NAM–SCA: A Nonhydrostatic Anelastic Model with Segmentally Constant Approximations

JUN-ICHI YANO, PIERRE BÉNARD, AND FLEUR COUVREUX
GAME/CNRM, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France

ALAIN LAHELLEC
Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, CNRS/UPMC, Paris, France

(Manuscript received 9 March 2009, in final form 13 November 2009)

ABSTRACT

An atmospheric convective system may be modeled as an ensemble of discrete plume elements. A representation of decomposed plumes provides the basis for mass-flux convective parameterization. A dry version of such a prototype model is constructed in a two-dimensional horizontally periodic domain. Each discrete plume element is approximated by a horizontally homogeneous segment such that the whole system is given by segmentally constant approximations (SCA) in the horizontal direction for each vertical level in a nonhydrostatic anelastic model (NAM). The distribution of constant segments is highly inhomogeneous in space and evolves with time in a highly adaptive manner. The basic modeling strategy from a physical point of view is to activate new segments vertically upward with time when a convective plume is growing and to deactivate segments when a plume event is over. The difference in physical values crossing segment interfaces is used as a criterion for numerically implementing this strategy. Whenever a large difference is found, the given interface is stretched vertically by subdividing an existing segment into two. In turn, when a segment interface difference is found below the threshold, the given interface is removed, thereby merging the two segments into one.

This nonhydrostatic anelastic model with segmentally constant approximations (NAM–SCA) is tested on an idealized atmospheric convective boundary layer. It successfully simulates the evolution of convective plumes with a relatively limited number of segments (i.e., high compression) and with a much scarcer distribution of segments over nonplume environments (i.e., extremely inhomogeneous distribution of segments). Overall, this method compresses the size of the model up to 5 times compared to a standard NAM with homogeneous grid distribution without substantially sacrificing numerical accuracy.

1. Introduction

The mass-flux convective parameterization originally proposed by Ooyama (1971) and Arakawa and Schubert (1974) is currently employed by a majority of global models, both those for operational forecasts and for climate research. The original conceptualization for this mass-flux convective parameterization may be traced back to Riehl and Malkus (1958). They proposed that an ascending branch of the Hadley–Walker circulation consists of two distinctive subcomponents: an ensemble of well-localized fast-ascending elements called “hot towers” or deep moist convective towers and a slowly descending background environment. They argued that the existence of localized hot towers is crucial for qualitatively understanding the tropical heat budget. They furthermore quantitatively estimated the contribution of hot towers in the mean heat budget.

Riehl and Malkus’ (1958) hot towers may be considered a special case of plume models for atmospheric convection. Since publication of the seminal works by Stommel (1947, 1951), thermal “plumes” have been considered elementary building blocks both for shallow and deep atmospheric convection (cf. Simpson 1983a,b; Lilly 1983; Morton 1997). Subsequent extensive analog laboratory experiments for atmospheric convection (e.g., Morton et al. 1956; Scorer 1957; Turner 1962; see also Turner 1986 as a review) greatly promoted this idea.

The basic idea of the mass-flux convective parameterization is to represent the subgrid-scale convective
processes within a grid box by an ensemble of those hot towers or more generally, plumes embedded in a homogeneous environment (cf. Fig. 1 of Arakawa and Schubert 1974). This idea is realized by introducing two equations for each physical variable within a grid box separately describing the two subcomponents: hot towers and environment. A prototype for such a closed formulation was provided by Riehl and Malkus (1958) for diagnostic purposes (cf. Yano 2009).

The most formal starting point for developing such a formulation is to suppose that a grid box itself is described by a full physical system. This is the basic idea of super-parameterization, which adopts a cloud-resolving model (CRM) as a full system (Grabowski and Smolarkiewicz 1999; Randall et al. 2003). A description of the ensemble of hot towers embedded in an environment is essentially obtained by discretizing a full system in terms of these discrete subcomponents.

The mass-flux parameterization achieves this goal by subdividing the gridbox domain into these subcomponents. Each subcomponent is approximated by a horizontally constant element surrounded by a horizontally homogeneous environment. We call this approximation the segmentally constant approximation (SCA). The idea of SCA may be traced back to Stommel (1947), who introduced a hypothesis of horizontal homogeneity in constructing his entraining plume model. The notion of the “top-hat” profile is explicitly adopted by Morton et al. (1956).

Arakawa and Schubert (1974) present the complete formulation for their parameterization by introducing further approximations and hypotheses (cf. Yano et al. 2005). However, it is hard to overemphasize that the very basic idea of this parameterization resides in subdividing the gridbox domain into various subcomponents under SCA. It is also important to emphasize that the idea of this subdivision under SCA is not necessarily limited to the one between hot towers (or plumes) and the environment; but can be generalized for various different processes such as convective downdrafts, or even various mesoscale subcomponents such as stratiform clouds.

The goal of the present paper is to present a model that is simply constructed by subdividing the gridbox domain into subcomponents. Unlike the standard mass-flux parameterization, this model however introduces no further approximations nor hypotheses. For this goal, the present study adopts a nonhydrostatic anelastic model (NAM) as a full system, because NAM is a formulation commonly adopted both for the large-eddy simulation (LES) and cloud-resolving modeling. The constructed model can be considered as a prototype for mass-flux convective parameterization.

The present work constitutes a natural extension of previous work by Yano et al. (2004a, 2005). Yano et al. (2004a) propose wavelet “compression” as a procedure for reducing the size of a full model such as NAM to a degree comparable to that of a standard parameterization. Yano et al. (2005) further generalize this idea by adopting mode decomposition as a procedure for developing a representation for subgrid-scale physical processes. Under this perspective, the mass-flux parameterization is based on segmentally constant mode decomposition. However, there is major difficulty in constructing a subgrid-scale representation on this basis.

Yano et al. (2005) emphasize the importance of completeness and orthogonality of decomposition modes to facilitate construction of a subgrid-scale representation from a full model. Once these conditions are satisfied, a given system can be truncated (compressed) extensively by following the principle of the multiresolution approach as in the case for wavelets (cf. Mallat 1998). This framework permits activating and deactivating modes in phase space along the evolution of the system in a flexible manner as extensively discussed in Yano et al. (2005). Unfortunately, segmentally constant decomposition does not satisfy completeness and orthogonality.

To circumvent this difficulty in the present study, activation and deactivation of constant segments are performed in the physical space in such a way that the total number of segments is always kept at a minimum. Although the developed methodology is still under the general framework of the multiresolution approach, the actual implementation turns out to be something more akin to adaptive mesh refinement (AMR; e.g., Berger and Colella 1989; Bell et al. 1994; see Baker 1997 as a review; see also, e.g., Dietachmayer and Droegemeier 1992; Skamarock and Klemp 1993; Hubbard and Nikiforakis 2003; Jablonowski et al. 2006; and St-Cyr et al. 2008 for atmospheric applications).

Thus, the present paper reports an initial development of a NAM under SCA (NAM–SCA), with adaptive activation and deactivation. A two-dimensional configuration for a dry version over a periodic domain is adopted. The vertical resolution is fixed in time, and refinement is performed only in the horizontal direction.

After introducing the basic model configuration, the formulation for NAM is reviewed in the next section. The formulation of the SCA system is presented in section 3. An algorithm for adaptive activation and deactivation of segments is introduced in section 4. As a preliminary test of the model, section 5 reports an application to a free-convection case proposed by Ayotte et al. (1996) for testing boundary layer parameterizations. Section 6 presents conclusions and details of numerical implementation are presented in the appendix.
2. A basic set of equations

a. Model configuration

The two-dimensional system is adopted. It is periodic in the horizontal direction $x$ with a domain size (periodicity) $L$, and it extends vertically for a height $H$.

By following the basic principle of the mass-flux convective parameterization of Arakawa and Schubert (1974), we consider that the system consists of an ensemble of plumes, each of them with a constant value (i.e., SCA) at each vertical level. Such a geometrical configuration is schematically shown in Fig. 1. Here, six constant segment plumes are placed in the domain. The first two plumes (from left) horizontally extend for ($\xi_1$, $\xi_2$) and ($\xi_2$, $\xi_3$), respectively; and vertically extend from the surface to the height $\xi_6$ and $\xi_4$. However, the plumes are not necessarily originating from the surface. The last three plumes (from left) originate from the heights $\xi_3$, $\xi_1$, and $\xi_2$, respectively, spanning for ($\xi_1$, $\xi_6$), ($\xi_6$, $\xi_7$), and ($\xi_7$, $\xi_8$). A plume may not have the same horizontal extent all the way to the top; the third plume from left spans for ($\xi_3$, $\xi_4$) from the surface to the height $\xi_3$, then reduces its horizontal extent to ($\xi_5$, $\xi_4$) at $z = \xi_3$, and then it continues upward to the top at $z = \xi_6$.

In this manner, based on a plume picture, the whole system is decomposed into a set of horizontally homogeneous segments. Once such a geometrical decomposition is achieved, however, we no longer have to stay with an original plume picture any more. For example, the fourth segment from the left spanning $\xi_4 \leq x \leq \xi_6$ and $\xi_3 \leq z \leq \xi_4$ may be better considered (in a moist version) as a stratiform cloud. Also, keep in mind that each plume has a height dependence, although the schematics may indicate otherwise, as well as the whole environment indicated by white in Fig. 1.

The basic strategy of the present modeling is to perform the time integration of a full system under decomposition into horizontally constant segments under SCA. The system under SCA is derived in the next section. These segments are activated and deactivated with time by following the evolution of the ensemble of plumes, or the whole system, so that the geometrical configuration of the model is adjusted accordingly, as detailed in section 4. Before proceeding further, the basic formulation of the full system is first reviewed in the remainder of this section.

b. Basic formulation

The dry two-dimensional NAM is considered. All symbols with the subscript $r$ refer to the reference background state depending on height $z$ only. The pressure $p$ and the potential temperature $\theta$ without subscript $r$ refer to the deviations from these reference profiles, hence the total pressure is given by $p_r + p$, for example. The reference density $\rho_r$ is simply assumed a constant in the present study, although it will be included in the following formulations for a generalization in future studies.

The basic set of equations consists of the prognostic equations for the horizontal $u$ and vertical $w$ winds, and the potential temperature $\theta$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho_r u w = -\frac{1}{\rho_r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} w + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u w + \frac{1}{\rho_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho_r w^2 = -\frac{1}{\rho_r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + g \frac{\theta}{\theta_r},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u \theta + \frac{1}{\rho_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho_r w \theta = -\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} w + \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{flux}},$$

and the mass continuity,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho_r w = 0,$$

with the acceleration of the gravity $g$. Here, $(\partial \theta/\partial t)_{\text{flux}}$ represents surface flux specified later by Eq. (5.1). No diabatic heating is considered in the present study.

A thermodynamic boundary condition $\partial \theta/\partial z = 0$ is posed both at the surface ($z = 0$) and the top of the system ($z = H$). The rigid-lid boundary condition ($w = 0$) is assumed at the surface, whereas a free surface condition
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1 We call them plumes rather than hot towers, because they are dry by design.
standard procedure is to time integrate Eqs. (2.1a), (2.1b), and (2.1c) for the three variables \( u \), \( w \), and \( \theta \) with a given pressure \( p \). However, the main problem in performing this time integration is that there is no equation immediately available for evaluating \( p \), thus an auxiliary equation must be introduced (see, e.g., Bernardet 1995). The desired equation is obtained by multiplying the linear operators \( \partial/\partial x \rho_p \) and \( \partial/\partial z \rho_p \) in Eqs. (2.1a) and (2.1b), respectively, and adding them together. The obtained divergence tendency must vanish because of the mass continuity (2.2), thus

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) p = -\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \left( \rho_p \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) u \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \left( \rho_p \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) w \right] \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho_R \frac{\theta}{\Theta} \right),
\]

(2.3)

where \( \mathbf{v} = (u, w) \).

In summary, the NAM system consists of a redundant set of Eqs. [(2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c), (2.2), and (2.3)]. The standard procedure is to time integrate Eqs. (2.1a), (2.1b), and (2.1c) with the pressure \( p \) diagnosed by Eq. (2.3). On the other hand, NAM–SCA takes a rather unusual set consisting of Eqs. (2.1b), (2.1c), (2.2), and (2.3) for the reason explained in the next section.

3. SCA: Segmentally constant approximation

a. Model geometry

We represent a physical system under an SCA (cf. Fig. 1), which consists of approximating a full system by, say, a \( n(z, t) \) set of horizontally constant segments defined

\[ x \in [x_{j-1/2, h}(z, t), x_{j+1/2, h}(z, t)] \]

\( (p = 0) \) is assumed at the top. A radiative boundary condition proposed by Klemp and Durran (1983) reduces to the free surface condition in the limit of low wavenumbers. For this reason, we expect that the free surface condition loosely behaves like a top radiative boundary condition, but without a need to move to a wavenumber space as the original Klemp–Durran condition would require. This mitigates the necessity of introducing a sponge layer in combination with a limited segment number at the uppermost part of the model. No subgrid-scale parameterization, such as eddy viscosity, is included in the present version for the sake of presenting the SCA model in its simplest form (cf. Margolin et al. 1999).

b. Prognostic equations

The equation for each segment is obtained by averaging the original full system over the given segment. For this purpose, we first rewrite the prognostic Eqs. (2.1b) and (2.1c) in a general flux form,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u \varphi + \frac{1}{\rho_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho R w \varphi = F,
\]

(3.3)

Fig. 2. Application of SCA to an arbitrary prognostic variable \( \varphi \) given as a function of horizontal coordinate \( x \). Under SCA, the variable \( \varphi \) is defined by constant values \( \varphi_j \) over specified intervals \( [x_{j-1/2, h}, x_{j+1/2, h}] \) with \( j = 1, \ldots, n \).
in terms of an unspecified prognostic variable \( \varphi \) (either \( w \) or \( \theta \)) and forcing (source) term \( F \). Equations (2.1b) and (2.1c) are recovered by appropriately specifying the corresponding term \( F \). We integrate the system given by Eq. (3.3) over the \( j \)th segment that spans the interval \((x_{j-1/2,b}, x_{j+1/2,b})\). The derivation is essentially the same as when the zeroth-order finite volume method is applied (cf. Godunov 1959; section 5.6.1 of Durran 1999; LeVeque 2002).

The final expression obtained for the prognostic equation under SCA is

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_j + \frac{1}{L} \left[ u_{j+1/2,b} \varphi_{j+1/2,b} - u_{j-1/2,b} \varphi_{j-1/2,b} \right] + \frac{1}{\rho_r \sigma_j} \left( \rho_r \sigma_j w_j \varphi_j \right) = \sigma_j F_j. \tag{3.4}
\]

Here,

\[
\sigma_j = \frac{(x_{j+1/2,b} - x_{j-1/2,b})}{L}
\]

is a fractional length occupied by a \( j \)th segment, and variables (e.g., \( \varphi_{j-1/2,b} \)) with subscript \( b \) refer to those at the interface \( x = x_{j-1/2,b} \) between the two segments \( j-1 \) and \( j \). The segmentally averaged forcing \( F_j \) is defined in an analogous manner to Eq. (3.2a). The vertical flux \((w\varphi)\) has been approximated by \( w_j \varphi_j \), following a standard mass-flux approximation [cf. Eq. (3.3) of Yano et al. 2004c].

The choice of the physical values at the segment interfaces remains arbitrary under SCA. By following a standard approximation introduced in plume-dynamics studies as well as in the mass-flux formulations (cf. Asai and Kasahara 1967; Arakawa and Schubert 1974), the upstream (upwind) approximation may be taken, hence

\[
\varphi_{j-1/2,b} = \begin{cases} 
\varphi_{j-1} & \text{if } u_{j-1/2,b} > 0 \\
\varphi_j & \text{if } u_{j-1/2,b} \leq 0.
\end{cases} \tag{3.5}
\]

This also corresponds to the simplest stable advection scheme available under the finite-volume approaches.

c. **Horizontal velocity**

Both the vertical velocity \( w \) and the potential temperature \( \theta \) are evaluated prognostically by casting Eqs. (2.1b) and (2.1c) into the form of Eq. (3.4). On the other hand, the horizontal velocity \( u \) is treated differently as detailed in the present subsection.

By directly integrating the mass continuity (2.2) horizontally with a given segmented constant \( w \), it is found that \( u \) is linearly segmented, for example,

\[
u = u_{j-1/2,b} - \frac{1}{\rho_r} (x - x_{j-1/2,b}) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho_r w_j \right), \tag{3.6a}
\]

over a \( j \)th segment. By setting \( x = x_{j+1/2,b} \) in this formula, we obtain a formula for evaluating the horizontal velocity at a next segment interface, \( j + \frac{1}{2} \), from a previous one, \( j - \frac{1}{2} \),

\[
u_{j+1/2,b} = u_{j-1/2,b} - \frac{1}{\rho_r} (x_{j+1/2,b} - x_{j-1/2,b}) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho_r w_j \right). \tag{3.6b}
\]

A chain application of Eq. (3.6b) defines the horizontal velocity at every segment interface except for the domain mean \( \bar{u} \) left undetermined.

As in any cloud-resolving modeling under periodic boundary conditions, there is no obvious way to determine \( \bar{u} \); thus it must be posed externally [cf. Eq. (8) of Grabowski et al. 1996; see also Eq. (7) of Grabowski 2004]. In the present study, we simply set \( \bar{u} = 0 \).

d. **Poisson problem**

In the present work, the Poisson problem (2.3) is solved on a regular grid without applying SCA. This choice is consistent with the main goal of the present paper for presenting the new model, NAM–SCA, in its simplest setup. For this purpose, all the physical variables represented under SCA are transformed back to a “continuous” representation with the help of Eq. (3.1). The horizontal velocity is defined continuously by Eq. (3.6a).

Handling the discontinuities arising from SCA in evaluating the source term in the Poisson Eq. (2.3) is not straightforward. We avoid this difficulty by assuming that all the physical variables are numerically defined at every grid point. A horizontally homogeneous grid with a resolution \( \Delta x \) is assumed for this purpose. Here, \( \Delta x \) is the minimum segment size (cf. section 4a). A standard finite-difference approach is then applied for evaluating the source term as detailed in the appendix. Although the procedure may not be justified in a mathematically rigorous sense, our tests repeating runs with the resolution \( \Delta x/3 \) in the Poisson problem show that the pressure calculations are not sensitive to the numerical resolution adopted.

e. **Numerical implementation**

A closed set of the system consisting of Eqs. (2.1b) and (2.1c) cast into a form of Eq. (3.4) is thus numerically integrated in time along with the diagnostic Eqs. (3.6) and (2.3).

The system is discretized in the vertical direction by \( N_z \) layers with a depth \( \Delta z = H/N_z \). The bottom interface (full level) of the first layer is designated by a vertical level \( k = 0 \), and the top interface of the uppermost layer by \( k = N_z \), corresponding to the model surface (\( z = 0 \))
and the model top \((z = H)\), respectively. In solving the Poisson problem (2.3), we assume that the physical variables are defined at a middle level of each vertical layer, which we designate as a half level with an index of a half integer, \(\frac{1}{2}, 1 + \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, N_z - \frac{1}{2}\) (cf. Fig. 3). The half-level index is also used for identifying a model vertical layer in the following section. Additional numerical details are in the appendix.

4. Adaptive activation and deactivation of segments

As emphasized in the introduction, the present work has been inspired from the multiresolution approach (cf. Mallat 1998), which enables us to simulate the time evolution of a physical system under a strong truncation or compression by deactivating unnecessary modes and activating newly generating modes with time. The present work intends to perform such activation and deactivation with time by adopting a similar strategy in the real space.

As in the case for the wavelet-based compression (Yano et al. 2004a), standard deviations [cf. Eqs. (4.3) and (4.4) found later in the study] are adopted as measures for defining a threshold for activation and deactivation of constant segments. In the case of the wavelet compression, the standard deviation is known to provide an optimized threshold in the sense that, beyond this threshold, the rate of loss of variance by truncation becomes faster than the rate of enhancing compression rates. Qualitatively, a similar behavior is expected for the present activation and deactivation strategy.

In the present study, both a local criterion [Eq. (4.3)] and a global criterion [Eq. (4.4)] are adopted as thresholds. The local criterion is central because new segments must
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**FIG. 3.** Vertical configuration of the model. Full and half levels are indicated by full and dashed horizontal lines, respectively.
be added by following the ascent of a local plume from a lower layer toward the vertical layer of concern. Existence of a plume is identified by a marked difference of a physical value crossing two segments, which is strong enough in a given vertical layer. However, a global criterion is additionally required to prevent excessive activation of segments because of the existence of disturbances that are insignificant in a global sense, although they are identified to be significant solely based on a local criterion.

Table 1 lists the parameters for activation and deactivation approximately in the order of appearance in the text with the default values.

**a. Initialization and basic managements on vertical levels**

The basic strategy is to sustain a continuous evolution of a convective system by maintaining a random noise at the lowest vertical layers. For this goal, a full resolution is introduced to the first few vertical layers of the model and then the system is initialized with a random noise in the first vertical layer. These perturbations work as continuous seeds for generating plumes.

More precisely (cf. Fig. 3), the model is initialized with a horizontally homogeneous distribution of constant segments with the model’s finest horizontal resolution $\Delta x = L/N_x$ from the surface $z = 0$ to the level $z = k_m\Delta z$ (i.e., for the lowest $k_m$ layers). Above $z = k_m\Delta z$, the number of homogeneously distributed constant segments is drastically reduced to $M_t$ ($<N_t$), with a much larger segment size $\Delta X = L/M_x$ ($>\Delta x$).

The initial random noise along with an initial high resolution in the lowest $k_m$ layers allows perturbations to develop at the lowest levels, which work as a source for plumes. To sustain the plume source with time, the high horizontal resolution $\Delta x$ is always maintained at the lowest $k_m$ layers (up to $z = k_t\Delta z$) throughout the simulations, where $k_t < k_m$. Activation and deactivation of segments are performed over a vertical range from $z = k_m\Delta z$ to $k_t\Delta z$ (where $k_t < N_t$) as detailed later.

We introduce the uppermost level $k_t\Delta z$, where new segments may be activated. Above this level, the total number of segments is always kept at $M_t$ as initially assigned. This last procedure, by averaging out an upward smaller-scale vertical flux into a larger scale, aims to introduce numerical dissipation without explicitly introducing a sponge layer at the uppermost layers.

**b. Basic principles for activation and deactivation: Threshold measures**

More precisely, at a very technical level it is not a segment itself but an interface between segments that is activated and deactivated with time. A new interface subdivides a preexisting segment into two, leading to a finer resolution, and a deactivation of an existing interface merges the existing two segments into one, leading to a lesser resolution. The basic principle is schematically shown in Fig. 4.

As a criterion for activation and deactivation of segment interfaces, a difference of physical values between two segments crossing an interface is used,

$$\Delta \phi_{j-1/2,b} = (l_{j-1/2})^{1/2}|\phi_j - \phi_{j-1}|, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\phi_j$ is a value of a variable $\phi$ at the $j$th segment, and $l_{j-1/2}$ is an integer length of the shortest segment adjacent to this interface given in the unit of the maximum model resolution $\Delta x$, thus

$$l_{j-1/2} = \min(|x_{j+1/2,b} - x_{j-1/2,b}|, |x_{j-1/2,b} - x_{j-3/2,b}|)/\Delta x. \quad (4.2)$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. List of model parameter default values, which are given by categories roughly in the order introduced in the text.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model resolution–related parameters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L = 6.4 \text{ km}$: horizontal domain size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_x = 128$: total number of segments under a full resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_t = 150$: total number of full vertical levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_t = 2$: minimum number of segments allowed at each vertical level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta t = 1 \text{ s}$: time step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta X = 3.2 \text{ km}$: length of the segment under the minimum resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta x = 50 \text{ m}$: full horizontal resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta z = 20 \text{ m}$: vertical resolution (depth of a full vertical layer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical vertical levels</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_b = 5$: maximum height at which the full resolution is always maintained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_m = 20$: top height at which the full resolution is initially introduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_t = 100$: maximum height at which activation and deactivation of segments is performed. Above this level, the minimum resolution $M_t$ is always maintained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical depth for performing activation and deactivation $\Delta k_u = 3$: vertical depth over which activation is performed $\Delta k_d = 0$: vertical depth over which the deactivation condition is checked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervals for activation and deactivation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_a = 10$: interval for performing activation given as a number of time steps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_d = 10$: interval for performing deactivation given as a number of time steps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relative thresholds for activation and deactivation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_a = 1.0$: threshold for activation relative to the standard deviation at a given vertical level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_d = 1.0$: threshold for deactivation relative to the standard deviation at a given vertical level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\min} = 10^{-2}$: threshold for activation and deactivation relative to the total standard deviation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This qualitatively [Eq. (4.1)] provides an “energy” measure for \( \varphi \). As a result, larger segments are preferably activated and smaller segments are preferably deactivated. The shorter of the two segments adjacent to an interface is used for the energy measure so that an activation of a shorter segment is always not favored.

If the difference (4.1) is above a threshold for any of the prognostic variables, a new segment interface is formed first and then activations follow. As a result, the deactivated interfaces may be immediately activated again at the same time step when the latter condition is less restrictive than the former, but with the physical values homogenized over these reactivated interfaces (cf. section 3d). In this sense, deactivation works, to a certain degree, like a “cleaner” or horizontal filtering of the field. Deactivation and activation are performed for every \( n_d \) and \( n_a \) time steps, respectively.

\textit{c. Activations}

For the activations, the basic principle is to test at a given level, say, \( k + \frac{1}{2} \), if there is an interface with a strong difference relative to the standard deviation defined at a half level immediately above or below, that is, \( k + \frac{1}{2} \) or \( k - \frac{1}{2} \). Then, depending on the case, a new interface is added upward or downward (cf. Fig. 4).

Under this strategy, the following test is first applied at every half level, \( k + \frac{1}{2} \), from \( k = k_b - 1 \) to \( k_b - 1 \), at every interface \( j \) for every variable \( \varphi \):

\[
\Delta \varphi_{j-1/2,k+1/2,b} > \gamma_d v(\varphi, k' + 1/2) \quad \text{and} \quad (4.5a)
\]

\[
\Delta \varphi_{j-1/2,k+1/2,b} > \gamma_{\text{min}} v_j(\varphi) \quad (4.5b)
\]

for the half levels immediately above and below, that is, \( k' = k + 1 \) and \( k - 1 \), as long as the level stays within the

---

3 To see this assume for simplicity that \( \varphi \) varies \( [\varphi_j - \varphi_{j-1}] \) over a distance \( l_{j-1/2} \). Then a contribution of the variance \( \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_j \) (i.e., an energy measure) over this distance is estimated as \( \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_j \sim l_{j-1/2}(\varphi_j - \varphi_{j-1})^2 \). The root mean square of this formula gives Eq. (4.1). See also discussion in section 3d of Yano et al. (2004b).

4 A possibility of \( n_d \neq n_a \) is considered to evaluate the relative importance of deactivation and activation in accomplishing an efficient compression of the system. As will be later shown by Fig. 10b, it turns out that such differentiation does not sensibly contribute to a computational efficiency.
range \( k_b \leq k' \leq k_e - 1 \). Here, \( \gamma_u \) and \( \gamma_{\text{min}} \) are the thresholds for local [Eq. (4.5a)] and global [Eq. (4.5b)] conditions, respectively, relative to the standard deviations defined by (4.3) and (4.4). When both conditions are satisfied at a level \( k' + \frac{1}{2} \) with either \( k' = k - 1 \) or \( k + 1 \), the \( j \)th interface located at \( x_{j-1/2,k+1/2,b} \) is extended either downward or upward for \( \Delta k_d \) layers depending on \( k' = k - 1 \) or \( k + 1 \). For example, when \( k' = k + 1 \), the interface at \( x_{j-1/2,k+1/2,b} \) is extended upward from the level \( k + \frac{1}{2} \) to \( k + \frac{1}{2} + \Delta k_u \).

\[ \text{d. Deactivations} \]

Deactivation is essentially a backward procedure to the activation with the parameter \( \Delta k_a \) replaced by \( \Delta k_d \). The test is performed over the \( 2\Delta k_d + 1 \) layers. When a criterion is satisfied, the segment at the middle layer is removed.

More specifically, at every half level, \( k + \frac{1}{2} \), the following conditions are tested for every prognostic variable:

\[
\Delta \varphi_{j-1/2,k+1/2,b} \leq \gamma_d \varphi(k', 1/2) \quad \text{or} \\
\Delta \varphi_{j-1/2,k+1/2,b} \leq \gamma_{\text{min}} \varphi(k).
\]

Here, \( \gamma_d \) is a local deactivation threshold that is set equal to \( \gamma_u \) in a standard case (cf. Table 1), although sensitivity tests are performed with \( \gamma_d \neq \gamma_u \) (cf. Table 2). The test is performed from \( k' \) ranging from \( k - \Delta k_d \) to \( k + \Delta k_d \) as long as \( k' \) remains within the range \( k_b \leq k' \leq k_e - 1 \). If the test is passed (i.e., either of these two conditions is satisfied over all \( k' \)) for three consecutive interfaces at each vertical level, a middle interface indexed by, say, \( j \) is classified as a candidate for deactivation.

When \( \Delta k_d \) is not zero, the following test is furthermore applied for \( k' \) spanning from \( k - \Delta k_d \) to \( k + \Delta k_d \) but excluding \( k \):

\[
\Delta \varphi_{j-1/2,k+1/2,b} \leq \gamma_d \varphi(k, 1/2) \quad \text{or} \\
\Delta \varphi_{j-1/2,k+1/2,b} \leq \gamma_{\text{min}} \varphi(k).
\]

The test is performed over the three interfaces: \( j' = j(k + \frac{1}{2}) - 1, j(k + \frac{1}{2}), \) and \( j(k + \frac{1}{2}) + 1 \). Here, the explicit argument, \( k + \frac{1}{2} \), is added in \( j(k + \frac{1}{2}) \) to explicitly indicate the vertical level used for defining the segment index. The test is performed as long as these three interfaces continue vertically. Otherwise, the test is simply skipped. Once these tests are passed, the interface in concern is removed.

\[ \text{e. Assignments of new physical values} \]

New physical values must be assigned to the newly reconfigured segments, in either a case of subdividing a segment into two by activation or a merger of two segments into one by deactivation. When a new interface subdivides a segment into two, the same physical values as those for the original single segment are assigned to the two new segments.

On the other hand, when the \( j \)th interface at the level \( k + \frac{1}{2} \), say, is removed, then the two original segments merge into one, thus values for all physical variables must be assigned for the merged segment. They are defined by taking an average of values for the two old segments weighted by their relative lengths, that is,

\[
\varphi_{j-1/2,k+1/2} = r_1 \varphi_{j-1/2,k+1/2} + r_2 \varphi_{j-1/2,k+1/2},
\]

with

\[
r_1 = \frac{x_{j-1/2,k+1/2,b} - x_{j-3/2,k+1/2,b}}{x_{j+1/2,k+1/2,b} - x_{j-3/2,k+1/2,b}} \quad \text{and} \\
r_2 = \frac{x_{j+1/2,k+1/2,b} - x_{j-1/2,k+1/2,b}}{x_{j+1/2,k+1/2,b} - x_{j-3/2,k+1/2,b}}.
\]

---

Table 2. List of Ayotte test cases. List of Ayotte test cases used in the scatter plots of Fig. 10; there are four major categories shown by different symbols in the figure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Marked by</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>( \gamma_u = 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0 )</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>( \gamma_u = 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0 )</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>( \gamma_u = 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0 )</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>( \gamma_u = 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0 )</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that some overlapping cases are plotted twice, and in some cases, using a different run with a different initialization.
Note that the deactivation is performed only if the number of total interfaces is larger than the greater of \(3\) or \(M\). The original interfaces assigned at the positions \(x_b = i \Delta X\) with \(i = 1, \ldots, M\) are never deactivated.

5. Numerical validation: Ayotte test case

a. Outline

Ayotte et al. (1996) provide a set of idealized dry large-eddy simulations (LESs) for testing the planetary boundary layer (PBL) parameterizations (see also Hourdin et al. 2002). Here, NAM–SCA is tested as one of the parameterization schemes by the procedure prescribed in Ayotte et al. (1996). Among the cases provided by Ayotte et al. (1996), the case 24F, which is a simulation of a free-convection PBL, is adopted in the present study as the simplest and easiest to test.

For comparisons, Ayotte et al. (1996) provide an experiment with an LES (Ayotte–LES), originating from Moeng (1984). This LES run is also adopted as a reference in the present study. Note that the adopted resolution in NAM–SCA (\(\Delta x = 50\) m, \(\Delta z = 20\) m; cf. Table 1) is comparable to the one adopted in the Ayotte–LES (cf. Ayotte et al.’s 1996 Table III). However, it is important to note that the present NAM–SCA, for the sake of simplicity, contains no subgrid-scale parameterization, unlike standard LESs.\(^5\)

By following the test instruction provided by Ayotte et al. (1996), the domain-mean values of an equilibrium state obtained by running the Ayotte–LES for five large-eddy times, or \(5\tau\), are adopted as the initial conditions. The domain-mean potential temperature at \(t = 5\tau\) of the Ayotte–LES run is also adopted as a reference profile \(\theta_r\). On top of these domain-averaged values, a random perturbation is added to the potential temperature field with a standard deviation of 0.2 K to the first two layers of the model, following the standard procedure in LES initialization.

The Ayotte–LES is run for another \(10\tau\), and the results averaged over the last \(5\tau\) are provided to the model comparison project participants for a comparison with parameterizations. A result after \(7\tau\) of a parameterization is prescribed to compare with this averaged data. The present NAM–SCA run is also compared with the Ayotte–LES results in this manner.

In the case considered (24F), the system is forced with a constant surface flux \(H\) defined by \(H \rho_r C_p = 0.25\) K m s\(^{-1}\). Here, \(C_p\) is the specific heat of the dry air at constant pressure. In the present model implementation, the surface flux is added as a tendency for the potential temperature in the first two model layers as

\[
\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{flux}} = \frac{H}{\rho h_b C_p},
\]

where \(h_b\) is the top height of the second layer. The surface flux is redistributed over the first two layers instead of only the first layer so that the lowest-level vertical velocity, evaluated at the interface between the first two layers, can fully feel the development of buoyancy by the surface flux.\(^6\)

In this test case, the large-eddy time is \(\tau = 515\) s, and the height of the capping inversion at \(t = 5\tau\) is at \(z_i = 1033\) m. The potential temperature profile of the initial condition (\(t = 5\tau\) of the Ayotte–LES run; long dashed curve in Fig. 5a) has a numerically, artificially generated, strong inversion capping, which is gradually eroded with time because of a constant heat flux from the surface.

b. Full-resolution case: The domain size dependence

The first case performed is an experiment with a full-resolution \(\Delta x\) found everywhere by setting \(M_x = N_x = 128\), but it otherwise retains the standard parameters given in Table 1. A snapshot of this simulation at \(t = 7\tau\) is shown in Fig. 6. A few well-organized upward convective plumes are identified in both in the vertical velocity (upper panel) and the potential temperature (lower panel) fields. It is also seen that intrusion of plumes into the inversion locally generates a negative potential temperature anomaly.

The domain-mean \(\theta\) profile (\(\overline{\theta}\)) obtained by the full-resolution case at \(t = 7\tau\) is shown as the leftmost solid curve in Fig. 5a. The curve is compared with the corresponding profile obtained by the Ayotte–LES, which is shown by the leftmost short dashed curve. Furthermore, Fig. 5b shows the vertical heat flux in a similar format: the long dashed curve shows the result from Ayotte–LES, whereas the leftmost solid curve shows the vertical heat flux \(\overline{w' \theta'}\), where the prime designates a deviation from the horizontal mean. The total heat flux estimated from a potential temperature tendency over a large-eddy time \(\tau\) as defined by Eq. (25) of Ayotte et al. (1996), but correcting their sign mistake,

\(^5\) Most notably, the present code does not satisfy the Monin–Obukhov condition at the surface as recommended by Ayotte et al. (1996). Though this modification is very straightforward, the authors rather decide to present the behavior of NAM–SCA in its simplest implementation.

\(^6\) Note that, thus, this is the minimum value possible for a parameter \(h_b\). The choice of this parameter is comparable to that by Margolin et al. (1999). They assume a subgrid heat flux exponentially decreases upward with a scale height of 25 m.
is also shown by the leftmost short dashed curve. The estimated total heat flux includes various numerical effects indirectly contributing to the vertical flux.

The first defect of the model to point out may be the tendency of an instantaneous heat flux \( w^\theta \) (the leftmost solid curve in Fig. 5b) to not constitute a straight line over a well-mixed layer, as should be. A closer inspection shows that the domain-mean potential temperature is not quite stationary with time either, even after 7\( \tau \). Unsteadiness of heat flux is attributed to a relatively small domain size adopted for the simulation, which can only contain a few plumes at any single moment within the given two-dimensional domain (cf. Moeng et al. 2004), as shown by a snapshot in Fig. 6.

To infer a reason for a stably stratified mixed layer, time evolution of both the domain-mean potential temperature \( \theta \) and heat flux \( w^\theta \) are plotted in Figs. 7a and 7b, respectively, with an interval of 2\( \tau \). Over the first two large-eddy times (long dashed curve), the well-mixed layer is much destabilized (Fig. 7a) with an extensive heat supply to the lower half of the mixed layer, as manifested by a steeper slope in heat flux over the layer 0.4–0.6 km compared to 0.8–1.0-km levels (Fig. 7b). However, over the next four large-eddy times (short dashed and chain
dashed curves), more heat is supplied to the upper levels accompanied by a rapid decrease of heat-flux gradient in the upper levels (Fig. 7b), and as a result, the stratification gradually turns from an unstable to a stable profile. At $t = 8\tau$ (double chain–dashed curve), the potential temperature profile comes to equilibrium, and from that point the potential temperature increases homogeneously with time in the vertical, maintaining the same weakly stable profile (not shown).

We believe that a tendency toward a weak stratification is due to very buoyant convective plumes that directly penetrate into the upper half of the mixed layer without much mixing in the lower half of the layer. These penetrating plumes are generated, after an initial transitional destabilization dominated by shallower plumes, because of an unusually strong unstable surface layer.

The plumes can transport heat upward even over a stably stratified layer because of their nonlocal nature of heat transport. In fact, a nonlocal convective boundary layer can be better represented by a dense distribution of segments, whereas the quiescent region outside is represented by a much scarcer distribution of segments. One large-eddy time later (Fig. 8b), the main activity of plumes shifts rightward, and so does the region with dense distribution of segments by time-dependent activation and deactivation of segments.

The modifications of the vertical profiles with increasing compression rates are shown in Fig. 9 for potential temperature and heat flux in the same format as in Fig. 5. That is, cases with increasing thresholds—$\gamma_a = \gamma_d = 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$—are shown by shifting the curves from the left to the right with a constant ($+2$ K) increment. The relative total number of segments compared to the full-resolution case, or the compression rate, of the system is 0.490, 0.284, 0.144, and $8.74 \times 10^{-2}$, respectively. Compared to a degree of decreasing compression rates, deterioration of the vertical profiles both for $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{w\theta}$ compared to the full version (the leftmost curve in Fig. 5) appears to be relatively slow, although the deterioration of the heat flux stands out more than that for the $\theta$ profile.\footnote{Recall that the estimate of the heat flux by Eq. (5.2) is based on a tendency over $\tau$, thus a problem of statistical fluctuation is avoided.}

c. Compression tests

An example of snapshots from a run with a relatively strong compression is shown in Fig. 8. The parameters used for this case are the default parameters (cf. Table 1), $\gamma_a = \gamma_d = 1.0, n_a = n_d = 10$, etc. In the upper frame, where the vertical velocity field is shown, positions of the segment interfaces are also indicated by vertical bars.

At $t = 8\tau$ (Fig. 8a), a plume toward the left edge of a domain is well represented by a dense distribution of segments, whereas the quiescent region outside is represented by a much scarcer distribution of segments. One large-eddy time later (Fig. 8b), the main activity of plumes shifts rightward, and so does the region with dense distribution of segments by time-dependent activation and deactivation of segments.

Recall that the estimate of the heat flux by Eq. (5.2) is based on a tendency over $\tau$, thus a problem of statistical fluctuation is avoided.
To better compare the runs, the relative error is defined as the ratio between the RMS error of a run and the RMS difference from the full resolution run at $t = T_f$,

$$\delta = \frac{\int_0^T |\bar{u}(z, t = T_f) - \bar{u}_f(z, t = 0)|^2 \, dz}{\int_0^T |\bar{u}_f(z, t = T_f) - \bar{u}_f(z, t = 0)|^2 \, dz}^{1/2}.$$  (5.3)

Here, $\bar{u}(z, t)$ refers to a domain-mean vertical profile of the potential temperature for a given run at time $t$, whereas $\bar{u}_f(z, t)$ is the same but for the full-resolution case, shown by the lefmost curve in Fig. 5.

A relative CPU is also defined by the CPU of a given run divided by the CPU for the full-resolution run. Each run is performed for 25 large-eddy times. All the calculations are performed with a local Xeon machine (5 GHz). The CPU time for the full-resolution run is 1232.61 s.

Extensive sensitivity tests are performed by changing the model parameters in various ways. Tests are categorized into the four sets as listed in Table 2. The results are shown by scatterplots against the compression rate both for the relative RMS error and the relative CPU in Figs. 10a,b, respectively, in which each category is shown by different symbols.

Figure 10a shows that an increase of error with decreasing (stronger) compression rates is relatively slow above the compression rate 0.2–0.3, and only below this level does it begin to disperse enormously, but with the lowest error obtained still remaining comparable to those obtained under weaker compressions. This result shows that NAM–SCA can run with a relatively strong compression rate (down to ca. 0.2) with a relatively small relative error (ca. 0.2). This may be compared with a relatively slow increase of error under wavelet compressions as shown in Fig. 11c and 12c of Yano et al. (2004a). Qualitatively, the SCA works in a similar manner as wavelet compression, by conceptually following the spirit of multiresolution approach (cf. Mallat 1998).

Figure 10b shows that overall, the CPU time decreases linearly with decreasing (stronger) compression rates; the spread is relatively weak. It implies that the CPU overhead for actually performing activation and deactivation does not sensibly depend on the choice of the parameters, especially the frequency of activation and deactivation $n_a$ and $n_d$. The lowest bound of the scatter is approximately extrapolated to the relative CPU of 0.2, when the compression rate approaches zero. The nonvanishing CPU in this limit provides a measure of the numerical burden for solving the Poisson problem with full resolution in the current algorithm regardless of the compression rate of the other parts.

Extensive investigations are performed for identifying a particular combination of the parameters that can compress the system extensively while keeping a relatively small error. However, none is identified as a particularly strong choice, but stronger compressions always lead to
larger errors regardless of the parameter choice, as indicated by Fig. 10a. Exceptionally fortunate cases in scatter provide good results (high compressions with low relative errors) mostly due to much weaker compression rates in earlier parts of the integrations. Recall that both the relative error and the compression rate are evaluated at a particular moment $t = 7\tau$.

6. Discussion and conclusions

The core of mass-flux convective parameterization may be considered as subdividing a gridbox domain into various constant segments corresponding to an environment and convective elements, such as convective towers. The goal of the present paper has been to present a model that simply introduces such a subdivision of a model domain into a nonhydrostatic cloud-resolving or a large-eddy simulation model. The model constitutes a prototype for mass-flux convective parameterization, which is further developed by introducing additional assumptions and hypotheses.

From a numerical point of view, the constructed model is based on a finite-volume approach (cf. Godunov 1959; section 5.6.1, Durran 1999; LeVeque 2002). However, a major philosophical departure from the standard finite-volume methods is that the horizontal extent of a volume element (constant segments) is not necessarily small at all, but is intended to represent a convective plume, or even an entire environmental segment in the same spirit as the parameterization of convective mass-flux. As a result, the distribution of finite volumes could be much more inhomogeneous than in standard approaches. A degree of strong inhomogeneity is in keeping with the spirit of the multisresolution approach (cf. Fournier et al. 2005).

These finite-volume elements are added and removed (activated and deactivated) with time by following the birth and death of convective elements. The procedure may be considered a type of adaptive mesh refinement (AMR; e.g., Berger and Colella 1989; Bell et al. 1994). However, a major philosophical departure from standard AMRs must also be emphasized.

AMR is based on the idea of refinement, where the major goal is to describe local “singularities” associated with shocks and discontinuities (e.g., Berger and Colella 1989; Grauer et al. 1998; Rosenberg et al. 2006) by introducing a local refinement of grid or meshes. To make this procedure systematic, a hierarchical structure for mesh refinement is often developed so that a higher refinement of a singular structure is achieved simply by moving to a higher order of the hierarchy. The hierarchical framework also enables us to mathematically prove the convergence of a solution.

On the other hand, the present SCA approach is based on the idea of compression under the spirit of the multisresolution analysis, where the major goal is to reduce the total number of constant segments to achieve a higher numerical efficiency. In the traditional mass-flux framework, a main portion of the gridbox domain is considered to be occupied by a horizontally homogeneous environment. Under the same spirit, the environment (nonplume region) is intended to be represented by as few segments as possible in the present approach (cf. Fig. 8). Note that under this approach the system always has a fewer number of segments than a full horizontal resolution case, and it asymptotically converges to a full-resolution case as the compression is weakened.

The development of the nonhydrostatic anelastic model with segmentally constant approximations (NAM–SCA) has enabled us to successfully simulate the evolution of convective plumes within a boundary layer with a relatively limited number of segments; however, the number of segments required for representing a single plume turns out to be closer to a few rather than a single segment, as a quick inspection of Fig. 8 shows. Thus, the present adaptive refinement scheme suggests the difficulty
of representing a single plume by a single segment as formally envisioned in the mass-flux formulation.

A moist version with simple microphysics has already been developed. Preliminary results for tests with deep moist convection appear to be more promising than the dry boundary layer case reported here.

Further improvements of the model are also anticipated. At the most technical level, the Poisson problem is solved on a regular grid with the present model. This is a major task to take on, because to the best of our knowledge, there is no ready-made package that can be adopted in the SCA configuration. Currently, possibilities are under consideration for reformulating the Poisson problem on an irregular grid under a conjugate gradient approach (cf. Smolarkiewicz and Margolin 1994; Skamarock et al. 1997). Such a procedure should scale the numerical efficiency of the Poisson solver linearly with the compression.

The numerical efficiency of the model as a whole may also be improved by further enhancing the compressibility of the model. The “renormalization” approach (Yano et al. 2003) would be one of the possibilities to investigate for this purpose.

Subgrid-scale eddy parameterization can also be incorporated into the model. Effects of fluctuations within a constant segment can be included by taking an analogous procedure to the standard moment expansion approach (cf. Mellor and Yamada 1974). It is especially important to note that the inclusion of vertical eddy diffusion is straightforward because SCA is applied only in the horizontal direction.

The most important implication suggested by the present study is that explicit modeling and parameterizations are not two distinctively separated approaches as is often considered, but they only constitute two extreme limits of available approaches for investigating subgrid-scale processes (cf. Yano et al. 2005). The present study suggests that the gap may be filled by reinterpreting the mass-flux formulation as a type of finite-volume approach, coined SCA. By applying SCA to a different degree to a NAM (LES and CRM), a hierarchy of models that fill the gap between the explicit model and parameterizations may be developed. This is another possibility currently under investigation.
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APPENDIX

Numerical Procedures

A basic FORTRAN code used for NAM–SCA is available online from an anonymous FTP site (available online at ftp://cnrm-ftp.meteo.fr/pub-moana/yano/crm-sca/with_activation_ayotte). An extensive user’s guide
where the subscript $k$ value at the vertical level $k$ for other physical variables at half levels defined by the Poisson problem (2.3), we assign the values of the is evaluated at these interfaces (full levels). In solving both the prognostic Eq. (3.4) as well as the horizontal velocity is evaluated by Eq. (3.6) analytically once the vertical-velocity divergence is given.

### b. Discretizations

The system is discretized in the vertical direction for solving both the prognostic Eq. (3.4) as well as the Poisson problem (2.3). For this purpose, staggered grids are introduced in the same manner as in Mesinger and Arakawa’s (1976) C grid with a homogeneous vertical resolution $\Delta z$. Use of a finite volume also in the vertical direction is feasible, but the choice would make the numerical algorithm slightly more involved.

Under this discretization, the interfaces between the vertical layers are defined by

$$z_k = k\Delta z,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

for $k = 0, \ldots, N_z$ with $N_z = H/\Delta z$. The vertical velocity $w$ is evaluated at these interfaces (full levels). In solving the Poisson problem (2.3), we assign the values of the other physical variables at half levels defined by

$$z_{k-1/2} = (k - 1/2)\Delta z,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

for $k = 1, \ldots, N_z$.

In solving the Poisson problem (2.3), the system is further discretized into a horizontal direction by assuming a homogeneously distributed grid with a constant resolution $\Delta x$ over the whole length $L$ of the domain. Under the given discretization, all the physical variables are defined at every grid point based on Eqs. (3.1) and (3.6a). The discretization enables us to evaluate the source term [the right-hand side of Eq. (2.3)] by applying standard centered finite differences in two spatial directions. The source term is transformed into the Fourier space in the horizontal direction, and the vertical derivative in the Laplacian is discretized according to the defined staggered vertical grids, leading to a tri-diagonal form of the matrix for the Laplacian. This matrix can be inverted analytically (i.e., with a finite number of numerical operations), and then a Fourier-transformed pressure perturbation $p$ is obtained.

The time integral is performed by a mixture of the two schemes. The Euler time step (cf. Haltiner and Williams 1980) is adopted both for the vertical and horizontal (lateral mixing) advections, whereas the second-order Adams–Bashforth scheme (Lilly 1965; Durran 1991) is adopted for the physical tendency (tendency due to the forcing $F$). Replacement of the integration scheme for the first two processes by the second-order Adams–Bashforth scheme was found not to improve the numerical efficiency of the calculations.

### c. Identification of segment interfaces

To identify the vertical continuation of the segment interfaces, their positions are indexed in two complementary manners at a given vertical level $z_k$, where $k$ is a half integer at a half level—the position of the segment itself, given by $x_j - 1/2, j(z_k)$ for a $j$th interface, and the segment index $j$ for a given discretized horizontal position, $x_j = i\Delta x$, say $j_b(x_i, z_k)$. Note that not all of the horizontal positions $x_i$ are occupied by a segment interface. In case of an absence, we set $j_b(x_i, z_k) = -j$, with $j$ corresponding to the index of the closest interface to the left. The index $j_b(x_i, z_k)$ is used for identifying the vertical extent of a segment interface given at $x = x_i$. This indexing system enables us to activate and deactivate segments with time without constructing a hierarchical structure.

### d. Finite difference in the vertical direction

We integrate Eq. (3.4) in time for the vertical velocity $w$ and the potential temperature $\theta$, respectively, at full and half levels $z_k$ and $z_{k+1/2}$. In these computations, for example, the vertical flux divergence for the $j$th segment at the vertical level $k + 1/2$ is evaluated by

$$
\left[ \frac{1}{\rho_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho_j (w\phi) \right]_{j,k+1/2} \approx \left[ \frac{1}{\rho_j(z_{k+1/2})} \right] \frac{1}{z_{k+1} - z_k} \left[ \rho_j(z_{k+1})(w\phi)_{j(k+1/2),k+1} - \rho_j(z_k)(w\phi)_{j(k+1/2),k} \right],
$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

where the subscript $k$, for example, $j(k + 1/2)$, indicates a value at the vertical level $k$ averaged over a range de-
The flux is evaluated by an upstream formula \((w \varphi)_{j(k+1/2),k} = w_{j(k+1/2),k} \varphi_{j(k+1/2),k^*}\) in which the vertical level \(k^*\) is set \(k^* = k - \frac{1}{2}\) if \(w_{j(k+1/2),k} > 0\), and \(k^* = k + \frac{1}{2}\) if \(w_{j(k+1/2),k} < 0\).

An evaluation of \(\varphi_{j(k+1/2),k^*}\) is performed by

\[
\varphi_{j(k+1/2),k^*} = \sum_{j' \in \text{segments}} \sigma_{j(k+1/2),j'(k^*)} \theta_{j'(k^*),k^*}, \tag{A.4}
\]

where \(\sigma_{j(k+1/2),j'(k^*)}\) is a fractional contribution of the \(j'\)th segment at the vertical level \(k^*\) given by

\[
\sigma_{j(k+1/2),j'(k^*)} = \frac{1}{x_{j(k+1/2),1/2} - x_{j(k+1/2),k+1/2}} \times \left\{ \min[x_{j(k+1/2),1/2}, x_{j(k+1/2),k+1/2}] - \max[x_{j(k+1/2),1/2}, x_{j(k+1/2),k+1/2}] \right\}. \tag{A.5}
\]

The pressure gradient is evaluated in a similar manner, but over a fixed segment at a height \(z_k\) in concern,

\[
\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial z}\right)(z_k) = \int_{x_{j+1/2,h}(z_k)}^{x_{j-1/2,h}(z_k)} \left[ p(z_{k+1/2}) - p(z_{k-1/2}) \right] dx = \frac{[x_{j+1/2,h}(z_k) - x_{j-1/2,h}(z_k)](z_{k+1/2} - z_{k-1/2})}{x_{j+1/2,h}(z_k) - x_{j-1/2,h}(z_k)}. \tag{A.6}
\]

### e. Evaluation levels and vertical interpolations

As already discussed in appendix section b above, the vertical velocity is defined at the full vertical levels, and the potential temperature and the horizontal velocity are defined at the half vertical levels, respectively. For this reason, both the physical tendency [tendency due to the forcing \(F\) in Eq. (3.4)] and the vertical advection are evaluated at the full and the half levels, respectively, for the vertical velocity and the potential temperature.

On the other hand, the lateral exchange [the second term in the left-hand side of Eq. (3.4)] is evaluated at the half levels as a layer between two neighboring full levels constitutes a “physical” layer. For this purpose, right before this calculation is performed, the vertical velocity is linearly interpolated onto the half levels. Finally, both prognostic variables are interpolated onto the full vertical levels after adding a tendency of lateral mixing.
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