTi, WiRo, KaIv,GeMo, BjAm,BeSa	GRIB files with results + verification + source code
QA3.4	SURFACE: Intercompare HARMONIE-AROME models against mast profiles for European sites. Write a report to illustrate model characteristics of the most recent HARMONIE-AROME cycle compared with older cycles , e.g. compared over several years. (MaKa). b) Verify surface solar- and infrared radiation from HARMONIE-AROME against surface station networks measuring these fluxes ( KrNi, EmGl). c) Verify model global surface solar radiation against satellite data from KNMI- MSG-SAF. (BjAm). - d ) Implement and test modified diagnostic formulas for the stable boundary layer, e.g. according to Sass and Nielsen , HIRLAM Newsletter no. 54, verify and prepare code for new cycle if successful (SiTh).	MaKa,KrNi,EmGl,BjAm, SiTh	Verification , report(s) + source code, GRIB-files with results
QA3.5	DATA-ASSIMILATION: Investigate impact of recent data-assimilation techniques (e.g. cloud initialization and 4DVAR) on model spinup. Possibly implement upgrades. For cloud initialization this includes attention to selective removal of fog ( RoRa and data-assimilation team ) .	RoRa and data-assimilation team	reporting impacts including verification results, possibly code with updates
QA3.6	A report is prepared to document the impacts of CY43 and the current year's initiatives to alleviate the TOP 10 modelling issues ( BeSa, SaTi, RoRa, PaSa)	SaTi, BeSa, RoRa,PaSa	Documentation (report)

**t-code deliverables**

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
------	-------------	-------	------

**Non-t-code deliverables**

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
QA3.1	BeSa,GeMo,XiYa	Reports, e.g. on hirlam.org, ( code updates if needed)	3 - 4 reports in 2019
QA3.2	SaTi, KaIv	3D test cases in database	Medio 2019
QA3.3	SaTi, WiRo, KaIv,GeMo,BjAm,BeSa	GRIB files with results + verification + source code	December 2019
QA3.4	MaKa,KrNi,EmGl,BjAm,SiTh,BeSa	Verification , report(s) + source code, GRIB-files with results	December 2019
QA3.5	RoRa and data-assimilation team	GRIB files with results, verification, code with updates	December 2019
QA3.6	SaTi, BeSa, RoRa,PaSa	Report(s) documenting results of initiatives and new cycles	December 2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : QA4

WP number	Name of WP
QA4	Verification and quality control at MF : development of new methods or products
WP main editor	Joël Stein, Claude Fischer

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External projectc
JoSt, MaJe, FaSt	Joël Stein, Marine Jeoffrion, Fabien Stoop : DirOP/COMPAS	Météo-France	4

### WP objectives

Development of novel verification methods or products.
--

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
QA4.1	Development of new methods or products : extreme events, indicators, contingency tables including neighbourhood, etc ...	JoSt, MaJe, FaSt	non t-codes

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
QA4.1	JoSt	publication (neighbourhood-based contingency tables including errors compensation), documentation	

# ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SY1

WP number	Name of WP
SY1	Code optimization
WP main editor	Daniel Santos, Ryad El Khatib

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
DaSa	Daniel Santos	AEMET Spain	2
JWP, PeBe, BHS	Jacob Weisman Poulsen	DMI Denmark	2
RoSt, OIVi	Roel Stappers, Ole Vignes(1)	MET Norway	1
MaKa, RyJa	Martynas Kazlauskas(1.5), Rymvidas Jasinskas (1.5)	LHMS Lithuania	3
PhMa, REK, YoZh	Philippe Marguinaud, Ryad El Khatib, Yongjun Zheng	Météo-France	8
KII	Karl-Ivar Ivarsson(*)	SMHI Sweden	

## WP objectives

To identify and overcome bottlenecks for code performance, comprehensive profiling is needed for each new cycle. Additionally, the model should be regularly benchmarked on as massively parallel machines as are available, not only for the model as a whole, but also for individual "dwarves", to assess where the greatest gains in efficiency may be made. In a massively parallel system, processor failure will likely occur regularly. Thus, benchmark tests should also assess how well the system can handle such failures and investigate the need for more sophisticated techniques to ensure fault-tolerance.

The factors affecting code scalability are quite complex. Expertise in this area is thin, and should be strengthened. Significant reductions in computational costs can presumably still be made by optimization of the code in terms of aspects like loop order; partnerships with relevant computing expertise centers will be sought to strengthen efforts there. One aspect that was fairly little studied until today (as of 2017) is the sensitivity of the code performance to memory latency and bandwidth.

A major bottleneck for scalability in any NWP model is the need for I/O: e.g. to read initial and boundary data and to write forecast fields at required intervals. This can be done more efficiently by using an I/O server or by dedicating specific nodes to I/O, by asynchronous I/O, and by minimizing I/O due to intermediate file format transformations.

The use of accelerators such as GPU's (Graphical Processing Units) or the related Intel Mic architecture can provide the model with a speedup of a factor of about ~3-4, and has an interesting potential for reduction of energy consumption, at the cost of recoding (into CUDA or more simply by adding OpenAcc directives). In e.g. the ESCAPE project.

HIRLAM has approached the Barcelona Supercomputing Center to engage in a close collaboration on assessing and optimizing the IFS/AAAH LAM code performance and scalability. The aim is to start this with a HIRLAM-funded effort by BSC to make a basic code performance and scalability assessment, followed by a deeper (joint) investigation of several aspects such as the OpenMP implementation and the potential of single or mixed versus double precision. It is also intended that BSC will make available its basic performance and scalability assessment tools to the ALADIN-HIRLAM community and provide training to system experts in the use of these tools in benchmarking and optimization efforts.

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.h	Type of deliverablei
SY1.1	Continue the work on Fullpos-2 (in relation with OOPS actions)	REK, RoSt	T-code
SY1.2	Improve code design, interface and efficiency with optimizations of the input/output part and reducing memory bandwidth (removing useless initializations or copies) in particular when some routines of the physics are called.	REK	T-code?
SY 1.3	Contributions to profiling of dwarves and testing the code on mixed CPU-GPU architectures	BHS, MaKa,RyJa,BSC ?	Non-t-code
SY 1.4	4DVar profiling and optimization for operational uses	OIVi, NiGu???	
SY1.5	Single vs double vs mixed precision studies for Harmonie CMC	OIVi, MaKa, RyJa	Non-t-code
SY1.6	Physics parametrizations optimizations	KII	T-code

SY1.7	<p>Coordination and collaboration with Barcelona Supercomputer Center in the study about the efficient use of the computational resources.</p> <p>Basic code performance and scalability assessment will require:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Prepare two model configurations and BSC Tools for ECMWF HPC</li> <li>- Basic analysis of HARMONIE-AROME and Data assimilation execution on ECMWF HPC.</li> <li>- Basic MPI/OpenMP performance analysis on ECMWF HPC.</li> <li>- Training courses on BSC tools and analysis methods.</li> <li>- Documentation about the process and results</li> </ul> <p>Also a possible extension of some tasks or include new ones like:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Extending the profiling analysis of HARMONIE-AROME and data assimilation, including a specific study for OpenMP/MPI implementation and suggesting some possible optimizations.</li> <li>- Including BSC HPC (MareNostrum4) for the analysis and deployment of the model using BSC Tools, all the results on ECMWF HPC are obtained on MN4 too</li> <li>- Extending the mixed precision study, not only analyzing the present implementation, but also using our methodology to provide a mixed precision implementation where the simulation results are similar to the double precision version and the computational performance better.</li> <li>- Extending the OpenMP/MPI implementation to ensure not only an analysis of the OpenMP/MPI performance, but also ensure that some optimizations are included and a new approach is tested to improve the computational performance and take advantage of the OpenMP paradigm.</li> <li>- Testing more than two configurations on ECMWF HPC and MN4</li> </ul>	DaSa, JWP, BSC team	Non-t-code
SY1.8	Development and use of numerical performance simulators, enabling to simulate the scalability properties of parts of the NWP codes on various HPC architectures (this is a WP of ESCAPE)	PhMa, YoZh	non-t-code
SY1.10	Further studies with single-precision versions of the NWP codes for the forecast models	PhMa, other GMAP staff tbd	t-code

#### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
SY1.2	REK	CY45T1, CY46T1	2017-2018
SY1.6	DaSa	CY46T1, CY47T1	2018-2019
SY1.8	PaMa	CY43T2, CY46T1?	2016-2018

#### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SY1.5	OIVi	Report	2019
SY1.7	DaSa	Reports and code optimization options	2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SY2

WP number	Name of WP
SY2	Maintenance and development of the Harmonie Reference System
WP main editor	Daniel Santos

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
DaSa, AnHe, GM	Daniel Santos (2), Gema Morales(*)	AEMET Spain	2
HeFe, KaSa	Henrik Feddersen(0.25), Kai Sattler(1)	DMI Denmark	1.25
NiSo	Niko Sokka	FMI Finland	3
ToMo, BeUI	Toon Moene (1.5), Bert van Ulft(1.5)	KNMI Netherlands	3
MaKa, RyJa	Martynas Kazlauskas, Rymvidas Jasinskas	LHMS Lithuania	
EoWh	Eoin Whelan	MET Eireann	
TrAs, OIVi	Trygve Aspelien, Ole Vignes	MET Norway	(*),
UlAn	Ulf Andrae	SMHI Sweden	1

### WP objectives

The Harmonie Reference System consists of source code, scripts, utilities and documentation for deterministic and probabilistic forecasting. A robust Harmonie Reference System which is demonstrably suitable for operational use is the main deliverable of the Hirlam collaboration. In the Harmonie Regular Cycle of Reference (RCR), one or more member services undertakes the responsibility to adopt the latest full release of the Harmonie Reference System as their operational model. The role of the RCR is to ensure and demonstrate the technical and meteorological capability of the model in an operational environment. The responsibility to act as RCR center rotates among Hirlam services, in line with major new releases. Until 2016 the RCR commitment only involved the deterministic model, but as HarmonEPS is nowadays an integral part of the system it will be included in future RCR commitments as well.

The Reference System contains more than the Harmonie-Arome canonical model configuration code, which at present consists of the Fortran code of the forecast model. The efforts on maintenance of the CMC part of the Reference System are part of the activities on maintenance and development of the common code, as described in WP COM2. The efforts on maintenance and development of the remaining components of the Harmonie reference System (data assimilation and EPS code and scripts, the scripting system and related utilities) are described in this work package. Pre-release testing of new Reference releases is done at least on the RCR operational model domains. With the aim to reduce the gap between the Reference system and operational implementations at member services, a more direct and wider staff involvement is sought in coordinated pre-release porting, testing and tuning.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SY 2.1	Consult Hirlam services on agreements to run a Harmonie RCR for Harmonie-43h2 and future cycles	DaSa	Non-t-code
SY 2.2	Implementation, monitoring, pre-release validation and testing, release and maintenance of non-CMC parts of the Reference System; support of the Reference system at one or more operational platforms.	DaSa, UlAn, OIVi, TrAs, NiSo, EoWh, HeFe, BoPa, MaKa, ToMo	Non-t-code
SY 2.3	Test injection of observation data at ECMWF and operational platforms running RCR	DaSa, UIAA, OIVi, NiSo, GM	Non-t-code
SY 2.4	Ensure platform equivalence between the Reference system at ECMWF and operational RCR platforms on meteorological aspects	DaSa, UlAn, OIVi, TrAs, EoWh, NiSo	Non-t-code
SY 2.5	Implement GRIB2 encoding of atmospheric and surface fields GRIB codification on postprocessing and diagnosis	UlAn, AnHe, EoWh	Non-t-code
SY 2.6	Continued ECFLow support and increased capabilities	DaSa, OIVi, AnHe	Non-t-code
SY 2.7	Prepare a move to GIT as the major version control system in Cy43h. Webinars on GIT use and working practices.	KaSa, DaSa	Non-t-code
SY 2.8	Arrange training in Harmonie and its components for newcomers if/when needed.	DaSa	Non-t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SY 2.2	DaSa	Code, Scripts	2019
SY 2.5	UlAn	Code, Documentation	2019
SY 2.6	OIVi	Scripts	2019

## ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : SY3

WP number	Name of WP
SY3	Revision of the Harmonie scripting system
WP main editor	Daniel Santos

### Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
DaSa, AnHe	Daniel Santos(1), Angeles Hernandez(1)	AEMET Spain	2
SaSa	Sami Saarinen	FMI Finland	
BoPa	Bolli Palmason	IMO Iceland	
MaKa	Martynas Kazlauskas(*), Rymvidas Jasinskas(*)	LHMS Lithuania	
AlDe	Alex Deckmyn	RMI Belgium	1

### WP objectives

There are several reasons to perform an overhaul of the scripting system. Presently, the Harmonie scripting system uses a variety of scripting languages. This is confusing to users, and some languages used (e.g. Perl in the miniSMS scheduling system) are complicated and not well known by many users. It has therefore been decided to reconstruct the scripting system using a single scripting language. It is proposed to use Python for this, as it is a well-known language with many relevant tools available as open source, but some concerns about the use of Python still need to be addressed. Users from ALADIN have requested a number of adaptations to facilitate use of the scripting system in their environments. There have been persistent requests from NWP forecast model developers to make a setup of the script system allowing easier research experimentation with the forecast model, without being bothered by the overhead needed for running the model in an operational context. Climate modelers have asked for several adaptations which will make it easier for them to perform long climate runs. It will be seen how these requests can be accommodated in the revamped scripting system. Finally, the rewrite of the scripting system offers a good opportunity to clean up and better document the system.

### Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
SY3.1	Revise script system to a single scripting language	DaSa, MaKa	Non t-code
SY3.2	Adapt script system (together with ALADIN partners) to accommodate stated ALADIN wishes	DaSa, MaKa, AlDe	
SY3.5	Coordinate a wg on revisiting scripting system	DaSa, RoSt	
SY3.3	Develop and maintain a more user-friendly system setup for forecast model experiments and long climate runs	AnHe	
SY3.4	Prototype generation of "build task" to improve code compilation and as proof of concept.	SaSa	

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
SY3.1	DaSa	Scripts	2019
SY3.4	DaSa	Scripts	2019

# ALADIN/HIRLAM/LACE WorkPackage description : HR1

WP number	Name of WP
HR1	(Sub)-km configurations and turbulence R&D activity
WP main editor	Sander Tijm, Martina Tudor, Claude Fischer

## Table of participants

Participant Abbreviation	Participant	Institute	PersonMonth or External project
AE	Javier Calvo (1), Juan Simarro (3), Daniel Santos (1)	AEMET Spain	5
JuCe	Jure Cedilnik	ARSO Slovenia	1
PeSm	Petra Smolíková	CHMI Czech	1
MaHr	Mario Hrastinski	DHMZ Croatia	1.5
XiYa	Xiaohua Yang	DMI Denmark	1
JaWo, PiSe	Jadwiga Woyciechowska (1), Piotr Sekula (2)	IMGW Poland	3
SaTi	Sander Tijm	KNMI Netherlands	1.5
MaNa	Marass Najla	Maroc Meteo	
CoCl, EmGI	Colm Clancy	MET Eireann	4.5
RaHo	Rachel Honnert : CNRM/GMAP	Météo-France	7
DiRi	Didier Ricard : CNRM/GMME	Météo-France	5
DaLa	David Lancz	OMSZ Hungary	4
EsOl	Esbjorn Olsson	SMHI Sweden	2
PhSc	Phillip Scheffknecht	ZAMG Austria	1

## WP objectives

This work package sheet describes the intended efforts at the HIRLAM and ALADIN consortia towards research versions of (sub)-km AROME-France, HARMONIE-AROME and ALARO. These experiments require high resolution input data on physiography. In addition to this, HIRLAM will also consider options for data assimilation settings, ensemble configurations, and computational efficiency aspects. Furthermore they will study the optimal configuration for an operational resolution increase of the present 2.5km (ensemble) operational configurations, considering the best balance between aspects like horizontal and vertical resolution, domain size and ensemble configuration. These experiments will be done on several (maritime and continental) testbed domains.

Aspects to be studied are the numerical stability, particularly near steep topography; the meteorological and computational effects of using higher order than linear spectral grids; the possible need to tune physics parameterizations, the settings of horizontal and numerical diffusion; and the provision of adequate physiography data.

The model will be run in LES mode at resolutions down to tens of meters over areas where orographic data of sufficient resolution are available. The results should show if there are limitations in the spectral technique at such resolutions, for example at or near steep slopes. Simulations of different weather situations are needed in order to study the interactions between resolved and parametrized processes related to convection, turbulence, waves, radiation and microphysics.

Currently, in ALARO, operational dynamical adaptation of wind to high resolution topography uses rather old set-up and cycle. The aim is to find an optimum set-up of dynamics and turbulence (TOUCANS) scheme and to test the method for a range of resolutions in order to explore its limitations. At Météo-France, the aim is to start R&D efforts for AROME at 500m mesh size, and implement a test configuration for a research field campaign dedicated to the process and forecasting of fog. The field campaign is to take place in 2020-2021 in the South-West of France.

The research and development will also include work on horizontal and vertical diffusion (turbulence) on sub-km scales. The horizontal diffusion will be re-designed and tuned depending on the scale aimed to in the high resolution experiments. These scales approach the grey-zone of shallow convection and turbulence. The computation of the SLHD diffusion coefficient will be modified to become a function of the total flow deformation. The relation between the horizontal diffusion applied by the model dynamics (SLHD or conventional spectral horizontal diffusion) and the parameterized vertical diffusion will be studied for a range of resolutions.

At present, physics parameterizations treat the model grid as a series of independent vertical columns. Future models are likely to require (quasi-)3D parametrizations for several processes which are partially resolved on those scales. Such approach is being tested in turbulence and radiation schemes. The physics-dynamics interface may need to be adapted to permit this.

## Descriptions of tasks

Task	Description	Participant abbrev.	Type of deliverable
HR1.1	Determining the optimal configuration of high-resolution Harmonie-Arome (~1km) in dynamics, physics, data assimilation and ensembles	XiYa, EsOl, BoBo, CoCl, SaTi, AE	report
HR1.2	Experiments at sub-km resolutions. Test various horizontal/vertical resolutions using high-resolution surface elevation data (SRTM). Compare Harmonie-Arome at various hectometric resolutions against LES and observations.	JuCe(1), BoBo, PhSc, CoCl, XiYa, AE	report
HR1.3	Numerical methods on the km- and hectometric scale: study the limitations of the spectral approach and, possibly, the semi-Lagrangian scheme. Test limitations of the semi-implicit time-stepping for use at hectometric resolutions and test the PC on demand option.	CoCl, AE	report
HR1.4	An update of the AROME-France 500m configuration, perhaps first near an airport, later for the field campaign dedicated to fog.	GMAP staff, MaNa	namelists
HR1.5	Establish a model setup that would run dynamical adaptation of wind using the latest export version and establish optimal tuning of dynamics and TOUCANS.	MaHr	report, scripts, namelists, t-code ?
HR1.6	Consider the role of horizontal diffusion and SLHD, investigations of computational efficiency and possible ways to improve it (e.g. test single vs double resolution)	CoCl	configuration

HR1.7	Investigate shallow convection and turbulence behaviour in Harmonie-Arome at hectometric scales. Perform literature study on 3D effects of turbulence. Is 1D+2D enough ?	tbd	report
HR1.8	PhD: study the turbulence grey zone where eddies are partly solved.	DaLa, RaHo	report, doc, paper
HR1.9	3D turbulence solution in the AROME/ARPEGE/IFS code structure: how to implement 3D effects including horizontal exchange.	DaLa, RaHo	report, t-code
HR1.10	Assess the role of horizontal mixing and gradients in 3D turbulence, at the level of processes, using Meso-NH. Liaison with the 3D-turbulence activity in AROME.	DiRi	report, non-t-code
HR1.11	Redesign of the diffusion coefficient used in SLHD and being a monotonic function of the total flow deformation along the terrain-following vertical levels.	MaHr, PeSm	report, non-t-code
HR1.12	Study of the resolved versus sub-grid turbulent kinetic energy spectra in high resolution runs of ALARO, aiming to redesign the horizontal/vertical diffusion treatment.	MaHr, PeSm	report, non-t-code

### t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Cycle	Time
HR1.5	MaTu		end 2018
HR1.9	RaHo		end 2019

### Non-t-code deliverables

Task	Responsible	Type of deliverable	Time
H1.1	XY, EO	report	
H1.2	tbd	report	
H1.3	CoCl, JS	report	
H1.4	GMAP staff	namelists	
H1.5	MaTu	report, namelist, scripts	
H1.6		configuration	
H1.7	tbd	report	
H1.8	DaLa	report, doc, paper	
H1.9	RaHo	report, non-t-code	
H1.10	DiRi	report, non-t-code	
H1.11	MaHr, PeSm	report, non-t-code	
H1.12	MaHr, PeSm	report, non-t-code	