

Norwegian Meteorological Institute

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation in the AROME-ARCTIC NWP system

Stephanie Guedj, Fabrizio Baordo, Roohollah Azad and Máté Mile

<u>Content</u>

- Context & motivation
- DA experiments
- Fg departures / radiance simulations
- Overall forecast scores
- Case study: Mesoscale low pressure February 2023

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Context & motivations

Microwave observations have been assimilated in all-sky conditions for a decade at ECMWF:

=> Geer (2013) and Baordo and Geer (2016) obtained twice the impact of a clear-sky approach with significant improvement on the <u>wind forecast</u> when they assimilated SSMI/S in all-sky conditions.

=> extended to many other MW instruments: MHS, GMI, MWHS-2, ATMS, AMSU-A ... Geer et al., 2014; Lean et al., 2017; Lawrence et al., 2018; Bormann et al., 2021; Ducan et al., 2022 among many others

Since then, many other centres, including MET Norway & DMI, are working together on implementing the all-sky approach.

Initial developments to enable the assimilation of cloudy/rainy radiances in HARMONIE-AROME have been integrated in the cycle 46 (Azad and Randriamampianina, 2022).

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation All-Sky Scientific Background

1) Radiances are **thinned/superobbed** => to provide more representative observations & save computing resources (– emoslib ECMWF software).

2) Advanced observation operator (RTTOV-SCATT) to improve the simulation of cloudy/rainy radiances
 => 'Tuned' bulk optical properties (liquid & solid hydrometeors) to improve scattering radiative transfer
 + Radiances are then computed in both clear sky and all-sky subcolumn
 and the weight given by an 'effective' cloud fraction

3) A dynamic observation error to mitigate 'representivity' errors

=> the model cannot simulate clouds and precipitations with the right intensity and/or location: the error is inflated as a function of a 'symmetric' cloud predictor

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation All-Sky Scientific Background



Assumed observation errors in the system (actives)

=> Cloudy/rainy observations associated with large departures rejected in clear sky but active in all-sky

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation MW radiance observations

The Microwave Humidity Sounder (**MHS**) is a cross-track scanning instrument that observes the Earth with variable zenith angle and size field of view.

Onboard polar-orbiting platforms: Metop-B & -C and NOAA-19





=> Designed to provide valuable information on the upper, mid & lower tropospheric and surface humidity.

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Context & motivations

Operational usage of clear-sky MHS (thin80km) in AROME-Arctic (cy43)

Channel usage	Channel Idx	Frequency [GHz] Vertical/Horizontal polarisation	OPER Assimilation
Emissivity retrieval	1	89.0 V	no
Cloud screening (FGd)	2	157.0 V	no
Upper tropospheric humidity	3	183.311±1 H	All surfaces/clear
Mid-upper tropospheric humidity	4	183.311±3 H	All surfaces/clear
Mid-lower tropospheric humidity	5	190.311 V	Ocean & sea-ice/clear (over land if lat<55N)





Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation DA experiments

Preliminary experiments with only conv+MHS were run beforehand showing some encouraging results.

Full observing system setup (cy46):

Clear-sky = AROME-ARCTIC 3D-Var + Conv & AMSU-A & MHS (clear-sky) +SCAT, IASI, ATMS, MWHS-2

All-sky = same as clear-sky but MHS in all-sky

Period: 1 month spin-up + 2 months (winter & summer)



Histograms of Observations - simulations (ECMA) 1-15 Feb - NOAA19

=> Similar results for Metop B & C

 183 ± 3

 183 ± 1

190.311

40



105

104

103

102

10¹

100

Histograms of Observations - simulations (ECMA) 1-15 Feb - NOAA19

=> Similar results for Metop B & C





Even if the setup is not optimal (pre-thinning & emissivity over sea-ice), all-sky permits to significantly increase the amount of active observations:



=> Forecast impact ...

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Overall forecast scores: Upper-air

Jan-Feb 2023

clear-sky Wind speed all-sky

Initial time = 12*h*



=> overall neutral impact for temperature, humidity and wind speed + others~

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Overall forecast scores: Surface

T₂m

Jan-Feb 2023

clear-sky all-sky



U10m

Neutral impact against most of surface observations except ...

Q2m

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Overall forecast scores: Surface

Jan-Feb 2023

clear-sky Mean Sea Level Pressure all-sky vs forecast length



Neutral impact against most of surface observations except MSLP !

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation **Overall forecast scores: Surface**

Jan-Feb 2023



Mean Sea Level Pressure

Synoptic situation on the 04/02/2023 - 03UTC:

- a small low, bordering to a polar low, but not very intense, position approximately 72.3N and 19.0E
=> classify as a mesoscale circulation, i.e. less intense than a polar low.

There is a ship crossing northwards and partly against the wind west of the center, measuring at most 35 knots (17 m/s) and a pressure of some 2 hPa lower than the AROME-Arctic 00-run from the 4th.

It was not expected to give sufficient wind to justify a polar low forecast (> 41 knots) but still a good illustration in our period.



Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Case Study Forecast for 4/2 a

Forecast for 4/2 at 06UTC based on previous analysis 3/2 - 12UTC & 18UTC



=> also valid at 00h & for T2m, Q2m & Uwind











FG departures (normalised by obs error)

No MHS data





FG departures (normalised by obs error)

No MHS data





04/02 - 09UTC MSLP & low clouds from ECMWF + RADAR fg_depar amsub chan 3 (183 ± 3GHz) --sea/active 2023020409-2023020409 82.5° -0.5 7.5°N -1.0 75°N -1.5 **Clear-sky** fg_depar amsub chan 4 (183 ± 1GHz) --sea/active 2023020409-2023020409 1.5 1.0 -0.5

FG departures (normalised by obs error)

0 N

-0.5

-1.0

-1.5

1.5

-0.5

-1.0

all-sky

All-sky

all-sky

veather oftware olutions

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Conclusions

Main results:

- All-sky has been setup in cycle 46 and we managed to run it for MHS !
- Fg departures are improved thanks to :
 - RTTOV-SCATT
 - Dynamic obs error giving a more realistic weight to the simulation

=> The all-sky approach consistently improves the number of assimilated observations with respect to the clear-sky approach.

Forecast scores overall neutral but positive sometimes (case study)

=> Large scale structures seem better constrained with all-sky (consistent with Alan's papers)

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Conclusions & future works

Developments from Rooholad are available (but incomplete) in cycle 46 More work to do for verification & optimization of the all-sky assimilation:

- Reviewing thinning/superobbing to increase the coverage of satellite observations within the AROME-ARCTIC domain (emoslib) not included in github config
- Surface related issue: dynamical emissivity retrieval should be activated over sea-ice
- Reviewing dynamical observation error (scattering index) for optimal use over the AROME-ARCTIC domain
- + Extend to other microwave instruments ...

Radiance DA people, we need your help :)



Thank you

Back-up slides

filename1='/ec/res4/hpcperm/sbaa/impact dif/DATA FA/clearsky ICMSHHARM+0015 202302031

filename2='/ec/res4/hpcperm/sbaa/impact_dif/DATA_FA/allsky_ICMSHHARM+0015_2023020312'



Norwegian Meteorological Institute

Clear

All-Sky

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation All obs (ECMA) 1-15 Feb - **NOAA19**

Clear-Sky

FG Departures normalised respect to the observation error

All-Sky







Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Assimilated Obs 1-15 Feb - METOP-B



Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Assimilated Obs 1-15 Feb - **METOP-B**



Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Overall forecast scores: Upper-air

Jan-Feb 2023

Initial time = 12h

clear-sky Temperature all-sky



=> overall positive impact for temperature

7 stations Selection: ALL Temperature Period: 20230101-20230228 Used 12 + 00 06 12 18 24 No cases 1100 1300 1500 1700 1900 100 300 500 700 900 STDV close 100 STDV allskv ----BIAS clear -200 BIAS allsky -300 CASES -----400 hPa 500 600 700 800 900 1000 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 dea C Meteorological Institute \sim

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Overall forecast scores: Upper-air

Specific humidity

Jan-Feb 2023

clear-sky all-sky

Initial time = 00h

Initial time = 12h



=> overall positive impact for temperature, humidity (bias surface)

Benefits of MHS data from previous cycles (03/02/2023 - 09UTC)?



Benefits of MHS data from previous cycles (03/02/2023 - 09UTC)?





Clear-sky All-sky





Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Overall forecast scores: Conv + MHS only setup

CTL ALL-SKY

8 stations Selection: ALL Temperature Period: 20191101-20191231 Used {00,06,12,18} + 06 12 18 24



Nov-Dec 2019



8 stations Selection: ALL

Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Overall forecast scores: Conv + MHS only setup

Nov-Dec 2019



Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation Overall forecast scores: Full observing system setup

Jan-Feb 2023



Optimizing the use of Microwave observations over Arctic All-sky assimilation DA (ongoing work)

=> All-sky double the number of observations and most dynamically active area (cloudy/rainy area) are better constrained

82.5°N

77.5°N

75°N







Optimizing the use of Microwave observations over Arctic All-sky assimilation DA (ongoing work)

=> Forecast impact still neutral to positive on humidity and neutral to negative for other parameters ...





Preliminary results on all-sky MHS data assimilation All obs (ECMA) 1-15 Feb - NOAA19

Observed VS Simulated BT





satid: 223 [2023020100-2023021500]